Isabelle/HOL Exercises Trees, Inductive Data Types

Representation of Propositional Formulae by Polynomials

Let the following data type for propositional formulae be given:

datatype form = T | Var nat | And form form | Xor form form

Here T denotes a formula that is always true, Var n denotes a propositional variable, its name given by a natural number, And f1 f2 denotes the AND combination, and Xor f1 f2 the XOR (exclusive or) combination of two formulae. A constructor F for a formula that is always false is not necessary, since F can be expressed by Xor T T.

Exercise 1: Define a function

consts evalf :: "(nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow form \Rightarrow bool"

that evaluates a formula under a given variable assignment.

Propositional formulae can be represented by so-called *polynomials*. A polynomial is a list of lists of propositional variables, i.e. an element of type *nat list list*. The inner lists (the so-called *monomials*) are interpreted as conjunctive combination of variables, whereas the outer list is interpreted as exclusive-or combination of the inner lists.

Exercise 2: Define two functions

for evaluation of monomials and polynomials under a given variable assignment. In particular think about how empty lists have to be evaluated.

Exercise 3: Define a function

consts poly :: "form \Rightarrow nat list list"

that turns a formula into a polynomial. You will need an auxiliary function

 $\textbf{consts mulpp :: "nat list list } \Rightarrow \texttt{nat list list} \Rightarrow \texttt{nat list list"}$

to "multiply" two polynomials, i.e. to compute

$$((v_1^1 \odot \cdots \odot v_{m_1}^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (v_1^k \odot \cdots \odot v_{m_k}^k)) \odot ((w_1^1 \odot \cdots \odot w_{n_1}^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (w_1^l \odot \cdots \odot w_{n_l}^l))$$

where \oplus denotes "exclusive or", and \odot denotes "and". This is done using the usual calculation rules for addition and multiplication.

Exercise 4: Now show correctness of your function poly: theorem poly_correct: "evalf e f = evalp e (poly f)"

It is useful to prove a similar correctness theorem for *mulpp* first.