

Logic Programming

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Summer 2015



Summary of Last Lecture

Definition

- *functor*($Term, F, Arity$) is true, if $Term$ is a compound term, whose principal functor is F with arith $Arity$
- *arg*($N, Term, Arg$) is true, if Arg is the N^{th} argument of $Term$

Definition

- $Term = .. List$ is true if $List$ is a list whose head is the principal functor of $Term$, and whose tail is the list of arguments of $Term$
- the operator $= ..$ is also called *univ*

Definition

- *var*($Term$) is true if $Term$ is **at present** an uninstantiated variable
- *nonvar*($Term$) is true if $Term$ is **at present** not a variable
- *ground*($Term$) is true if $Term$ does not contain variables

Comparing Nonground Terms

Definition

- $X == Y$ is true if X and Y are identical constants, variables, or compound terms
- $X \backslash == Y$ is true if X and Y are **not** identical

Example (Unification with Occurs Check)

```
← unify_with_occurs_check(X,f(X)).  
false
```

Remark

SWI-Prolog provides the following predicate that implements unification with occurs check:

unify_with_occurs_check/2

Outline of the Lecture

Logic Programs

introduction, basic constructs, database and recursive programming, theory of logic programs

The Prolog Language

programming in pure prolog, arithmetic, structure inspection, meta-logical predicates, cuts, extra-logical predicates, how to program efficiently

Advanced Prolog Programming Techniques

nondeterministic programming, incomplete data structures, definite clause grammars, meta-programming, constraint logic programming

Outline of the Lecture

Logic Programs

introduction, basic constructs, database and recursive programming, theory of logic programs

The Prolog Language

programming in pure prolog, arithmetic, structure inspection, **meta-logical predicates**, **cuts**, **extra-logical predicates**, how to program efficiently

Advanced Prolog Programming Techniques

nondeterministic programming, incomplete data structures, definite clause grammars, meta-programming, constraint logic programming

Example

```

substitute(Old,New,Old,New).
substitute(Old,New,Term,Term) ←
    constant(Term),
    Term ≠ Old.
substitute(Old,New,Term,Term1) ←
    compound(Term),
    functor(Term,F,N),
    functor(Term1,F,N),
    substitute(N,Old,New,Term,Term1).

substitute(N,Old,New,Term,Term1) ←
    N > 0,
    arg(N,Term,Arg),
    substitute(Old,New,Arg,Arg1),
    arg(N,Term1,Arg1),
    N1 is N - 1,
    substitute(N1,Old,New,Term,Term1).
substitute(0,Old,New,Term,Term1).

```

Variables as Objects

Observation

(logical) variables can be accidentally instantiated

Variables as Objects

Observation

(logical) variables can be accidentally instantiated

Example

```
← substitute(a,b,X,X).  
false
```


Variables as Objects

Observation

(logical) variables can be accidentally instantiated

Example

```
← substitute(a,b,X,X).  
false
```

Example (cont'd)

```
substitute(Old,New,Term,New) ←  
    ground(Term), Old = Term.  
substitute(Old,New,Term,Term) ←  
    constant(Term), Term ≠ Old.  
substitute(Old,New,Var,Var) ←  
    var(Var).  
  
⋮
```

Observation

- the problem comes from a mixing of object-level and meta-level variables
- one (crude) solution is to avoid logical variables on object level
- another solution is to **freeze** logical variable so that they become objects

Observation

- the problem comes from a mixing of object-level and meta-level variables
- one (crude) solution is to avoid logical variables on object level
- another solution is to **freeze** logical variable so that they become objects

Freeze and Melt

- the predicate $freeze(Term, Frozen)$ makes a copy of $Term$ into $Frozen$
- all variables in $Term$ become constants in $Frozen$
- $melt(Frozen, Thawed)$ is the reversed function to $freeze$

Observation

- the problem comes from a mixing of object-level and meta-level variables
- one (crude) solution is to avoid logical variables on object level
- another solution is to **freeze** logical variable so that they become objects

