

# Experiments in Verification

SS 2009

Christian Sternagel (VO)<sup>1</sup>

Computational Logic  
Institute of Computer Science  
University of Innsbruck

13 March 2009

---

<sup>1</sup>christian.sternagel@uibk.ac.at

Session 2 - Experiments in Verification

Summary of Last Session

## Exercises

### length

- ▶ define a primitive recursive function `length` that computes the length of a list
- ▶ prove `"length(xs@ys) = length xs + length ys"`

### snoc

- ▶ define a primitive recursive function `snoc` that appends an element at the end of a list (do not use `@`)
- ▶ prove `"rev(x#xs) = snoc (rev xs) x"`

### replace

- ▶ define a primitive recursive function `replace` such that `replace x y zs` replaces all occurrences of `x` in the list `zs` by `y`
- ▶ prove `"rev(replace x y zs) = replace x y (rev zs)"`

# This Time

## Session 1

formal verification, Isabelle/HOL basics, functional programming in HOL

## Session 2

simplification, function definitions, induction, calculational reasoning

## Session 3

natural deduction, propositional logic, predicate logic

## Session 4

sets, relations, inductively defined sets, advanced topics

# Term Rewriting

## Example (Addition and Multiplication on Natural Numbers)

- ▶ a set of rules, also called a term rewrite system (TRS)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 0 + y \rightarrow y & 0 \times y \rightarrow 0 \\
 s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y) & s(x) \times y \rightarrow y + (x \times y)
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ 'compute'  $1 \times 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(0) \times s^2(0) &\rightarrow s^2(0) + (0 \times s^2(0)) \\
 &\rightarrow s^2(0) + 0 \\
 &\rightarrow s(s(0) + 0) \\
 &\rightarrow s(s(0 + 0)) \\
 &\rightarrow s^2(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

# In Isabelle

```
datatype num = Zero | Succ num
```

```
notation Zero ("0")
```

```
notation Succ ("s'(_'")
```

```
primrec add (infixl "+" 65)
```

```
where "(0::num) + y = y"
```

```
  | "s(x)      + y = s(x + y)"
```

```
primrec mul (infixl "×" 70)
```

```
where "(0::num) × y = 0"
```

```
  | "s(x)      × y = y + (x × y)"
```

## Explanatory Notes

- ▶ 0 is overloaded, hence we need **type constraints**
- ▶ use ' within **syntax annotations** to escape characters with special meaning, e.g., '( for an opening parenthesis (special meaning: start a group for pretty printing) or '\_ for an underscore (special meaning: argument placeholder)
- ▶ you may omit the type of a function if it can be inferred automatically
- ▶ to get symbols like × use **X-Symbols** (see next slide)
- ▶ you automatically get lemmas `num.simps`, `add.simps`, and `mul.simps`

## X-Symbols

| ASCII | X-Symbol          | shown as          | ASCII | X-Symbol    | shown as     |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|--------------|
| =>    | \<Rightarrow>     | $\Rightarrow$     | ALL   | \<forall>   | $\forall$    |
| -->   | \<longrightarrow> | $\longrightarrow$ | EX    | \<exists>   | $\exists$    |
| ==>   | \<Longrightarrow> | $\Longrightarrow$ | &     | \<and>      | $\wedge$     |
| !!    | \<And>            | $\bigwedge$       |       | \<or>       | $\vee$       |
| ==    | \<equiv>          | $\equiv$          | ~     | \<not>      | $\neg$       |
| ~=    | \<noteq>          | $\neq$            | %     | \<lambd>    | $\lambda$    |
| :     | \<in>             | $\in$             | *     | \<times>    | $\times$     |
| ~:    | \<notin>          | $\notin$          | o     | \<circ>     | $\circ$      |
| Un    | \<union>          | $\cup$            | [     | \<lbrakk>   | $\llbracket$ |
| Int   | \<inter>          | $\cap$            | ]     | \<rbrakk>   | $\rrbracket$ |
| Union | \<Union>          | $\bigcup$         | <=    | \<subsepeq> | $\subseteq$  |
| Inter | \<Inter>          | $\bigcap$         | <     | \<subset>   | $\subset$    |

