



1 Consider the formula

$$(\bar{1} \vee \bar{2}) \wedge (\bar{1} \vee 2 \vee 3) \wedge (4 \vee 5) \wedge (\bar{6} \vee \bar{5} \vee 7) \wedge (\bar{3} \vee \bar{7} \vee \bar{8}) \wedge (\bar{3} \vee 8 \vee \bar{9}) \wedge (9 \vee \bar{10}) \wedge (\bar{3} \vee 8 \vee 10)$$

and suppose a DPLL inference sequence reached the state $1^d \bar{2} \bar{3} \bar{4}^d 5 \bar{6}^d 7 \bar{8} \bar{9} \bar{10}$.

- [3] (a) Construct an implication graph and give three different cuts together with the induced implied (backjump) clauses. Which nodes are UIPs?
- [3] (b) Give an implied clause that has as few literals as possible (among the implied clauses that can be obtained from the graph). Derive this clause by resolution from the conflict.

2 Consider the following formula φ :

$$a \approx b \wedge (f(a) \not\approx f(b) \vee f(a) \not\approx c) \wedge (a \not\approx b \vee f(c) \not\approx c) \wedge f(b) \approx f(f(a))$$

- [1] (a) Construct a propositional skeleton of φ .
- [5] (b) Determine satisfiability of φ using $DPLL(T)$. Explain which $DPLL(T)$ inference rules are used, which EUF problems appear and how they are solved, and why the formula is eventually determined to be (un)satisfiable.

3 Consider the following set of equations E :

$$f(a) \approx a \quad f(f(a)) \approx f(b) \quad g(a, b) \approx b \quad f(c) \approx g(a, c) \quad c \approx g(c, a)$$

and use congruence closure to determine whether the following hold:

- [3] (a) $E \models g(f(f(a)), b) \approx b$
- [2] (b) $E \models f(c) \approx f(g(a, c))$

4 Suppose a run of the Simplex algorithm with variable order $x > y > s_1 > s_2 > s_3 > s_4$ reached the following intermediate state:

$$\begin{array}{r} s_1 \\ x \\ y \\ s_4 \end{array} \begin{array}{cc} s_2 & s_3 \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -4 & -3 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \begin{array}{l} s_1 \leq 1 \\ s_2 \leq 4 \\ s_3 \leq -6 \\ s_4 \leq 7 \end{array}$$

with assignment $x = 2, y = 4, s_1 = 2, s_2 = 4, s_3 = -6, s_4 = 2$.

- [2] (a) Which variable pairs are suitable for a pivot step, and why?
- [5] (b) Perform one possible pivot step, and compute the new assignment. Does it satisfy the constraints?

- 5 Consider bitvector variables \mathbf{a}_3 , \mathbf{b}_3 , and \mathbf{c}_3 of three bits each. Suppose that $\mathbf{a}_3 = a_2a_1a_0$, with a_2 being the most significant bit (so $\mathbf{a} = 2^0 \cdot a_0 + 2^1 \cdot a_1 + 2^2 \cdot a_2$), and similarly for \mathbf{b}_3 and \mathbf{c}_3 .
- [4] (a) Give a propositional formula which encodes the signed comparison $\mathbf{a}_3 >_u (\mathbf{b}_3 \& \mathbf{c}_3)$. Here $\&$ denotes bitwise AND, and $>_u$ denotes unsigned greater-than comparison. For example, $\mathbf{2}_3 >_u (\mathbf{7}_3 \& \mathbf{1}_3)$ is true, while $\mathbf{2}_3 >_u (\mathbf{7}_3 \& \mathbf{4}_3)$ is false.
- [2] (b) Give a propositional formula which encodes that the multiplication $\mathbf{a}_3 \times \mathbf{b}_3$ overflows, i.e., the result is no longer representable in 3 bits.

- ★ 6 Consider the following formula ψ combining uninterpreted functions and linear real arithmetic:

$$(z = 0) \wedge (x + z \geq y) \wedge (y \leq x) \wedge (f(z) > z) \wedge (f(x) = f(z) + f(y))$$

- [2] (a) Purify ψ .
- [2] (b) Use the Nelson-Oppen procedure to determine satisfiability of ψ . You can use either the deterministic or the nondeterministic version.

Exercises marked with a ★ are optional and give extra points.