Isabelle/HOL Exercises Trees, Inductive Data Types

Folding Lists and Trees

Some more list functions

Recall the summation function

```
sum :: "nat list \Rightarrow nat"
primrec
    "sum [] = 0"
    "sum (x # xs) = x + sum xs"
```

In the Isabelle library, you will find (in the theory List.thy) the functions foldr and fold1, which allow you to define some list functions, among them sum and length. Show the following:

```
lemma sum_foldr: "sum xs = foldr (op +) xs 0"
   apply (induct xs)
   apply auto
done
lemma length_foldr: "length xs = foldr (λ x res. 1 + res) xs 0"
   apply (induct xs)
   apply auto
done
```

Repeated application of *foldr* and *map* has the disadvantage that a list is traversed several times. A single traversal is sufficient, as illustrated by the following example:

```
lemma "sum (map (\lambda x. x + 3) xs) = foldr h xs b"
```

Find terms h and b which solve this equation.

```
lemma "sum (map (\lambda x. x + 3) xs) = foldr (\lambda x y. x + y + 3) xs 0" apply (induct xs) apply auto done
```

Generalize this result, i.e. show for appropriate h and b:

```
lemma "foldr g (map f xs) a = foldr h xs b"
```

Hint: Isabelle can help you find the solution if you use the equalities arising during a proof attempt.

```
lemma "foldr g (map f xs) a = foldr (\lambda x acc. g (f x) acc) xs a"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
The following function rev_acc reverses a list in linear time:
consts
  rev_acc :: "['a list, 'a list] ⇒ 'a list"
primrec
  "rev_acc [] ys = ys"
  "rev_acc (x\#xs) ys = (rev_acc xs (x\#ys))"
Show that rev_acc can be defined by means of foldl.
lemma rev_acc_foldl_aux [rule_format]:
  "\forall a. rev_acc xs a = foldl (\lambda ys x. x # ys) a xs"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
lemma rev_acc_foldl: "rev_acc xs a = foldl (\lambda ys x. x # ys) a xs"
  by (rule rev_acc_foldl_aux)
Prove the following distributivity property for sum:
lemma sum_append [simp]: "sum (xs @ ys) = sum xs + sum ys"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
```

Prove a similar property for foldr, i.e. something like foldr f (xs @ ys) a = f (foldr f xs a) (foldr f ys a). However, you will have to strengthen the premises by taking into account algebraic properties of f and a.

constdefs

done

```
left_neutral :: "['a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b, 'a] \Rightarrow bool"

"left_neutral f a == (\forall x. (f a x = x))"

assoc :: "['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow bool"

"assoc f == (\forall x y z. f (f x y) z = f x (f y z))"

lemma foldr_append:

"[ left_neutral f a; assoc f ] \Longrightarrow foldr f (xs @ ys) a = f (foldr f xs a) (foldr f ys a)"
```

```
apply (induct xs)
    apply (simp add: left_neutral_def)
  apply (simp add: assoc_def)
done
Now, define the function prod, which computes the product of all list elements
  prod :: "nat list \Rightarrow nat"
defs
  prod_def: "prod xs == foldr (op *) xs 1"
directly with the aid of a fold and prove the following:
lemma "prod (xs @ ys) = prod xs * prod ys"
  apply (simp only: prod_def)
  apply (rule foldr_append)
    apply (simp add: left_neutral_def)
  apply (simp add: assoc_def)
done
Functions on Trees
Consider the following type of binary trees:
datatype 'a tree = Tip | Node "'a tree" 'a "'a tree"
Define functions which convert a tree into a list by traversing it in pre-, resp. postorder:
  preorder :: "'a tree \Rightarrow 'a list"
 postorder :: "'a tree \Rightarrow 'a list"
primrec
  "preorder Tip
                          = []"
  "preorder (Node 1 x r) = x # ((preorder 1) @ (preorder r))"
primrec
                           = []"
  "postorder Tip
  "postorder (Node 1 x r) = (postorder 1) @ (postorder r) @ [x]"
You have certainly realized that computation of postorder traversal can be efficiently rea-
lized with an accumulator, in analogy to rev_acc:
consts
  postorder_acc :: "['a tree, 'a list] ⇒ 'a list"
primrec
```

```
"postorder_acc Tip
                              xs = xs"
  "postorder_acc (Node 1 x r) xs = (postorder_acc 1 (postorder_acc r (x#xs)))"
Define this function and show:
lemma postorder_acc_aux [rule_format]:
  "\forall xs. postorder_acc t xs = (postorder t) @ xs"
  apply (induct t)
  apply auto
done
lemma "postorder_acc t xs = (postorder t) @ xs"
  by (rule postorder_acc_aux)
postorder_acc is the instance of a function foldl_tree, which is similar to foldl.
  foldl_tree :: "('b => 'a => 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow 'b"
primrec
  "foldl_tree f a Tip
                                 = a"
  "foldl_tree f a (Node l x r) = (foldl_tree f (foldl_tree f (f a x) r) l)"
Show the following:
lemma "\forall a. postorder_acc t a = foldl_tree (\lambda xs x. Cons x xs) a t"
  apply (induct t)
  apply auto
done
Define a function tree_sum that computes the sum of the elements of a tree of natural
numbers:
consts
  tree\_sum :: "nat tree \Rightarrow nat"
primrec
  "tree_sum Tip
                           = 0"
  "tree_sum (Node 1 x r) = (tree_sum 1) + x + (tree_sum r)"
and show that this function satisfies
lemma "tree_sum t = sum (preorder t)"
  apply (induct t)
  apply auto
done
```