► activate via Proof-General  $\rightarrow$  Options  $\rightarrow$  X-Symbol

## Using Simplification Rules

### Automatically

```
lemma "s(s(0))  $\times$  s(s(0)) = s(s(s(s(0))))" by simp
```

### Explicitly (unfolding)

```
lemma "s(s(0))  $\times$  s(s(0)) = s(s(s(s(0))))"
  unfolding add.simps mul.simps by (rule refl)
```

## Modifying the *Simpset*

- ▶ **simpset** is set of simplification rules currently in use
- ▶ adding a lemma to the simpset  
**declare**  $\langle$ *theorem-name* $\rangle$  [simp]
- ▶ deleting a lemma from the simpset  
**declare**  $\langle$ *theorem-name* $\rangle$  [simp del]

### Example

```
declare add.simps[simp del]
lemma "0 + s(0) = s(0)"
```

## A More Complete Grammar for Proofs

$$\textit{proof} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \textit{prefix}^* \textit{proof} \textit{method}^? \textit{statement}^* \textit{qed} \textit{method}^?$$

$$| \textit{prefix}^* \textit{by} \textit{method} \textit{method}^?$$

$$\textit{prefix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \textit{apply} \textit{method}$$

$$| \textit{using} \textit{fact}^*$$

$$| \textit{unfolding} \textit{fact}^*$$

$$\textit{statement} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \textit{fix} \textit{variables}$$

$$| \textit{assume} \textit{proposition}^+$$

$$| (\textit{from} \textit{fact}^+)^? (\textit{show} | \textit{have}) \textit{proposition} \textit{proof}$$

$$\textit{proposition} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\textit{label}:)^? \textit{term}$$

$$\textit{fact} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \textit{label}$$

$$| \textit{'term'}$$

# A Proof by Hand

```

lemma "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) = s(s(s(s(0))))"
proof -
  have "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) =
        s(s(0)) + s(0) × s(s(0))"
    unfolding mul.simps by (rule refl)
  from this have "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) =
        s(s(0)) + (s(s(0)) + 0 × s(s(0)))"
    unfolding mul.simps .
  from this have "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) =
        s(s(0)) + (s(s(0)) + 0)"
    unfolding mul.simps .
  from this show ?thesis unfolding add.simps .
qed

```

## The simp Method

### General Format

`simp` *<list of modifiers>*

### Modifiers

- ▶ `add`: *<list of theorem names>*
- ▶ `del`: *<list of theorem names>*
- ▶ `only`: *<list of theorem names>*

### Example

```

lemma "s(0) × s(0) = s(0)"
  by (simp only: add.simps mul.simps)

```

# A General Format for Stating Theorems

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{theorem} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \textit{kind goal} \\ & | \textit{kind name} : \textit{goal} \\ & | \textit{kind} [\textit{attributes}] : \textit{goal} \\ & | \textit{kind name}[\textit{attributes}] : \textit{goal} \end{aligned}$$

$$\textit{kind} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{theorem} \mid \mathbf{lemma} \mid \mathbf{corollary}$$

$$\textit{goal} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{fixes} \textit{variables})^? (\mathbf{assumes} \textit{prop}^+)^? \mathbf{shows} \textit{prop}^+ \\ | \textit{prop}^+$$

$$\textit{prop} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\textit{label}:)^? \textit{term}$$

## Example

```
lemma some_lemma[simp]:
  fixes A :: "bool" (* 'A' has type 'bool' *)
  assumes AnA: "A ∧ A" (* give this fact the name 'AnA' *)
  shows "A"
using AnA by simp
```

## Assumptions

- ▶ by default assumptions are used as simplification rules + assumptions are simplified themselves

