

# Experiments in Verification

SS 2010

Christian Sternagel (VO)<sup>1</sup>

Computational Logic  
Institute of Computer Science  
University of Innsbruck

19 March 2010

---

<sup>1</sup>christian.sternagel@uibk.ac.at

Session 2 - Experiments in Verification

Summary of Last Session

## Exercises

### length

- ▶ define a primitive recursive function `length` that computes the length of a list
- ▶ prove `"length (xs @ ys) = length xs + length ys"`

### snoc

- ▶ define a primitive recursive function `snoc` that appends an element at the end of a list (do not use `@`)
- ▶ prove `"rev (x # xs) = snoc (rev xs) x"`

### replace

- ▶ define a primitive recursive function `replace` such that `replace x y zs` replaces all occurrences of `x` in the list `zs` by `y`
- ▶ prove `"rev (replace x y zs) = replace x y (rev zs)"`

# This Time

## Session 1

formal verification, Isabelle/HOL basics, functional programming in HOL

## Session 2

simplification, function definitions, induction, calculational reasoning

## Session 3

natural deduction, propositional logic, predicate logic

## Session 4

sets, relations, inductively defined sets, advanced topics

# Term Rewriting

## Example (Addition and Multiplication on Natural Numbers)

- ▶ a set of rules, also called a term rewrite system (TRS)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 0 + y \rightarrow y & 0 \times y \rightarrow 0 \\
 s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y) & s(x) \times y \rightarrow y + (x \times y)
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ 'compute'  $1 \times 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(0) \times s^2(0) &\rightarrow s^2(0) + (0 \times s^2(0)) \\
 &\rightarrow s^2(0) + 0 \\
 &\rightarrow s(s(0) + 0) \\
 &\rightarrow s(s(0 + 0)) \\
 &\rightarrow s^2(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

# In Isabelle

```
datatype num = Zero | Succ num
```

```
notation Zero ("0")
```

```
notation Succ ("s'(_')")
```

```
primrec add :: "num  $\Rightarrow$  num  $\Rightarrow$  num" (infixl "+" 65)
```

```
where
```

```
"(0::num) + y = y" |
```

```
"s(x)      + y = s(x + y)"
```

```
primrec mul :: "num  $\Rightarrow$  num  $\Rightarrow$  num" (infixl "×" 70)
```

```
where
```

```
"(0::num) × y = 0" |
```

```
"s(x)      × y = y + (x × y)"
```

## Explanatory Notes

- ▶ 0 is overloaded, hence we need **type constraints**
- ▶ use ' within **syntax annotations** to escape characters with special meaning, e.g., '( for an opening parenthesis (special meaning: start a group for pretty printing) or '\_ for an underscore (special meaning: argument placeholder)
- ▶ you may omit the type of a function if it can be inferred automatically
- ▶ to get symbols like × use **Unicode Tokens** (see next slide)
- ▶ you automatically get lemmas `num.simps`, `add.simps`, and `mul.simps`

## Unicode Tokens

ASCII	Unicode Token	shown as	ASCII	Unicode Token	shown as
=>	\<Rightarrow>	$\Rightarrow$	ALL	\<forall>	$\forall$
-->	\<longrightarrow>	$\longrightarrow$	EX	\<exists>	$\exists$
==>	\<Longrightarrow>	$\Longrightarrow$	&	\<and>	$\wedge$
!!	\<And>	$\bigwedge$		\<or>	$\vee$
==	\<equiv>	$\equiv$	~	\<not>	$\neg$
~=	\<noteq>	$\neq$	%	\<lambd>	$\lambda$
:	\<in>	$\in$	*	\<times>	$\times$
~:	\<notin>	$\notin$	o	\<circ>	$\circ$
Un	\<union>	$\cup$	[	\<lbrakk>	$\llbracket$
Int	\<inter>	$\cap$	]	\<rbrakk>	$\rrbracket$
Union	\<Union>	$\bigcup$	<=	\<subseteq>	$\subseteq$
Inter	\<Inter>	$\bigcap$	<	\<subset>	$\subset$

