

KBOs, Ordinals, Subrecursive Hierarchies and All That

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science
University of Innsbruck

Concepts and Meaning – Workshop in honour of Alexander's Leitsch
60th Birthday, May 5, 2012



Overview

- Knuth-Bendix Orders
- Ordinals
 - Transfinite Knuth-Bendix Orders
- Subrecursive Hierarchies
 - A Remark on TKBO for Rewriting
- Conclusion

Knuth-Bendix Orders (KBOs for short)

Definitions

- let \succ denote a precedence on some signature
- let w denote an **admissible** weight function into natural numbers

$$w(x) = w_0 \geq 1$$

Definition

$s \succ_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $\forall x: |s|_x \geq |t|_x$ and $s \succ_{\text{kbo}} t$ is an extension of w to terms

- 1 $\text{weight}(s) > \text{weight}(t)$, or
- 2 $\text{weight}(s) = \text{weight}(t)$, and one of the following alternatives holds:
 - 1 t is a variable, $s = f^n(t)$, $n > 0$,
 - 2 $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$,
 $\exists i$ such that $s_i \succ_{\text{kbo}} t_i \forall 1 \leq j < i: s_j = t_j$
 - 3 $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $f \succ g$.

Equational Systems

Example

let $F = \{i, \circ, e\}$ and consider the following rules

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 (x \circ y) \circ z & = & x \circ (y \circ z) & w(i) = 0 \\
 e \circ x & = & x & w(\circ) = 0 \\
 i(x) \circ x & = & e & w(e) = 1 \\
 i(i(x)) & = & x & i \succ \circ \succ e
 \end{array}$$

Example

let the signature be as above and consider the following rules

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 i(x) \circ (y \circ z) & = & x \circ (i^2(y) \circ z) & w(i) = 0 \\
 i(x) \circ (y \circ (z \circ w)) & = & x \circ (z \circ (y \circ w)) & w(\circ) = 0 \\
 i(x) & = & x & i \succ \circ \succ e
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (Knuth, Bendix)

KBOs are simplification orders, for example that means that any TRS whose rules can be oriented with some KBO is terminating

Definition

let (A, \succ) denote a partial ordered set

$$\text{otype}_{\succ}(a) = \sup\{\text{otype}_{\succ}(b) + 1 \mid b \in A \text{ and } a \succ b\}$$

$$\text{otype}(\succ) = \sup\{\text{otype}_{\succ}(a) + 1 \mid a \in A\}$$

Example

ω^ω is the order type of the lexicographic order on finite sequences of numbers

Theorem (Lepper)

*there is a **low** upper bound on the order typ of KBO: $\text{otype}(\succ_{\text{kbo}}) \leq \omega^\omega$*

Transfinite Knuth-Bendix Orders

A Generalisation of KBOs

let \mathcal{O} denote the ordinals $< \epsilon_0$; recall that any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ is uniquely representable in **Cantor Normal Form (CNF)**:

$$\alpha = \omega^{\alpha_1} + \dots + \omega^{\alpha_n}$$

where $\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$ and α_i in CNF

Definitions

let F be a signature

- $w: F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is **weight function** for F
- $s: F \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is **subterm coefficient function** for F , whenever $\forall f \in F, \forall i$ argument position of f : $s(f, i) > 0$

Definition

the **weight** of t , **weight**: $T(F, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, is defined as follows:

$$\text{weight}(t) = \begin{cases} w_0 & \text{if } t \text{ is a variable} \\ w(f) \oplus (s(f, 1) \odot \text{weight}(t_1)) \\ \oplus \dots & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ \oplus (s(f, n) \odot \text{weight}(t_n)) & \end{cases}$$

Definition

- let \succ denote a precedence on signature F
- let w denote an admissible weight function into ordinals
- let \succ_{tkbo} denote the KBO induced by \succ , if terms get ordinals assigned as weights
- a TKBO is called **finite**, if all ordinals used are finite

Example

let $F = \{f, g, h, k\}$ and consider the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(x) & \rightarrow & g(x) & w(f) = 5 \\ h(x) & \rightarrow & f(f(x)) & w(g) = 0 \\ k(x, y) & \rightarrow & h(f(x), f(y)) & w(h) = \omega \\ & & & w(k) = \omega \cdot 2 \end{array}$$

subterm coefficients are $= 1$

Theorem (Ludwig, Waldmann, 2004)