Freeze and Melt

- the predicate $freeze(Term, Frozen)$ makes a copy of $Term$ into $Frozen$
- all variables in $Term$ become constants in $Frozen$
- $melt(Frozen, Thawed)$ is the reversed function to $freeze$

Example

```
← freeze(f(X,Y),Frozen), ground(Frozen)
```

```
Frozen ↦ ...
```

Example

```
occurs_in(X,Term) ←  
    subterm(Sub,Term),  
    X == Sub.
```

Example

```
occurs_in(X,Term) ←  
    subterm(Sub,Term),  
    X == Sub.
```

Example

```
occurs_in(X,Term) ←  
    freeze(X,Xf),  
    freeze(Term,Termf),  
    subterm(Xf,Termf).
```

Example

```
occurs_in(X,Term) ←
    subterm(Sub,Term),
    X == Sub.
```

Example

```
occurs_in(X,Term) ←
    freeze(X,Xf),
    freeze(Term,Termf),
    subterm(Xf,Termf).
```

Observations

- two frozen terms X and Y unify iff $X==Y$ holds
- freeze and melt allow to implement *substitute/4* without unintended variable instantiation

Meta-Variable Facility

Definition

the **meta-variable facility** allows a variable to appear as a goal or in the body

Meta-Variable Facility

Definition

the **meta-variable facility** allows a variable to appear as a goal or in the body

Example

```
X; Y ← X.
```

```
X; Y ← Y.
```

Meta-Variable Facility

Definition

the **meta-variable facility** allows a variable to appear as a goal or in the body

Example

```
X; Y ← X.
```

```
X; Y ← Y.
```

Other Control Predicates

- | | |
|---------------|----------------|
| <i>fail/0</i> | <i>false/0</i> |
| ← fail. | ← false. |
| false | false |

Meta-Variable Facility

Definition

the **meta-variable facility** allows a variable to appear as a goal or in the body

Example

```
X; Y ← X.
X; Y ← Y.
```

Other Control Predicates

- *fail/0* *false/0*
 ← fail. ← false.
 false false
- *true/0*
 ← true.
 true

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←  
    member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←  
    member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
  
← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).  
X ↦ [a,c,b]
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←  
    member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
  
← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).  
X ↦ [a,c,b] ;  
X ↦ [b,a,c,b]
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←  
    member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
  
← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).  
X ↦ [a,c,b] ;  
X ↦ [b,a,c,b] ;  
X ↦ [a,a,c,b]
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs),
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).
X ↦ [a,c,b] ;
X ↦ [b,a,c,b] ;
X ↦ [a,a,c,b] ;
X ↦ [a,b,a,c,b]
```


Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←  
    member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
  
← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).  
X ↦ [a,c,b] ;  
X ↦ [b,a,c,b] ;  
X ↦ [a,a,c,b] ;  
X ↦ [a,b,a,c,b] ;  
false
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←  
    member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←  
    \+ member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←  
    member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←  
    \+ member(X, Xs),  
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).  
  
← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).  
X ↦ [a,c,b]
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs),
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←
    \+ member(X, Xs),
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).
X ↦ [a,c,b] ;
false
```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```
no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs),
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←
    \+ member(X, Xs),
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).
X ↦ [a,c,b] ;
false
```

negation as failure

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```

no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs), !,          cut
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←

    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
← no_doubles([a,b,a,c,b], X).
X ↦ [a,c,b] ;
false

```

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```

no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs), !,           cut
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←

    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

```

Effect of Cut

! succeeds

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```

no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs), !,           cut
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←

    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

```

Effect of Cut

- ! succeeds
- ! fixes all choices between (and including) moment of matching rule's head with parent goal and cut

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```

no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs), !,           cut
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←

    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

```

Effect of Cut

- ! succeeds
- ! fixes all choices between (and including) moment of matching rule's head with parent goal and cut
- if backtracking reaches !, the cut fails and the search continues from the last choice made before the clause containing ! was chosen

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```

no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs), !,           cut
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←

    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

```

Effect of Cut

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(t_{11}, \dots, t_{1n}) &\leftarrow A_1, \dots, A_k. \\
 &\vdots \\
 p(t_{i1}, \dots, t_{in}) &\leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_i, !, C_1, \dots, C_j. \\
 &\vdots \\
 p(t_{m1}, \dots, t_{mn}) &\leftarrow D_1, \dots, D_l.
 \end{aligned}$$