► activate via Proof-General  $\rightarrow$  Options  $\rightarrow$  Unicode Tokens

## Using Simplification Rules

### Automatically

```
lemma "s(s(0))  $\times$  s(s(0)) = s(s(s(s(0))))" by simp
```

### Explicitly (unfolding)

```
lemma "s(s(0))  $\times$  s(s(0)) = s(s(s(s(0))))"
unfolding add.simps mul.simps by (rule refl)
```

## Modifying the *Simpset*

- ▶ **simpset** is set of simplification rules currently in use
- ▶ adding a lemma to the simpset  
**declare**  $\langle theorem-name \rangle$  [simp]
- ▶ deleting a lemma from the simpset  
**declare**  $\langle theorem-name \rangle$  [simp del]

### Example

```
declare add.simps[simp del]
lemma "0 + s(0) = s(0)"
```

## A More Complete Grammar for Proofs

$$proof \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{array}{l} prefix^* \mathbf{proof} \textit{method}^? \textit{statement}^* \mathbf{qed} \textit{method}^? \\ | \\ prefix^* \mathbf{by} \textit{method} \textit{method}^? \end{array}$$

$$prefix \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{apply} \textit{method} \\ | \\ \mathbf{using} \textit{fact}^* \\ | \\ \mathbf{unfolding} \textit{fact}^* \end{array}$$

$$statement \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fix} \textit{variables} \\ | \\ \mathbf{assume} \textit{proposition}^+ \\ | \\ (\mathbf{from} \textit{fact}^+)^? (\mathbf{show} \mid \mathbf{have}) \textit{proposition} \textit{proof} \end{array}$$

$$proposition \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\textit{label}:)^? \textit{term}$$

$$fact \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{array}{l} \textit{label} \\ | \\ \textit{term} \end{array}$$

# A Proof by Hand

```

lemma "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) = s(s(s(s(0))))"
proof -
  have "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) =
        s(s(0)) + s(0) × s(s(0))"
    unfolding mul.simps by (rule refl)
  from this have "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) =
        s(s(0)) + (s(s(0)) + 0 × s(s(0)))"
    unfolding mul.simps .
  from this have "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) =
        s(s(0)) + (s(s(0)) + 0)"
    unfolding mul.simps .
  from this show ?thesis unfolding add.simps .
qed

```

## The simp Method

### General Format

`simp` *<list of modifiers>*

### Modifiers

- ▶ `add`: *<list of theorem names>*
- ▶ `del`: *<list of theorem names>*
- ▶ `only`: *<list of theorem names>*

### Example

```

lemma "s(s(0)) × s(s(0)) = s(s(s(s(0))))"
  by (simp only: add.simps mul.simps)

```

# A General Format for Stating Theorems

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{theorem} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \textit{kind goal} \\ & | \textit{kind name} : \textit{goal} \\ & | \textit{kind} [\textit{attributes}] : \textit{goal} \\ & | \textit{kind name}[\textit{attributes}] : \textit{goal} \end{aligned}$$

$$\textit{kind} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \textbf{theorem} \mid \textbf{lemma} \mid \textbf{corollary}$$

$$\textit{goal} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\textbf{fixes variables})^? (\textbf{assumes prop}^+)^? \textbf{shows prop}^+ \\ | \textit{prop}^+$$

$$\textit{prop} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\textit{label}:)^? \textit{term}$$

## Example

```
lemma some_lemma[simp]:
  fixes A :: "bool" (* 'A' has type 'bool' *)
  assumes AnA: "A ∧ A" (* give this fact the name 'AnA' *)
  shows "A"
using AnA by simp
```

## Assumptions

- ▶ by default assumptions are used as simplification rules + assumptions are simplified themselves