TKBOs form a simplification order

Theorem (Kovacs, M., Voronkov, 2011)

let F be finite; any TKBO is equivalent to a TKBO restricting ordinal weights to ordinals $< \omega^{\omega^{\omega}}$

A Remark on TKBO for Rewriting

Theorem (Winkler, Zankl, Middeldorp, 2012)

if a *finite* TRS \mathcal{R} is compatible with a TKBO, then \mathcal{R} is compatible with a *finite* TKBO

NB: this does **not** imply that TKBOs are **equivalent** to finite TKBOs

Example

let $F = \{f, g, h, k\}$ and consider the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(x) & \rightarrow & g(x) & w(f) = 5 \\ h(x) & \rightarrow & f(f(x)) & w(g) = 0 \\ k(x, y) & \rightarrow & h(f(x), f(y)) & w(h) = \omega \\ & & & w(k) = \omega \cdot 2 \end{array}$$

subterm coefficients are $= 1$

Subrecursive Hierarchies

Definition

we define the family of **fundamental sequences** $\lambda[x]_{x \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows
(λ limit ordinal):

$$\lambda[x] = \begin{cases} x + 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \omega \\ \beta + \omega^\alpha \cdot (x + 1) & \text{if } \lambda = \beta + \omega^{\alpha+1} \\ \beta + \omega^{\alpha[x]} & \text{if } \lambda = \beta + \omega^\alpha, \alpha \text{ limit} \end{cases}$$

Definition

the family of **slow-growing functions** $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}}$ is defined as follows:

$$G_0(x) = 0 \quad G_{\alpha+1}(x) = G_\alpha(x) + 1 \quad G_\lambda(x) = G_{\lambda[x]}(x) \quad (\lambda \text{ limit})$$

Example

$$G_\omega(x) = x + 1 \quad G_{\omega^\omega}(x) = (x + 1)^{x+1^{x+1}} \quad G_{\omega \cdot 2}(10) = (10 + 1) \cdot 2 = 22$$

Remark

the family $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}}$ forms a hierarchy, that is, for $\alpha > \beta$:

$$\exists c \text{ such that } \forall x \geq c: G_\alpha(x) > G_\beta(x)$$

Example

$$\forall x \geq 1 \quad G_{\omega^\omega}(x) = (x+1)^{x+1} > (x+1) = G_\omega(x)$$

$$\forall x \geq 1 \quad G_\omega(x) = x+1 \not> y = G_y(x) \quad \text{whenever } y > x$$

Definition

let $>_{(x)}$ denote the transitive closure of the fundamental sequence $[\cdot]$, defined as follows:

$$\alpha >_{(x)} \beta \quad \text{if } \beta = \alpha[x] \text{ or } \alpha[x] \geq_{(x)} \beta$$

where we set $0[x] = 0$, $(\alpha + 1)[x] = \alpha$

Proof of Theorem on Finite TKBOs.

- it suffices to show that $\exists x \forall l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}: \text{weight}(l) \geq_{(x)} \text{weight}(r)$
as then $\forall l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}: G_{\text{weight}(l)}(k) \geq G_{\text{weight}(r)}(k)$
- set $k = \max\{N(\text{weight}(r)) \mid l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}\}$
- then $\text{weight}(l) \geq \text{weight}(r)$ implies $\text{weight}(l) \geq_{(k)} \text{weight}(r)$

Definition

we define the **derivational complexity** with respect to \mathcal{R} :

$$\text{dheight}(t) = \max\{n \mid \exists u \ t \rightarrow^n u\}$$

$$\text{dc}(n) = \max\{\text{dheight}(t) \mid \text{size of } t \leq n\}$$

Theorem

for any TRS \mathcal{R} , compatible with a TKBO, the derivational complexity is bounded by a 2-recursive function, that is, $\text{dc}(n) \in \text{Ack}(n, 0)$

Conclusion and Future Work

Summary

- 1 KBO can be equipped with ordinal weights, where weights $< \omega^\omega$ suffice
- 2 TKBOs for finite TRS collapse to **finite** TKBOs
- 3 TKBOs induce 2-recursive derivational complexity (for finite TRS)

Future Work

- generalised KBOs compute weights based on weakly monotone simple algebras \mathcal{A}
- clarify restrictions on \mathcal{A} so that ordinal weights again collapse to numbers

Thank You for Your Attention!