This exam consists of six exercises of which you have to select exactly five. Every exercise is worth 20 points. The available points for each item are written in the margin. You need at least 50 points to pass. Explain your answers!

1 Consider the formula $\varphi^{\mathrm{UF}}$

$$
F\left(G\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=G\left(F\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \wedge F\left(G\left(F\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right)=x_{1} \wedge F\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{1} \wedge G\left(F\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \neq x_{1}
$$

in equality logic with uninterpreted functions.
(a) Use Ackermann's reduction to transform $\varphi^{\mathrm{UF}}$ into an equivalent equality logic formula.
(b) Use Bryant's reduction to transform $\varphi^{\mathrm{UF}}$ into an equivalent equality logic formula.
(c) Use the congruence closure algorithm to determine the satisfiability of $\varphi^{\mathrm{UF}}$.

2 Consider the following equality logic formula $\varphi^{\mathrm{E}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a=b \vee c \neq d) \wedge(a=c \vee e=f \vee e \neq j) \wedge(b=d \vee g \neq h) \wedge \\
& (c=d \vee g \neq i) \wedge(i=h \vee f=j) \wedge(g=h \vee e=j)
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Compute the equality graph of $\varphi^{\mathrm{E}}$ and list its contradictory cycles.
(b) Compute the propositional skeletion of $\varphi^{\mathrm{E}}$.
(c) Compute a nonpolar chordal equality graph for $\varphi^{\mathrm{E}}$.
(d) Transform $\varphi^{\mathrm{E}}$ into an equisatisfiable propositional formula.
(e) Compute an adequate domain for $\Phi\left(E\left(\varphi^{\mathrm{E}}\right)\right)$ whose state space is smaller than 10 !
[20] 3 Apply Cooper's method to determine the validity of the formula

$$
\forall x \cdot(\exists y \cdot x=2 y) \Longrightarrow \quad(\exists y \cdot 3 x=2 y)
$$

over the integers.

4 Determine the satisfiability of the following formulas over the rationals by applying Ferrante and Rackoff's method:
(a) $\exists x \cdot x=2 y \wedge y<x$
(b) $\forall y .3<2 x+y \vee x+3 y<2$

5 Consider the following satisfiability problem, presented as Yices input:

```
(define x::int)
(define y::int)
(assert (>= (+ x (* 2 y)) 1))
(assert (<= (+ (* 2 x) y) 1))
(assert (<= (* 2 y) 1))
(set-evidence! true)
(check)
```

(a) Name at least two decision procedures that can be used to solve this problem.
(b) Apply one of these procedures to determine satisfiability of the problem.
(c) Determine satisfiability when the variable declarations are changed to

```
(define x::real)
(define y::real)
```

[15] 6 (a) Determine the satisfiability of the formula $1 \leqslant x \wedge 2 \leqslant y \wedge f(x) \neq f(1) \wedge f(f(x)) \neq f(f(y)) \wedge f(2)=y \wedge f(x) \neq f(3) \wedge f(2) \leqslant 2 \wedge x \leqslant 3$
in the combination of linear arithmetic over the integers and equality logic with uninterpreted functions, using Nelson and Oppen's method.
(b) What is a convex theory?

