

# Logic Programming

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Summer 2015



```
Example (meta-interpreters for debugging)
```

```
solve(true,_D, no_overflow) :-
!.
solve(_A,0, overflow([])).
solve((A,B),D, Overflow) :-
        D > 0,
        solve(A,D, OverflowA),
        solve_conjunction(OverflowA,B,D,Overflow).
solve(A,D, no_overflow) :-
        D > 0,
        system(A), !, A.
solve(A,D, Overflow) :-
        D > 0,
        clause(A,B),
        D1 is D - 1,
        solve(B,D1,OverflowB),
        return_overflow(OverflowB,A,Overflow).
```

# Summary of Last Lecture

# Example

```
no_doubles(Xs,Ys) :- setof(X,member(X,Xs),Ys).
```

```
Example (meta-interpreter with proofs)
```

```
solve(true, true) :- !
solve((A,B),(ProofA,ProofB)) :-
!,
    solve(A,ProofA),
    solve(B,ProofB).
solve(A,(A :- Proof)) :-
    clause(A,B),
    solve(B,Proof).
```

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programming

182/1

Overview

### Outline of the Lecture

## Logic Programs

introduction, basic constructs, database and recursive programming, theory of logic programs

### The Prolog Language

programming in pure prolog, arithmetic, structure inspection, meta-logical predicates, cuts, extra-logical predicates, how to program efficiently

### Advanced Prolog Programming Techniques

nondeterministic programming, incomplete data structures, definite clause grammars, meta-programming, constraint logic programming

(Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK) Logic Programn

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programm

### Overvie

# **Expert Systems in Prolog**

### **Expert Systems**

expert systems typically consists of

- knowledge base
- inference engine

this separation is not suitable for a Prolog implementation

### **Employ Meta-Interpreters**

we implement the following features of expert systems using meta-interpreters:

- interaction with the user
- explanation facility
- uncertainty reasoning

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programming

185/1

### Overview

```
solve1/1
solve1(true):-
solve1((A,B)):-
        solve1(A), solve1(B).
solve1(A) :-
        A = (A1, A2),
        clause(A,B), solve1(B).
solve1(A) :-
        ask (A, Answer).
        respond (Answer, A).
ask(A, Answer) :- display_query(A), read(Answer).
askable(type(_Dish,_Type)).
askable(size(_Dish,_Size)).
respond(yes,A) :- assert(A).
respond (no, A) :- assert (untrue(A)), fail.
```

# Toy Expert System

```
place_in_oven(Dish,top) :-
    pastry(Dish), size(Dish,small).
place_in_oven(Dish,middle) :-
    pastry(Dish), size(Dish,big).
place_in_oven(Dish,middle) :-
    main_meal(Dish).
place_in_oven(Dish,low) :-
    slow_cooker(Dish).

pastry(Dish) :- type(Dish,cake).
pastry(Dish) :- type(Dish,bread).

main_meal(Dish) :- type(Dish,meat).

slow_cooker(Dish) :- type(Dish,milk_pudding).
```

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programming

106/

### Overvie

```
Interaction (in the Naive)
```

### Question

what about explanations for questions?

```
solve2/1
```

```
solve2(Goal) :- solve2(Goal,[]).
solve2(true,_Rules):-
solve2((A,B), Rules) :-
        solve2 (A, Rules), solve2 (B, Rules).
solve2(A, Rules) :-
       A = (_A1,_A2),
        clause (A.B).
        solve2(B,[rule(A,B)|Rules]).
solve2(A, Rules) :-
        ask (A, Answer), respond (Answer, A, Rules).
respond (why, A, [Rule | Rules]) :-
        display_rule(Rule),
        ask (A, Answer),
        respond (Answer, A, Rules).
```

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programming

```
interpret/1
```

```
interpret((Proof1, Proof2)) :-
        interpret(Proof1), interpret(Proof2).
interpret(Proof) :-
        fact (Proof, Fact),
        nl, write(Fact).
        writeln (' is a fact in the database').
interpret(Proof) :-
        rule (Proof, Head, Body, Proof1),
        nl. write (Head).
        writeln (' is proved using the rule').
        display_rule(rule(Head, Body)),
        interpret(Proof1).
extract_body((Proof1, Proof2),(Body1, Body2)):-
        !, extract_body(Proof1, Body1),
        extract_body(Proof2, Body2).
extract_body((Goal <-- _Proof), Goal).</pre>
```

## Interaction with Explanations

```
interact_why(Goal) :- reset, solve2(Goal).
```

```
?— interact_why(place_in_oven(dish,X)).
type(dish, cake)? yes.
size (dish, small)? no.
type(dish, bread)? no.
size (dish, big)? why.
if pastry(dish) and size(dish, big)
then place_in_oven(dish, middle)
size (dish, big)? yes.
X = middle
```

### Question

how to obtain general explanations

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programming

### how/1

```
how(Goal) :- solve(Goal, Proof), interpret(Proof).
?- interact(place_in_oven(dish,X)).
% required for type and size of dish
?- how(place_in_oven(dish,top)).
place_in_oven(dish,top) is proved using the rule
if pastry(dish) and size(dish, small)
then place_in_oven(dish.top)
pastry(dish) is proved using the rule
if type(dish, bread)
then pastry(dish)
type (dish, bread) is a fact in the database
size (dish, small) is a fact in the database
```

### Overviev

### Shortcomings with Explanation

- the explanation is exhaustive not intelligible for a knowledge base with 100 rules
- restrict explanation to one level:

```
pastry (dish) can be further explained
```

- Prolog computation is mirrored
- take expert knowledge into account:

```
interpret((Goal <-- Proof)) :-
  classification(Goal),
  write(Goal),
  writeln(' is a classification example').</pre>
```

• in general make use of filtered explanations

### Remark

a more advanced example of expert system is in Chapter 21 in the book

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programming

193/

Overviev

```
solve3/1
```

```
solve3(true,1) :-
!
solve3((A,B),C) :-
!,
    solve3(A,C1),
    solve3(B,C2),
    minimum(C1,C2,C).
solve3(A,C) :-
    clause_cf(A,B,C1),
    solve3(B,C2),
    C is C1 * C2.

?- interact(place_in_oven(dish,X)).
% required for type and size of dish
?- solve3(place_in_oven(dish,top),C).
C = 0.7
```

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

ogic Programmin

195/1

### Overvie

### Definition

the certainty of a goal is computed as follows

```
\mathsf{cert}(G) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{min}\{\mathsf{cert}(A), \mathsf{cert}(B)\} & G = (A, B) \\ \mathsf{max}\{\mathsf{cert}(B) \cdot \mathit{Factor} \mid \mathsf{exists} \ \langle A : -B, \mathit{Factor} \rangle\} & G = A \end{cases}
```

### Definition (clauses with certification factor)

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

Logic Programming

104/