1. Solution.

Solution

JULY 5, 2013



 $\square$ 

- 2. Solution. Define the tableau-consistent set of sentences, as those that do not have a tableau proof of  $\perp$ . Show that these sets fufill the satisfaction properties. Apply model existence.
  - 3. Solution. Definition 10.20 in the lecture notes.  $\Box$
  - a) Solution. Definition 10.21.
  - b) Solution. There exists clause sets, where structural Skolemisation yields nonelementary shorter refutations than prenex Skolemisation.  $\Box$
- 4. Solution. Consider a ground factoring step:

$$\frac{C\tau \vee A\tau \vee B\tau}{C\tau \vee A\tau} \,,$$

where  $\tau$  is ground. By definition there exists a mgu  $\sigma$  such that  $A\sigma = B\sigma$ . Hence the following inference is a valid factoring step:

$$\frac{C\sigma \lor A\sigma \lor B\sigma}{C\sigma \lor A\sigma} \,.$$

5. Determine whether the following statements are true or false. Every correct answer is worth 2 points.

(20 pts)

## statement yes no Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote a set of universal formulas. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is satisfiable iff $\mathcal{G}$ has a $\checkmark$ Herbrand model. The DPLL-method includes a) the tautology rule, b) the pure literal rule, c) the splitting rule, and d) the zero-one law rule. Optimised Skolemisation is an example of an inner Skolemisation technique. $\checkmark$ Strong Skolemisation is an example of an outer Skolemisation technique. A literal L is strictly maximal if there exists a ground substitution $\sigma$ such that for no other literal $M: M\sigma \succ_{\mathsf{L}} L\sigma$ holds. If C is the clause representation of the (negated) word problem $\mathcal{E} \models s = t$ , then $\checkmark$ the saturation of $\mathcal{C}$ wrt to superposition (and equality resolution) contains $\Box$ iff $\mathcal{E} \models s = t.$ A clause $C \lor s = t$ is reductive if $s \succ t$ and s = t is maximal with respect to C. A reductive conditional rewrite system is confluent only if all critical pairs con- $\checkmark$ verge. A candidate model is a model.

 $\checkmark$ 

All non-redundant superposition inferences are liftable