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```

no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs), !,           cut
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←

    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
  
```

Effect of Cut

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(t_{11}, \dots, t_{1n}) &\leftarrow A_1, \dots, A_k. \\
 &\vdots \\
 p(t_{i1}, \dots, t_{in}) &\leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_i, \text{ !, } C_1, \dots, C_j. \\
 &\vdots \\
 p(t_{m1}, \dots, t_{mn}) &\leftarrow D_1, \dots, D_l.
 \end{aligned}$$

Example (Removal of Duplicates)

```

no_doubles([], []).
no_doubles([X|Xs], Ys) ←
    member(X, Xs), !,           cut
    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).
no_doubles([X|Xs], [X|Ys]) ←

    no_doubles(Xs, Ys).

```

Effect of Cut

```

p(t11, ..., t1n) ← A1, ..., Ak.
⋮
p(ti1, ..., tin) ← B1, ..., Bi, !, C1, ..., Cj.
⋮
p(tm1, ..., tmn) ← D1, ..., Dl.

```

blocked

Examples of (Green) Cuts

Example (Without Cuts)

```
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X|Zs]) ←  
    X < Y, merge(Xs, [Y|Ys], Zs).  
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X,Y|Zs]) ←  
    X = Y, merge(Xs, Ys, Zs).  
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [Y|Zs]) ←  
    X > Y, merge([X|Xs], Ys, Zs).  
merge(Xs, [], Xs) .  
merge([], Ys, Ys) .
```

Examples of (Green) Cuts

Example (With Cuts)

```
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X|Zs]) ←  
    X < Y, !, merge(Xs, [Y|Ys], Zs).  
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X,Y|Zs]) ←  
    X = Y, !, merge(Xs, Ys, Zs).  
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [Y|Zs]) ←  
    X > Y, !, merge([X|Xs], Ys, Zs).  
merge(Xs, [], Xs) ← !.  
merge([], Ys, Ys) ← !.
```

Examples of (Green) Cuts

Example (With Cuts)

```
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X|Zs]) ←
    X < Y, !, merge(Xs, [Y|Ys], Zs).
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X,Y|Zs]) ←
    X = Y, !, merge(Xs, Ys, Zs).
merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [Y|Zs]) ←
    X > Y, !, merge([X|Xs], Ys, Zs).
merge(Xs, [], Xs) ← !.
merge([], Ys, Ys) ← !.
```

Example

```
minimum(X,Y,X) ← X ≤ Y, !.
minimum(X,Y,Y) ← X > Y, !.
```

Fact

(Green) cuts can greatly increase the efficiency by removing redundant computations

Fact

(Green) cuts can greatly increase the efficiency by removing redundant computations

Example

```
ordered([X]).
```

```
ordered([X,Y|Xs]) ← X ≤ Y, ordered([Y|Xs]).
```

```
sort(Xs,Ys) ←
```

```
    append(As,[X,Y|Bs],Xs),
```

```
    X > Y,
```

```
    append(As,[Y,X|Bs],Xs1),
```

```
    sort(Xs1,Ys1).
```

Fact

(Green) cuts can greatly increase the efficiency by removing redundant computations

Example

```
ordered([X]).
ordered([X,Y|Xs]) ← X ≤ Y, ordered([Y|Xs]).
```

```
sort(Xs,Ys) ←
  append(As,[X,Y|Bs],Xs),
  X > Y,
  append(As,[Y,X|Bs],Xs1),
  sort(Xs1,Ys1).
```

```
sort(Xs,Xs) ←
  ordered(Xs).
```

Fact

(Green) cuts can greatly increase the efficiency by removing redundant computations

Example

