

# Complexity Theory

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Summer 2008



## Outline

- Summary of Last Lecture: The Circuit Value Problem
- Exercises
- Monotone Inductive Definitions
- Alternating Turing Machines
- Alternating Complexity Classes



# The Circuit Value Problem

## Definition

Boolean circuit

a **Boolean circuit** is a program that consists of finitely many assignments of form:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 P_i := 1 & P_i := P_j \wedge P_k & P_i := \neg P_j \\
 P_i := 0 & P_i := P_j \vee P_k & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $j, k < i$  and every  $P_i$  is defined at most once

the **value** of circuit is the value of  $P_n$ , where  $n$  is maximal

## Definition

CVP

the **circuit value problem (CVP)** is defined as:

- given: Boolean circuit (with several inputs)
- question: what is the value of the circuit?

# The Cook-Levin Theorem

## Theorem

The circuit value problem is  $\leq_m^{\log}$ -complete for P

## Theorem

Boolean satisfiability is  $\leq_m^{\log}$ -complete for NP

## Observations

- with SAT the input values are not given, but have to be found
- CVP is defined in terms of circuits
- SAT is defined in terms of formulas

# Homework

- 1 Miscellaneous Exercise 11
- 2 Miscellaneous Exercise 12
- 3 Miscellaneous Exercise 14
- 4 Miscellaneous Exercise 15
- 5 Miscellaneous Exercise 16

## Definition

complete lattices

a **complete lattice** is

- 1 a set  $U$  and
- 2 a partial order  $\leq$  (defined on  $U$ )

such that any subset  $A$  of  $U$  has a **least upper bound** (denoted as  $\sup A$ )

## Definition

operator

- an **operator** on a complete lattice  $U$  is a function  $\tau: U \rightarrow U$
- an operator is **monotone** if

$$x \leq y \implies \tau(x) \leq \tau(y)$$

- an operator is **chain-continuous** if  $\forall A$

$$\tau(\sup A) = \sup_{x \in A} \tau(x)$$

where  $A$  is a chain in  $U$ , i.e., a totally ordered subset of  $U$

- if  $U = 2^X$  ordered by  $\subseteq$ ; operator  $\tau$  is also called **set operator**

## Definition

fixpoint

- a **prefixpoint** of an operator  $\tau$  on a complete lattice  $U$  is  $x \in U$  such that  $\tau(x) \leq x$
- a **fixpoint** of  $\tau$  on  $U$  is  $x \in U$  such that  $\tau(x) = x$
- for set operators  $\tau: 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$  a subset  $A \subseteq X$  is called **closed** if  $A$  is a prefixpoint

## Definition

 $\tau^\dagger(\cdot)$ 

let

$$PF_\tau(x) = \{y \in U \mid \tau(y) \leq y \wedge x \leq y\}$$

denote the set of all prefixpoints of  $\tau$  above  $x$ ; define  $\tau^\dagger(x) = \inf PF_\tau(x)$

## Lemma

any monotone operator  $\tau$  has a  $\leq$ -least fixpoint, namely  $\tau^\dagger(\perp)$

## Definition

closure operator

an operator  $\tau$  is a **closure operator** if

- 1  $\tau$  is monotone
- 2  $\forall x \ x \leq \tau(x)$
- 3  $\forall x \ \tau(\tau(x)) = \tau(x)$

## Lemma

for any monotone operator  $\tau$ , the operator  $\tau^\dagger$  is a closure operator

## Definition

 $\tau^i(\cdot)$ 

let  $\tau$  be a monotone operator on  $U$

$$\tau^0(x) = \perp$$

$$\tau^\omega(x) = \sup_{i < \lambda} \tau^i(x)$$

$$\tau^{i+1}(x) = \sup\{x, \tau(\tau^i(x))\}$$

## Theorem

Knaster-Tarski

for a monotone and **chain-continuous** operator we have

$$\tau^\dagger(x) = \tau^*(x) := \sup_{\alpha \leq \omega} \tau^\alpha(x)$$

Definition

- an **alternating Turing machine** is defined like a nondeterministic Turing machine, but includes a function

$$\text{type: } Q \rightarrow \{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$$

