

Isabelle/HOL Exercises Projects

The Euclidean Algorithm – Inductively

Rules without base case

Show that the following

```
inductive_set evenempty :: "nat set" where  
Add2Ie: " $n \in \text{evenempty} \implies \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) \in \text{evenempty}$ "
```

defines the empty set:

```
lemma evenempty_empty: " $\text{evenempty} = \{\}$ "  
by (auto elim: evenempty.induct)
```

The Euclidean algorithm

Define inductively the set gcd : $(a, b, g) \in \text{gcd}$ means that g is the greatest common divisor of a and b . The definition should closely follow the Euclidean algorithm.

Reminder: The Euclidean algorithm repeatedly subtracts the smaller from the larger number, until one of the numbers is 0. Then, the other number is the gcd.

```
inductive_set gcd :: "(nat × nat × nat) set" where  
gcdZero: " $(u, 0, u) \in \text{gcd}$ " |  
gcdStep: " $\llbracket (u - v, v, g) \in \text{gcd}; 0 < v; v \leq u \rrbracket \implies (u, v, g) \in \text{gcd}$ " |  
gcdSwap: " $\llbracket (v, u, g) \in \text{gcd}; u < v \rrbracket \implies (u, v, g) \in \text{gcd}$ "
```

Now, compute the gcd of 15 and 10:

```
lemma "(15, 10, ?g) ∈ gcd"  
  apply (rule gcdStep) apply simp  
  apply (rule gcdSwap)  
  apply (rule gcdStep) apply simp  
  apply (rule gcdStep) apply simp  
  apply (rule gcdSwap)  
  apply (rule gcdZero)  
  apply simp+  
done
```

How does your algorithm behave on special cases as the following?

```
lemma "(0, 0, ?g) ∈ gcd"
by (rule gcdZero)
```

Show that the gcd is really a divisor (for the proof, you need an appropriate lemma):

```
lemma gcd_divides: "(a,b,g) ∈ gcd ⇒ g dvd a ∧ g dvd b"
lemma dvd_minus: "[[ v ≤ u; (g::nat) dvd u - v; g dvd v ]] ⇒ g dvd u"
  apply (clarsimp simp add: dvd_def)
  apply (rule_tac x="k + ka" in exI)
  apply (simp add: add_mult_distrib2)
done
```

```
lemma gcd_divides: "(a,b,g) ∈ gcd ⇒ g dvd a ∧ g dvd b"
  apply (induct rule: gcd.induct)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: dvd_minus)
  apply simp
done
```

Show that the gcd is the greatest common divisor:

```
lemma gcd_greatest [rule_format]: "(a,b,g) ∈ gcd ⇒
  0 < a ∨ 0 < b → (∀ d. d dvd a → d dvd b → d ≤ g)"
lemma dvd_leq: "[[ 0 < v; (d::nat) dvd v ]] ⇒ d ≤ v"
  by (clarsimp simp add: dvd_def)
```

```
lemma dvd_minus2: "[[ (d::nat) dvd u; d dvd v ]] ⇒ d dvd u - v"
  apply (clarsimp simp add: dvd_def)
  apply (rule_tac x="k-ka" in exI)
  apply (simp add: diff_mult_distrib2)
done
```

```
lemma gcd_greatest [rule_format]: "(a,b,g) ∈ gcd ⇒
  0 < a ∨ 0 < b → (∀ d. d dvd a → d dvd b → d ≤ g)"
  apply (induct rule: gcd.induct)
  apply (clarsimp simp add: dvd_leq)

  apply clarsimp
  apply (case_tac "v = u")
  apply simp
  apply (blast dest: dvd_minus2)+
done
```

Here as well, you will have to prove a suitable lemma. What is the precondition $0 < a \vee 0 < b$ good for?

So far, we have only shown that `gcd` is correct, but your algorithm might not compute a result for all values `a, b`. Thus, show completeness of the algorithm:

```
lemma gcd_defined: "∀ a b. ∃ g. (a, b, g) ∈ gcd"
```

The following lemma, proved by course-of-value recursion over `n`, may be useful. Why does standard induction over natural numbers not work here?

```
lemma gcd_defined_aux [rule_format]:
  "∀ a b. (a + b) ≤ n → (∃ g. (a, b, g) ∈ gcd)"
  apply (induct rule: nat_less_induct)
  apply clarify
```

The idea is to show that `gcd` yields a result for all `a, b` whenever it is known that `gcd` yields a result for all `a', b'` whose sum is smaller than `a + b`.

In order to prove this lemma, make case distinctions corresponding to the different clauses of the algorithm, and show how to reduce computation of `gcd` for `a, b` to computation of `gcd` for suitable smaller `a', b'`.

```
lemma gcd_defined_aux [rule_format]:
  "∀ a b. (a + b) ≤ n → (∃ g. (a, b, g) ∈ gcd)"
  apply (induct rule: nat_less_induct)
  apply clarify
```

```
apply (case_tac b)
```

— Application of `gcdZero`

```
apply simp
apply (rule exI)
apply (rule gcdZero)
```

```
apply (rename_tac n a b b')
apply simp
```

```
apply (case_tac "b ≤ a")
```

— Application of `gcdStep`

```
apply simp
apply (drule_tac x=a in spec, drule mp)
apply arith
apply (elim allE impE)
prefer 2
apply (elim exE)
apply (rule exI)
apply (rule gcdStep, assumption)
```

```
apply simp+
```

```
apply (case_tac a)  
apply simp
```

— Application of gcdSwap, followed by gcdZero

```
apply (drule_tac x=0 in spec, drule mp) apply arith  
apply (drule_tac x=0 in spec, drule_tac x=0 in spec, drule mp)  
apply simp  
apply (elim exE)  
apply (rule exI)  
apply (rule gcdSwap) apply (rule gcdZero)  
apply simp
```

— Application of gcdSwap, followed by gcdStep

```
apply (drule_tac x=b in spec, drule mp) apply arith  
apply (elim allE impE)  
prefer 2  
apply (elim exE)  
apply (rule exI)  
apply (rule gcdSwap)  
apply (rule gcdStep) apply assumption  
apply arith+  
done
```

```
lemma gcd_defined: " $\forall a b. \exists g. (a, b, g) \in gcd$ "
```

```
  apply clarify  
  apply (rule_tac n="a + b" in gcd_defined_aux)  
  apply simp  
done
```