

Isabelle/HOL Exercises

Lists

Searching in Lists

Define a function *first_pos* that computes the index of the first element in a list that satisfies a given predicate:

```
first_pos :: "('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat"
```

The smallest index is 0. If no element in the list satisfies the predicate, the behaviour of *first_pos* should be as described below.

primrec

```
"first_pos P [] = 0"  
"first_pos P (x # xs) = (if P x then 0 else Suc (first_pos P xs))"
```

Verify your definition by computing

- the index of the first number equal to 3 in the list $[1::nat, 3, 5, 3, 1]$,
- the index of the first number greater than 4 in the list $[1::nat, 3, 5, 7]$,
- the index of the first list with more than one element in the list $[[], [1, 2], [3]]$.

Note: Isabelle does not know the operators $>$ and \geq . Use $<$ and \leq instead.

```
lemma "first_pos ( $\lambda x. x = 3$ ) [1::nat, 3, 5, 3, 1] = 1"  
by auto
```

```
lemma "first_pos ( $\lambda x. 4 < x$ ) [1::nat, 3, 5, 7] = 2"  
by auto
```

```
lemma "first_pos ( $\lambda x. 1 < \text{length } x$ ) [[], [1, 2], [3]] = 1"  
by auto
```

Prove that *first_pos* returns the length of the list if and only if no element in the list satisfies the given predicate.

```
lemma "list_all ( $\lambda x. \neg P x$ ) xs = (first_pos P xs = length xs)"  
apply (induct xs)  
apply auto  
done
```

Now prove:

```
lemma "list_all ( $\lambda x. \neg P x$ ) (take (first_pos P xs) xs)"  
  apply (induct xs)  
  apply auto  
done
```

How can $first_pos (\lambda x. P x \vee Q x) xs$ be computed from $first_pos P xs$ and $first_pos Q xs$? Can something similar be said for the conjunction of P and Q ? Prove your statement(s).

```
lemma "first_pos ( $\lambda x. P x \vee Q x$ ) xs = min (first_pos P xs) (first_pos Q xs)"  
  apply (induct xs)  
  apply auto  
done
```

For \wedge , only a lower bound can be given.

```
lemma "max (first_pos P xs) (first_pos Q xs)  $\leq$  first_pos ( $\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x$ ) xs"  
  apply (induct xs)  
  apply auto  
done
```

Suppose P implies Q . What can be said about the relation between $first_pos P xs$ and $first_pos Q xs$? Prove your statement.

```
lemma "( $\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q x$ )  $\longrightarrow$  first_pos Q xs  $\leq$  first_pos P xs"  
  apply (induct xs)  
  apply auto  
done
```

Define a function *count* that counts the number of elements in a list that satisfy a given predicate.

```
count :: "('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat"
```

primrec

```
"count P [] = 0"  
"count P (x # xs) = (if P x then Suc (count P xs) else (count P xs))"
```

Show: The number of elements with a given property stays the same when one reverses a list with *rev*. The proof will require a lemma.

```
lemma count_append[simp]: "count P (xs @ ys) = count P xs + count P ys"  
  apply (induct xs)  
  apply auto  
done
```

```
lemma "count P xs = count P (rev xs)"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
```

Find and prove a connection between the two functions *filter* and *count*.

```
lemma "length (filter P xs) = count P xs"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
```