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Background

We need to compress huge tree data such as XML document.
→ DAG expression
Top-tree DAG

- Some trees cannot be shared as subtrees in DAGs
  Top-tree DAG [P.Bille+ 15]

  ![Diagram of Top-tree DAG]

  Cannot share the **Cluster** (Intermediate structure)

- By top-tree DAG, some benchmark XML documents can be compressed in 3~27% [Nishimura+ 16]
Instability of top tree

- Some cluster can be represented by several different top trees.
Compression rate

Top tree $\tau_1$  top-tree DAG  Top tree $\tau_2$  top-tree DAG

Higher compression rate  Lower compression rate

- Compression rate depends on top-tree representation.
For higher compression rate

- By equivalence check, compression rate can get higher.
This work

• Goal
  • Transformation and equivalence check of top trees for higher compression rate by the top-tree DAGs.

• This work
  • Axiom system for top-tree equivalence for theoretical foundation.
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Constructing top tree
Constructing top tree
Definition of top tree

- Top Tree: The tree representing the order of construction of original tree
  ○: a distinguished leaf node

Five types of merging clusters
Vertical merge

- A and B is merging sub-tree vertically
Horizontal merge

- C, D and E is merging sub-tree horizontally
Equivalence

For top trees $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, they are equivalent if they represent the same original tree.
And we write $\models \tau_1 = \tau_2$.

- Mapping $T$

Mapping from the top trees to the cluster.

(i) top tree $\tau$
(ii) original tree $T(\tau)$

$\models \tau_1 = \tau_2$ \iff $T(\tau_1) \equiv T(\tau_2)$
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Axioms of cluster algebra

\[ A_{CA} \]

\[
(\alpha C \beta)B \gamma = (\alpha B \gamma)E \beta \quad (\alpha C \beta)C \gamma = \alpha C (\beta E \gamma)
\]

\[
(\alpha C \beta)A \gamma = (\alpha A \gamma)C \beta \quad (\alpha D \beta)C \gamma = \alpha D (\beta C \gamma)
\]

\[
(\alpha D \beta)B \gamma = \alpha E (\beta B \gamma) \quad (\alpha E \beta)D \gamma = \alpha D (\beta D \gamma)
\]

\[
(\alpha D \beta)A \gamma = \alpha D (\beta A \gamma) \quad (\alpha A \beta)B \gamma = \alpha B (\beta B \gamma)
\]

\[
(\alpha E \beta)E \gamma = \alpha E (\beta E \gamma) \quad (\alpha A \beta)A \gamma = \alpha A (\beta A \gamma)
\]
Derivability

• Inference rules

\[
\tau_1 = \tau_2 \text{ is an axiom} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vdash \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad (AX) \\
\vdash \tau_2 = \tau_1 \quad (SYM)
\]

\[
\vdash \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad (REF) \\
\vdash \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad (TR)
\]

\[
\vdash \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad (COML) \\
\vdash \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad (COMR)
\]

\[
\vdash \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad (X = A, B, C, D or E)
\]

For top trees \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\), if they are derivable by the inference rules, we write \(\vdash_{ACA} \tau_1 = \tau_2\).
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Soundness and Completeness

For top trees $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$,

- **Soundness**
  \[ \vdash_{ACA} \tau_1 = \tau_2 \Rightarrow \models \tau_1 = \tau_2 \]

- **Completeness**
  \[ \models \tau_1 = \tau_2 \Rightarrow \vdash_{ACA} \tau_1 = \tau_2 \]
Soundness

**Theorem** (Soundness of $A_{CA}$)

For top trees $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, if $\vdash_{A_{CA}} \tau_1 = \tau_2$ then $\models \tau_1 = \tau_2$

Proof. By induction on $\vdash_{A_{CA}} \tau_1 = \tau_2$

They represent the same tree
Completeness

**Theorem** (Completeness of $A_{CA}$)
For two top trees $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, if $\models \tau_1 = \tau_2$, then we have $\vdash_{A_{CA}} \tau_1 = \tau_2$

(proof idea)
Give a reduction rules of cluster algebra and prove its SN and UNF
Reduction system

Orienting from left to right for each axiom.

Axioms

(\alpha C \beta)B \gamma = (\alpha B \gamma)E \beta
(\alpha D \beta)B \gamma = \alpha E (\beta B \gamma)
(\alpha A \beta)B \gamma = \alpha B (\beta B \gamma)
(\alpha E \beta) E \gamma = \alpha E (\beta E \gamma)
\vdots

Reduction rules

(\alpha C \beta) B \gamma \rightarrow (\alpha B \gamma) E \beta
(\alpha D \beta) B \gamma \rightarrow \alpha E (\beta B \gamma)
(\alpha A \beta) B \gamma \rightarrow \alpha B (\beta B \gamma)
(\alpha E \beta) E \gamma \rightarrow \alpha E (\beta E \gamma)
\vdots
V-merge and H merge

\[ V = A \text{ or } B \]

\[ H = \{C, D \text{ or } E\} \]
Proposition (Strong Normalization)
The reduction system is strongly normalizable.

proof. Defining the following three measures.

\[ w(\tau) = \text{the number of } H \text{ in the left subtree of } V \]
\[ d_v(\tau) = \text{the number of } V \text{ in the left subtree of } V \]
\[ d_h(\tau) = \text{the number of } H \text{ in the left subtree of } H \]

\[ \tau \rightarrow \tau' \Rightarrow (w(\tau), d_v(\tau) + d_h(\tau)) > (w(\tau'), d_v(\tau') + d_h(\tau')) \]

w.r.t. lexicographic order.

SN is also checked by some tools such as TTT2, APROVE etc...
Normal Form

Normal form consists only of the merge type of (B) and (E) and has the shape that slopes down to the right. Normal form is similar to original tree structurally.

- Mapping $\Theta$

Mapping from the cluster to the normal form. By this mapping, normal form is constructed from node to root.
Clusters and normal forms

**Proposition (Correspondence)**
For any normal form $\tau$, we have $\Theta(T(\tau)) \equiv \tau$, in particular.
Unique Normal Form Property

**Proposition** (Unique normal form property)
For two normal forms \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \),
if \( \models \tau_1 = \tau_2 \), then we have \( \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \)

proof.

\[ \models \tau_1 = \tau_2 \iff T(\tau_1) \equiv T(\tau_2) \]

By Correspondence,

\[ \Theta(T(\tau_1)) \equiv \Theta(T(\tau_2)) \]

\[ \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \]

Confluence is also checked by some tools such as ACP, Coll/saigawa, CSI etc ...
Completeness

**Theorem (Completeness)**

For two top trees $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, if $\models \tau_1 = \tau_2$, then we have $\vdash_{ACA} \tau_1 = \tau_2$

Therefore,

$$\vdash_{ACA} \tau_1 = \tau'_1 \equiv \tau'_2 = \tau_2$$
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Conclusion

・ Result
  ・ Complete axiom system for the cluster algebra
    - Completeness is proved by SN and UNF of the reduction system induced by the axioms.

・ Future work
  ・ An efficient algorithm for equivalence checker.
  ・ Top-tree transformation and equivalence for higher compression rate by top tree.