**lemma**

```

assumes "xs@zs = ys@xs" and "[]@xs = []@[]"
shows "ys = zs"
using assms by simp

```

- ▶ this can lead to nontermination

**lemma**

```

assumes "∀x. f x = g(f(g x))"
shows "f [] = f [] @ []"
using assms by simp

```

## The simp Method (cont'd)

### More Modifiers

- ▶ `(no_asm)` assumptions are ignored
- ▶ `(no_asm_simps)` assumptions are not simplified themselves
- ▶ `(no_asm_use)` assumptions are simplified but not added to simpset



# Tracing

- ▶ set Isabelle → Settings → Trace Simplifier
- ▶ useful to get a feeling for simplification rules
- ▶ see which rules are applied
- ▶ find out why simplification loops

## Digression – Finding Theorems

### Start Search

- ▶ either by keyboard shortcut `Ctrl + C`, `Ctrl + F`, or
- ▶ clicking the find-icon (a magnifying glass)

### Search Criteria

- ▶ a number in parenthesis specifies how menu results should be shown
- ▶ a pattern in double quotes specifies the term to be searched for
- ▶ a pattern may contain wild cards `'_'`, and type constraints
- ▶ precede a pattern by `simp:` to only search for theorems that could simplify the specified term at the root
- ▶ to search for part of a name use `name: "<some string>"`
- ▶ negate a search criterion by prefixing a minus, e.g., `-name:`

# Example

```
fun fib :: "nat => nat"  
where "fib 0          = Suc 0"  
      | "fib(Suc 0)   = Suc 0"  
      | "fib(Suc(Suc n)) = fib n + fib(Suc n)"
```

## Lemma

$0 < \text{fib } n$

# Abbreviations

- ▶ **this**: the previous proposition proved or assumed
- ▶ **then**: **from** this
- ▶ **hence**: **then have**
- ▶ **thus**: **then show**
- ▶ **with**  $\langle \text{facts} \rangle$ : **from**  $\langle \text{facts} \rangle$  this

# The Command `fun`

## Some Notes

- ▶ in principle arbitrary pattern matching on lhs
- ▶ patterns are matched top to bottom
- ▶ **fun** tries to prove termination automatically (current method: lexicographic orders)
- ▶ use **function** instead of **fun** to provide a manual termination prove
- ▶ for further information: `isatool doc functions`

# Additional Commands

- ▶ **also**: to apply transitivity automatically
- ▶ **finally**: to reconsider first lhs
- ▶ `...`: to abbreviate previous rhs

## An Example Proof (Base Case)

```

primrec sum :: nat => nat
where "sum 0      = 0"
      | "sum(Suc n) = Suc n + sum n"

lemma "sum n = (n*(Suc n)) div (Suc(Suc 0))"
proof (induct n)
  case 0 show ?case by simp
next

```

## An Example Proof (Step Case)

```

case (Suc n)
hence IH: "sum n = (n*(Suc n)) div (Suc(Suc 0))" .
have "sum(Suc n) = Suc n + sum n" by simp
also have "... = Suc n + ((n*(Suc n)) div (Suc(Suc 0)))"
  unfolding IH by simp
also have "... = ((Suc(Suc 0)*Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)) +
  ((n*(Suc n)) div Suc(Suc 0))" by arith
also have "... = (Suc(Suc 0)*Suc n + n*(Suc n)) div
  Suc(Suc 0)" by arith
also have "... = ((Suc(Suc 0) + n)*Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)"
  unfolding add_mult_distrib by simp
also have "... = (Suc(Suc n) * Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)"
  by simp
finally show ?case by simp
qed

```

## An Example Proof (Notes)

- ▶ cases are named by the corresponding **datatype** constructors
- ▶ **?case** is an abbreviation installed for the current goal in each case of an induction proof
- ▶ **case 0** sets up the assumption corresponding to the base case (i.e., none)
- ▶ **case (Suc n)** sets up the corresponding assumption
  - `fix n assume "sum n = (n*Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)`
- ▶ **arith** is a decision procedure for **Presburger Arithmetic**
- ▶ **.** abbreviates **by assumption**

## Exercises

<http://isabelle.in.tum.de/exercises/arith/powSum/ex.pdf>