- a configuration is called  $\wedge$ -,  $\vee$ -, or  $\neg$ -configuration, depending on the type of its state
- all  $\neg$ -configurations have exactly one successor
- accept and reject states are formalised implicitly

Definition

three valued logic

we write  $\perp$  for the truth value “don’t know”

$\vee$	1	$\perp$	0	$\wedge$	1	$\perp$	0	$\neg$	
1	1	1	1	1	1	$\perp$	0	1	0
$\perp$	1	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	0	$\perp$	$\perp$
0	1	$\perp$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

$\vee$  is **supremum** and  $\wedge$  is **infimum** in order  $0 \leq \perp \leq 1$

Definition

information order

the **information order** is defined as  $\perp \sqsubseteq 0, \perp \sqsubseteq 1$

Definition

labeling  $\ell$

let  $\mathcal{C}$  a set of configuration, a **labeling** is a map  $\ell: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \perp\}$ ; we define

$$\ell \sqsubseteq \ell' :\iff \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C} \ell(\alpha) \sqsubseteq \ell'(\alpha)$$

Lemma

the set of labelings together with  $\sqsubseteq$  form a complete lattice, i.e., every set of labelings has a supremum

Definition

$\tau$

we define an operator on labels

$$\tau(\ell)(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} \ell(\beta) & \alpha \text{ an } \wedge\text{-configuration} \\ \bigvee_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} \ell(\beta) & \alpha \text{ an } \vee\text{-configuration} \\ \neg \ell(\beta) & \alpha \text{ a } \neg\text{-configuration and } \alpha \rightarrow \beta \end{cases}$$

define  $\ell_*$  as the  $\sqsubseteq$ -least fixpoint of  $\tau$

### Observation

the labeling  $l_*$  is the supremum of the chain

$$l_0 \sqsubseteq l_1 \sqsubseteq l_2 \sqsubseteq \dots$$

where  $l_0 := \lambda \alpha. \perp$  and  $l_{i+1} := \tau(l_i)$

### Definition

an ATM **accepts** its input  $x$  if

- $l_*(\text{start}) = 1$

it **rejects** if  $l_*(\text{start}) = 0$

### Lemma

every ATM with negations can be simulated by an ATM without negations at no extra cost (in space or time)

### Definition

dual ATM

the **dual** of an ATM  $M$  is the alternating TM  $M'$ , defined as  $M$  but with exchanged  $\wedge$ - and  $\vee$ -states

### Proof

- let  $M$  be an ATM and  $M'$  its dual
- $\forall \alpha, \alpha' \forall i \ l_i(\alpha) = \neg l'_i(\alpha')$ , hence  $l_*(\alpha) = \neg l'_*(\alpha')$
- form  $M''$  as the (disjoint) union of  $M$  and  $M'$
- $\forall p \ \neg$ -state
- $\forall ((p, a), (q, b, d))$  transition of  $M$
- $\forall ((p', a), (q', b, d))$  transition of  $M'$

make  $p$  and  $\wedge$ -state and  $p'$  an  $\vee$ -state

$$((p, a), (q, b, d)) \mapsto ((p, a), (q', b, d))$$

$$((p', a), (q', b, d)) \mapsto ((p', a), (q, b, d))$$

# Alternating Complexity Classes

## Definition

$$\mathbf{ALOGSPACE} := \text{ASPACE}(\log n)$$

$$\mathbf{APTIME} := \text{ATIME}(n^{O(1)})$$

$$\mathbf{APSPACE} := \text{ATIME}(n^{O(1)})$$

$$\mathbf{AEXPTIME} := \text{ATIME}(2^{n^{O(1)}})$$

## Theorem

let  $T(n) \geq n$  and  $S(n) \geq \log n$

- 1  $\text{ATIME}(T(n)) \subseteq \text{DSpace}(T(n))$
- 2  $\text{DSpace}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{ATIME}(S(n)^2)$
- 3  $\text{ASpace}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(S(n))})$
- 4  $\text{DTIME}(T(n)) \subseteq \text{ASpace}(\log T(n))$

## Corollary

for  $T(n) \geq n$  and  $S(n) \geq \log n$ :  $\text{ATIME}(T(n)^{O(1)}) = \text{DSpace}(T(n)^{O(1)})$   
and  $\text{ASpace}(S(n)) = \text{DTIME}(2^{O(S(n))})$