```
ordered([X]).
```

```
ordered([X,Y|Xs]) ← X ≤ Y, ordered([Y|Xs]).
```

```
sort(Xs,Ys) ←
```

```
    append(As,[X,Y|Bs],Xs),
```

```
    X > Y,
```

```
    append(As,[Y,X|Bs],Xs1),
```

```
    sort(Xs1,Ys1).
```

```
sort(Xs,Xs) ←
```

```
    ordered(Xs).
```

```
← sort([3,2,1],Xs)
```

```
Xs ↦ [1,2,3]
```

Fact

(Green) cuts can greatly increase the efficiency by removing redundant computations

Example

```
ordered([X]).
ordered([X,Y|Xs]) ← X ≤ Y, ordered([Y|Xs]).
```

```
sort(Xs,Ys) ←
  append(As,[X,Y|Bs],Xs),
  X > Y, !,
  append(As,[Y,X|Bs],Xs1),
  sort(Xs1,Ys1).
```

```
sort(Xs,Xs) ←
  ordered(Xs), !.
```

```
← sort([3,2,1],Xs)
Xs ↦ [1,2,3]
```

Definition (Negation as Failure)

- negation $\backslash+$ is implemented using cut

Definition (Negation as Failure)

- negation $\backslash+$ is implemented using cut
- the principle of negation is limited and known as **negation as failure**

Definition (Negation as Failure)

- negation $\backslash+$ is implemented using cut
- the principle of negation is limited and known as **negation as failure**

Example

```
not X ← X, !, fail.  
not X.
```

Definition (Negation as Failure)

- negation $\backslash+$ is implemented using cut
- the principle of negation is limited and known as **negation as failure**

Example

```
not X ← X, !, fail.  
not X.
```

Observation

if G does not terminate, $\text{not}(G)$ may or may not terminate

Definition (Negation as Failure)

- negation $\backslash+$ is implemented using cut
- the principle of negation is limited and known as **negation as failure**

Example

```
not X ← X, !, fail.  
not X.
```

Observation

if G does not terminate, $\text{not}(G)$ may or may not terminate

Example

```
married(abraham,sarah).  
married(X,Y) ← married(Y,X)  
← not married(abraham,sarah).
```

Green vs Red Cuts

Definition

- a cut is **green** if the addition of the cut doesn't change the meaning of the program; removing it makes the program potentially inefficient, but not wrong

Green vs Red Cuts

Definition

- a cut is **green** if the addition of the cut doesn't change the meaning of the program; removing it makes the program potentially inefficient, but not wrong
- a cut is **red** if its presence changes the meaning of the program; removing it, changes the meaning and thus may make the program wrong

Green vs Red Cuts

Definition

- a cut is **green** if the addition of the cut doesn't change the meaning of the program; removing it makes the program potentially inefficient, but not wrong
- a cut is **red** if its presence changes the meaning of the program; removing it, changes the meaning and thus may make the program wrong

Example (...)

```
minimum(X,Y,X) ← X ≤ Y, .  
minimum(X,Y,Y).
```

```
← minimum(2,5,X)
```

```
X = 2
```

```
X = 5
```

Green vs Red Cuts

Definition

- a cut is **green** if the addition of the cut doesn't change the meaning of the program; removing it makes the program potentially inefficient, but not wrong
- a cut is **red** if its presence changes the meaning of the program; removing it, changes the meaning and thus may make the program wrong

Example (...)

```
minimum(X,Y,X) ← X ≤ Y, !.
```

```
minimum(X,Y,Y).
```

```
← minimum(2,5,X)
```

```
X = 2
```

Green vs Red Cuts

Definition

- a cut is **green** if the addition of the cut doesn't change the meaning of the program; removing it makes the program potentially inefficient, but not wrong
- a cut is **red** if its presence changes the meaning of the program; removing it, changes the meaning and thus may make the program wrong

Example (Bad Cut)

```
minimum(X,Y,X) ← X ≤ Y, !.  
minimum(X,Y,Y).  
← minimum(2,5,5)  
true
```

Example of Green and Red Cuts

Example (...)

```
delete([X|Ys],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).  
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← Y ≠ X, !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).  
delete([],X,[]).
```

Example of Green and Red Cuts

Example (Green Cut)

```
delete([X|Ys],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).  
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← Y ≠ X, !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).  
delete([],X,[]).
```


Example of Green and Red Cuts

Example (Green Cut)

```
delete([X|Ys],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← Y ≠ X, !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
```

Example (...)

