



29. April 2008

## Proseminar Algorithmen und Datenstrukturen

# Exercise sheet 6

### Exercise 1 ( $O$ Notation)

Compare the following pairs of functions in terms of order of magnitude. In each case, say whether  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ ,  $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$  and/or  $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ .

	$f(n)$	$g(n)$
a)	$n^3 + 3n + 1$	$n^4$
b)	$\log(n)$	$n$
c)	$n \cdot 2^n$	$3^n$
d)	$n$	$(\log(n))^5$
e)	$\log(n)$	$\log(n^2)$
f)	$100n + \log(n)$	$n + (\log(n))^2$

### Exercise 2 ( $O$ Notation)

The addition theorem for  $O$  states that  $f(n) \in O(s(n))$  and  $g(n) \in O(r(n))$  imply that  $f(n) + g(n) \in O(s(n) + r(n))$ . Now formulate the corresponding theorem for  $\Omega$  and provide a proof for it.

### Exercise 3 ( $O$ Notation)

- Find a counterexample to the following claim:  $f(n) \in O(s(n))$  and  $g(n) \in O(r(n))$  imply that  $f(n) - g(n) \in O(s(n) - r(n))$ .
- Find a counterexample to the following claim:  $f(n) \in O(s(n))$  and  $g(n) \in O(r(n))$  imply that  $f(n)/g(n) \in O(s(n)/r(n))$ .

**Exercise 4 ( $O$  Notation)**

Prove or disprove (by means of a counterexample) the following statements:

- a)  $O(f(n) + g(n)) = O(\max(f(n), g(n)))$
- b)  $O(f(n) + g(n)) = O(\min(f(n), g(n)))$
- c)  $\Omega(f(n) + g(n)) = \Omega(\max(f(n), g(n)))$
- d)  $\Omega(f(n) + g(n)) = \Omega(\min(f(n), g(n)))$
- e)  $\Theta(f(n) + g(n)) = \Theta(\max(f(n), g(n)))$
- f)  $\Theta(f(n) + g(n)) = \Theta(\min(f(n), g(n)))$

**Exercise 5 ( $O$  Notation)**

Assuming that the bodies of the for-loops only contain simple statements (no loops, no function calls etc.), and hence have constant time complexity, what is the time complexity of each of the following code fragments?

- a)
 

```

for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    ...
}
for (j = 0; j < M; j++) {
    ...
}
      
```
- b)
 

```

for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    for (j = 0; j < N; j++) {
        ...
    }
}
for (k = 0; k < N; k++) {
    ...
}
      
```
- c)
 

```

for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    for (j = i; j < N; j++) {
        ...
    }
}
      
```

- d) Consider this sample implementation for the longest upsequence algorithm (exercise 4 on exercise sheet 3). What is its complexity expressed in  $O$  notation? Consider both cases, binary and naive linear search.

```

#include <stdio.h>

int main() {
    int n = 8; /* array length */
    int X[] = {1, 3, 4, 6, 2, 4, 4, 0};
    int M[n]; for (int i=0; i<n; i++) M[i]=0;
    int i;

    int k = 0;
    M[0] = X[0];
    for(i = 1; i < n; i++){
        if (X[i] >= M[k]) {
            k++;
            M[k] = X[i];
        }
        else if (X[i] < M[0]) {
            M[0] = X[i];
        }
        else {
            /* find j such that M[j-1] <=X[i]<M[j] */
            /* Possibility 1: naive search */
            int j = i;
            while (M[j-1] > X[i])
                j--; /*
            /* Possibility 2: binary search */
            int b, j = 1;
            for (b = (k+1)/2; M[j-1] > X[i] || X[i] >= M[j]; b /= 2)
                if (M[j-1] > X[i])
                    j -= b;
                else
                    j += b;
            M[j] = X[i];
        }
    }
    printf("Longest upsequence has length %d\n", k + 1);
    return 0;
}

```

## Exercise 6 (Linked List)

Reconsider your linked list implementation of last week, try to eliminate the bugs it has (if it has any) and provide a demo program that is equipped with a user interface. The user interface should consist of a menu that has entries for

- adding elements to a list,
- removing elements from a list,
- printing a list,
- inserting elements in order and
- searching for a specific element.