

- Consider the LTL subset

$$\phi ::= p \mid \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg\phi \mid X\phi \mid \phi U \phi$$

- The subformulas of a formula are:

$$SF(\phi) = \{\phi\} \cup \begin{cases} SF(\phi_1) & , \phi = \neg\phi_1 \\ SF(\phi_1) \cup SF(\phi_2) & , \phi = \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \\ SF(\phi_1) & , \phi = X\phi_1 \\ SF(\phi_1) \cup SF(\phi_2) & , \phi = \phi_1 U \phi_2 \end{cases}$$

- The subformulas closed under negation and modulo double negation are

$$SF^\neg(\phi) = \{\phi, \neg\phi \mid \phi \in SF(\phi), \phi \neq \neg\phi'\}$$

Given the LTL formula ϕ , define the Alternating Büchi Automaton

$$\mathcal{A}_\phi = (S, s^0, \rho, F)$$

over the alphabet 2^{Prop} with

- $S = \text{SF}^\neg(\phi)$
- $s^0 = \phi$
- $F = \{\psi \in S \mid \psi = \neg(\psi_1 \text{ U } \psi_2)\}$

- $\rho(p, a) = \text{true}$, if $p \in a$
 $\rho(p, a) = \text{false}$, if $p \notin a$
- $\rho(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2, a) = \overline{\rho(\psi_1, a)} \wedge \overline{\rho(\psi_2, a)}$
- $\rho(\neg\psi, a) = \overline{\rho(\psi, a)}$ where
- $\rho(\text{X}\psi, a) = \psi$
- $\rho(\psi_1 \text{ U } \psi_2, a) = (\rho(\psi_1, a) \wedge \psi_1 \text{ U } \psi_2) \vee \rho(\psi_2, a)$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \overline{\psi} = \neg\psi, \text{ if } \psi \in S \\ \overline{\text{true}} = \text{false} \\ \overline{\text{false}} = \text{true} \\ \overline{\alpha \vee \beta} = \overline{\alpha} \wedge \overline{\beta} \\ \overline{\alpha \wedge \beta} = \overline{\alpha} \vee \overline{\beta} \end{array} \right.$$

Nested Depth First Search (1/4)

Input: rooted graph with accepting states

Output: existence of accepting cycle

```
var  $V_1, V_2$  : set;
main(){
   $V_1 := \emptyset$ ;
   $V_2 := \emptyset$ ;
  DFS(root);
  exit absent;
}
```

Nested Depth First Search (2/4)

The first DFS looks for accepting states:

```
DFS(s){
  if  $s \in V_1$  return;
   $V_1 := V_1 \cup \{s\}$ ;
  for  $s'$  in succ(s){
    DFS( $s'$ )
  }
  if accepting(s) NDFS(s,s);
}
```

Nested Depth First Search (3/4)

The second DFS looks for accepting cycles:

```
NDFS(s,a){  
  if  $s \in V_2$  return;  
   $V_2 := V_2 \cup \{s\}$ ;  
  for  $s'$  in succ(s){  
    if  $s' = a$  then exit present;  
    NDFS( $s',a$ )  
  }  
}
```

Nested Depth First Search (4/4)

- Note that the second DFS does not clear V_2 .
- Time and memory complexity are linear in the number of edges of the graph.
- Works 'on-the-fly':
if a cycle is present, it may be found without searching the whole graph.
- Note that a cycle is present on the stack when exiting 'present'.

Stuttering

- Intuitively means moving between states with the same atomic propositions.
- A run is a sequence of subsets of Prop:

$$S'_1 S'_2 S'_3 \dots$$

- Defining

$$S^1 = S, \quad S^{n+1} = S S^n,$$

we can uniquely write any run π as

$$S_1^{n_1} S_2^{n_2} S_3^{n_3} \dots$$

where $S_i \neq S_{i+1}$.

- The stutter free variant of π , denoted $E(\pi)$, is

$$S_1 S_2 S_3 \dots$$

- An LTL formula ϕ is stutter invariant if

$$\pi, 0 \models \phi \Leftrightarrow E(\pi), 0 \models \phi$$

- The fragment of LTL, without the next time operator X is stutter invariant. This fragment is denoted LTL-X.

- Intuitively it is a property that says that bad things never happen.
- A safety property is a property that allows failure detection in finitely many steps.
- Given a property.
 - A bad prefix is a finite prefix of a computation for which the property fails, such that any computation starting with the same prefix also fails the property.
 - The property is a safety property is every failing computation has a bad prefix.
- The following class of LTL formula's are safety formula's

$$\phi_s ::= p \mid \neg p \mid \phi_s \wedge \phi_s \mid \phi_s \vee \phi_s \mid X \phi_s \mid \phi_s R \phi_s$$

- Intuitively it is a property that says that good things happen infinitely often.
- For LTL we can just say that a property is a liveness property if it isn't a safety property.

- Intuitively it means that everybody gets their turn.
- Let there be N processes $1 \leq i \leq N$.
- *Weak fairness* If from a certain point in the computation a step is continuously enabled (p_i) then it is executed infinitely often (q_i).

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq N} (\diamond \Box p_i \rightarrow \Box \diamond q_i) \sim \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq N} \Box \diamond (\neg p_i \vee q_i)$$

- *Strong fairness* If a step is infinitely often enabled then it is infinitely often executed.

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq N} (\Box \diamond p_i \rightarrow \Box \diamond q_i)$$

- To check whether a property holds for fair computations, we can obviously check the formula

fairness \rightarrow property

- Unfortunately, many tools will experience an exponential blow-up in the number of processes.
- If you are lucky, the tool will have support for fairness
- Otherwise, you will have to do the work yourself.

Fairness for continuously enabled processes.

Let `process` be a variable containing the process that made the last step.

Given a Büchi Automaton and K processes, make $K + 2$ copies ($0, \dots, K + 1$) of the Büchi automaton.

- Remove the acceptance conditions from all copies, except copy 0.
- In copy 0, redirect edges starting in accepting states to copy 1.
- In copy i ($1 \leq i \leq K$) duplicate the edges to the next copy with the extra condition `process = i` and add the condition `process \neq i` to every old edge.
- In copy $k + 1$, redirect all edges to copy 0.

Fairness for processes, which once enabled, remain enabled until taken.

Given a Büchi Automaton and K processes, make $K + 2$ copies $(0, \dots, K + 1)$. of the Büchi automaton.

- Remove the acceptance conditions from all copies, except copy 0.
- In copy 0, redirect edges starting in accepting states to copy 1.
- In copy i ($1 \leq i \leq K$) duplicate the edges to the next copy with the extra condition [process = i or process i not enabled] and add the condition [process $\neq i$ and process i enabled] to every old edge.
- In copy $k + 1$, redirect all edges to copy 0.