```
delete([X|Xs],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
← \+ delete([a,b],b,[a,b]).
```

Example of Green and Red Cuts

Example (Green Cut)

```
delete([X|Ys],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← Y ≠ X, !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
```

Example (Red Cut)

```
delete([X|Xs],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
← \+ delete([a,b],b,[a,b]).
```

Example of Green and Red Cuts

Example (Green Cut)

```
delete([X|Ys],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← Y ≠ X, !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
```

Example (Red Cut)

```
delete([X|Xs],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
← \+ delete([a,b],b,[a,b]).
```

Example (...)

```
member(X,[X|Xs]) ← !.
member(X,[Y|Ys]) ← member(X,Ys).
```

Example of Green and Red Cuts

Example (Green Cut)

```
delete([X|Ys],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← Y ≠ X, !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
```

Example (Red Cut)

```
delete([X|Xs],X,Zs) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([Y|Ys],X,[Y|Zs]) ← !, delete(Ys,X,Zs).
delete([],X,[]).
← \+ delete([a,b],b,[a,b]).
```

Example (Red Cut)

```
member(X,[X|Xs]) ← !.
member(X,[Y|Ys]) ← member(X,Ys).
```

Example (Truth Tables for Propositional Formulas)

`and(A,B) ← A, B.`

`or(A,B) ← A; B.`

`implies(A,B) ← or(not(A),B).`

Example (Truth Tables for Propositional Formulas)

```
and(A,B) ← A, B.
```

```
or(A,B) ← A; B.
```

```
implies(A,B) ← or(not(A),B).
```

```
bind(true).
```

```
bind(false).
```

```
table(A,B,E) ← bind(A), bind(B), row(A,B,E), fail.
```

Example (Truth Tables for Propositional Formulas)

```
and(A,B) ← A, B.
```

```
or(A,B) ← A; B.
```

```
implies(A,B) ← or(not(A),B).
```

```
bind(true).
```

```
bind(false).
```

```
table(A,B,E) ← bind(A), bind(B), row(A,B,E), fail.
```

```
table(_,_,_) ← nl.
```

Example (Truth Tables for Propositional Formulas)

```
and(A,B) ← A, B.
```

```
or(A,B) ← A; B.
```

```
implies(A,B) ← or(not(A),B).
```

```
bind(true).
```

```
bind(false).
```

```
table(A,B,E) ← bind(A), bind(B), row(A,B,E), fail.
```

```
table(_,_,_) ← nl.
```

```
row(A,B,_) ← wr(A), write(' '), wr(B), write(' '), fail.
```

```
row(_,_,E) ← E, !, wr(true), nl.
```

```
row(_,_,_) ← wr(false), nl.
```

```
wr(true) ← write('T').
```

```
wr(false) ← write('F').
```


Example (Truth Tables for Propositional Formulas)

```
and(A,B) ← A, B.
or(A,B) ← A; B.
implies(A,B) ← or(not(A),B).

bind(true).
bind(false).

table(A,B,E) ← bind(A), bind(B), row(A,B,E), fail.
table(_,_,_) ← nl.

row(A,B,_) ← wr(A), write(' '), wr(B), write(' '), fail.
row(_,_,E) ← E, !, wr(true), nl.
row(_,_,_) ← wr(false), nl.

wr(true) ← write('T').
wr(false) ← write('F').

← table(A,B,or(A,implies(B,or(B,and(A,B))))).
```

Example (Truth Tables for Propositional Formulas)

```
and(A,B) ← A, B.
or(A,B) ← A; B.
implies(A,B) ← or(not(A),B).

bind(true).
bind(false).

table(A,B,E) ← bind(A), bind(B), row(A,B,E), fail.
table(_,_,_) ← nl.

row(A,B,_) ← wr(A), write(' '), wr(B), write(' '), fail.
row(_,_,E) ← E, !, wr(true), nl.
row(_,_,_) ← wr(false), nl.

wr(true) ← write('T').
wr(false) ← write('F').

