1. Consider the following (naive) implementation of reverse

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{reverse} \left( \left[ \right] \;, \left[ \right] \right) \; . \\ \mbox{reverse} \left( \left[ X \right| X s \right] \;, Z s \right) \; :- \\ \mbox{reverse} \left( X s \;, Y s \right) \;, \\ \mbox{append} \left( Y s \;, \left[ X \right] \;, Z s \right) \;. \end{array}$ 

- Show that the SLD proof tree of any ground query reverse (Xs,Ys) is in  $O(|Xs|^2)$ . (5 pts)
- Implement reverse more cleverly such that that size of the proof tree of any ground query becomes linear in |Xs|. (5 pts)
- 2. Implement a predicate duplicate/3 that duplicates the elements of a list a given number of times. For example the query duplicate ([a,b,c],2,Xs) should deliver the answer Xs = [a, a, b, b, c, c]. Use difference-lists in your implementation, where you can assume that \ seperates difference lists.
- 3. Consider the following Prolog program.

foo(X,Y) :=foo  $([X|Xs] \setminus Xs, Y, [X])$ . foo  $([] \setminus [], Y, Visited) :=$ !, fail. foo ([A|Xs] \ Ys, A, Visited). foo  $([A|Xs] \setminus Ys, B, Visited) :=$ set of 1 (N, edge (A, N), Ns), foo2 (Ns, Visited, Visited1, Xs\Ys, Xs1), foo(Xs1,B,Visited1). foo2 ([N|Ns], Visited, Visited1, Xs, Xs1) :member (N, Visited), foo2(Ns, Visited, Visited1, Xs, Xs1). foo2 ([N|Ns], Visited, Visited1, Xs $\setminus$ [N|Ys], Xs1) :- $\setminus$ + member(N, Visited), foo2(Ns, [N] Visited], Visited1, Xs\Ys, Xs1). foo2([], V, V, Xs, Xs). set of 1 (Template, Goal, Set) :set of (Template, Goal, Set). set of 1 (Template, Goal, Set) :- $\setminus$ + set of (Template, Goal, Set), !, Set = [].

- Give a declarative reading of the program.	(6	pts)
Hint: The predicate $edge$ represents the edge relation of a graph.		
- The meaning of the program changes if $setof1/3$ is replaced by the system predicate $setof/3$ . Give an example of a goal that succeeds in the original program, but fails in the altered program.	(4	pts)
Implement (part of) the <i>Knight's tour problem</i> : how can a knight jump on an $N \times N$ chessboard in such a way that it visits every square exactly once?		
<i>Hint</i> : Represent the squares by pairs of their coordinates of the form $X/Y$ , where $X$ and $Y$ are integers between 1 and $N$ . It suffices to implement the relation $jump(N,X/Y,U/V)$ to express the fact that a knight can jump from $X/Y$ to $U/V$ on a $N \times N$ chessboard.	(1	0 pts)
Determine whether the following statements are true or false. Every correct answer is worth 1 points.	(1	$0  \mathrm{pts})$
statement	$\mathbf{yes}$	no
A rule is a universally quantified logical formula of the form $A \leftarrow B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n$ , where A is a goal and for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ : $B_i$ is a goal.		
An SLD-refutation is a finite SLD-derivation ending in the goal to be proven.		
Logic programming is a declarative programming paradigm, that is, the compu- tation of a function is made a first-class citizen.		
The declarative semantics of a program $P$ is the minimal model of $P$ .		
The order of goals is irrelevant in the computation model of logic programming,		

4.

5.

The order of goals is irrelevant in the computation model of logic programming, but not the order of rules.

The order of goals and the order of rules is irrelevant in the computation model of Prolog.

Prolog is a language without types and the main technique to manipulate data is unification.

Difference lists are ineffective if the generation of different sections of a list depend on each other.

A meta-interpreter in Prolog interprets Prolog terms on the Warren abstract machine.

The predicate bagof(Template,Goal,Bag) unifies Bag with the alternatives of Goal that meet Template.