**lemma**

```

assumes "xs @ zs = ys @ xs" and "[] @ xs = [] @ []"
shows "ys = zs"
using assms by simp

```

- ▶ this can lead to nontermination

**lemma**

```

assumes "∀x. f x = g (f (g x))"
shows "f [] = f [] @ []"
using assms by simp

```

## The simp Method (cont'd)

### More Modifiers

- ▶ `(no_asm)` assumptions are ignored
- ▶ `(no_asm_simps)` assumptions are not simplified themselves
- ▶ `(no_asm_use)` assumptions are simplified but not added to simpset



# Tracing

- ▶ set Isabelle → Settings → Trace Simplifier
- ▶ useful to get a feeling for simplification rules
- ▶ see which rules are applied
- ▶ find out why simplification loops

# Digression – Finding Theorems

## Start Search

- ▶ either by keyboard shortcut `Ctrl + C`, `Ctrl + F`, or
- ▶ clicking the find-icon (a magnifying glass)

## Search Criteria

- ▶ a number in parenthesis specifies how menu results should be shown
- ▶ a pattern in double quotes specifies the term to be searched for
- ▶ a pattern may contain wild cards `'_'`, and type constraints
- ▶ precede a pattern by `simp:` to only search for theorems that could simplify the specified term at the root
- ▶ to search for part of a name use `name: "<some string>"`
- ▶ negate a search criterion by prefixing a minus, e.g., `-name:`

## Example

```
fun
  fib :: "nat => nat"
where "fib 0          = Suc 0"
      | "fib (Suc 0)   = Suc 0"
      | "fib (Suc (Suc n)) = fib n + fib (Suc n)"
```

### Lemma

$0 < \text{fib } n$

## Abbreviations

- ▶ **this**: the previous proposition proved or assumed
- ▶ **then**: **from** this
- ▶ **hence**: **then have**
- ▶ **thus**: **then show**
- ▶ **with**  $\langle \text{facts} \rangle$ : **from**  $\langle \text{facts} \rangle$  **this**

# The Command `fun`

## Some Notes

- ▶ in principle arbitrary pattern matching on left-hand sides
- ▶ patterns are matched top to bottom
- ▶ **fun** tries to prove termination automatically (current method: lexicographic orders)
- ▶ use **function** instead of **fun** to provide a manual termination prove
- ▶ for further information: `isabelle doc functions`

# Additional Commands

- ▶ **also**: to apply transitivity automatically
- ▶ **finally**: to reconsider first left-hand side
- ▶ `...`: to abbreviate previous right-hand side

## An Example Proof (Base Case)

```

primrec
  sum :: nat => nat
where "sum 0          = 0"
        | "sum (Suc n) = Suc n + sum n"

lemma "sum n = (n * (Suc n)) div (Suc (Suc 0))"
proof (induct n)
  case 0 show ?case by simp
next

```

## An Example Proof (Step Case)

```

case (Suc n)
hence IH: "sum n = (n*(Suc n)) div (Suc(Suc 0))" .
have "sum(Suc n) = Suc n + sum n" by simp
also have "... = Suc n + ((n*(Suc n)) div (Suc(Suc 0)))"
  unfolding IH by simp
also have "... = ((Suc(Suc 0)*Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)) +
  ((n*(Suc n)) div Suc(Suc 0))" by arith
also have "... = (Suc(Suc 0)*Suc n + n*(Suc n)) div
  Suc(Suc 0)" by arith
also have "... = ((Suc(Suc 0) + n)*Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)"
  unfolding add_mult_distrib by simp
also have "... = (Suc(Suc n) * Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)"
  by simp
finally show ?case by simp
qed

```

## An Example Proof (Notes)

- ▶ cases are named by the corresponding **datatype** constructors
- ▶ **?case** is an abbreviation installed for the current goal in each case of an induction proof
- ▶ **case 0** sets up the assumption corresponding to the base case (i.e., none)
- ▶ **case (Suc n)** sets up the corresponding assumption  

```
fix n assume "sum n = (n*Suc n) div Suc(Suc 0)"
```
- ▶ **arith** is a decision procedure for **Presburger Arithmetic**
- ▶ **.** abbreviates **by assumption**

## Exercises

<http://isabelle.in.tum.de/exercises/arith/powSum/ex.pdf>