← table(A,B,or(A,implies(B,or(B,and(A,B))))).
← table(A,B,false).
```

Cut-Fail Combinations

Example (Implementing \neq)

$X \neq X \rightarrow !, \text{fail.}$

$X \neq Y.$

Cut-Fail Combinations

Example (Implementing \neq)

$X \neq X \rightarrow !, \text{fail.}$

$X \neq Y.$

Example (Implementing `if_then_else`)

`if_then_else(P,Q,R) ← P, !, Q.`

`if_then_else(P,Q,R) ← R.`

Cut-Fail Combinations

Example (Implementing \neq)

```
X  $\neq$  X  $\rightarrow$  !, fail.
```

```
X  $\neq$  Y.
```

Example (Implementing `if_then_else`)

```
if_then_else(P,Q,R)  $\leftarrow$  P, !, Q.
```

```
if_then_else(P,Q,R)  $\leftarrow$  R.
```

Example (Implementing `same_vars`)

```
same_var(foo,Y)  $\leftarrow$  var(Y), !, fail.
```

```
same_var(X,Y)  $\leftarrow$  var(X), var(Y).
```

Extra-Logical Predicates

Definition

predicates in Prolog outside of the logic programming model are called **extra-logical predicates**

- 1 predicates concerned with I/O
- 2 predicates for accessing and manipulating the program
- 3 predicates for interfacing the operating system

Extra-Logical Predicates

Definition

predicates in Prolog outside of the logic programming model are called **extra-logical predicates**

- 1 predicates concerned with I/O
- 2 predicates for accessing and manipulating the program
- 3 predicates for interfacing the operating system

input/output

Extra-Logical Predicates

Definition

predicates in Prolog outside of the logic programming model are called **extra-logical predicates**

- 1 predicates concerned with I/O
- 2 predicates for accessing and manipulating the program
- 3 predicates for interfacing the operating system

input/output

- **read**(X) is true if X unifies with term read from input stream

Extra-Logical Predicates

Definition

predicates in Prolog outside of the logic programming model are called **extra-logical predicates**

- 1 predicates concerned with I/O
- 2 predicates for accessing and manipulating the program
- 3 predicates for interfacing the operating system

input/output

- *read*(X) is true if X unifies with term read from input stream
- *write*(X) writes X to output stream; always succeeds

Extra-Logical Predicates

Definition

predicates in Prolog outside of the logic programming model are called **extra-logical predicates**

- 1 predicates concerned with I/O
- 2 predicates for accessing and manipulating the program
- 3 predicates for interfacing the operating system

input/output

- *read*(X) is true if X unifies with term read from input stream
- *write*(X) writes X to output stream; always succeeds
- *get*(X) is true if X unifies with the ASCII code of the first character

Extra-Logical Predicates

Definition

predicates in Prolog outside of the logic programming model are called **extra-logical predicates**

- 1 predicates concerned with I/O
- 2 predicates for accessing and manipulating the program
- 3 predicates for interfacing the operating system

input/output

- *read*(X) is true if X unifies with term read from input stream
- *write*(X) writes X to output stream; always succeeds
- *get*(X) is true if X unifies with the ASCII code of the first character
- *put*(N) writes character corresponding to ASCII code N to output stream

Example

```

read_word_list(Ws) ←
  get(C),
  read_word_list(C,Ws).
read_word_list(C,[W|Ws]) ←
  word_char(C),
  read_word(C,W,C1),
  read_word_list(C1,Ws).
read_word_list(C,Ws) ←
  fill_char(C),
  get(C1),
  read_word_list(C1,Ws).
read_word_list(C,[]) ←
  end_of_words_char(C).
read_word(C,W,C1) ←
  word_chars(C,Cs,C1),
  name(W,Cs).

```

```

word_chars(C,[C|Cs],C0) ←
  word_char(C),
  !,
  get(C1),
  word_chars(C1,Cs,C0).
word_chars(C,[],C) ←
  \+ word_char(C).
word_char(C) ← 97 ≤ C, C ≤ 122.
word_char(C) ← 65 ≤ C, C ≤ 90.
word_char(95).
fill_char(32).
end_of_words_char(46).

```