

Interactive Theorem Proving

Week 3

Vincent van Oostrom (VO)

March 21, 2019



Summary

So far

Proof Assistants, λ_{\rightarrow}

- ▶ Natural Deduction
- ▶ Intuitionistic Logic
- ▶ Properties of λ_{\rightarrow}
- ▶ BHK interpretation

Today

- ▶ Church-typing vs. Curry Typing
- ▶ Principal types
- ▶ Tautology Checking
- ▶ Kripke Semantics

Inductive definition of terms

Rule form

$$\frac{\cdot}{x^\sigma : \sigma}$$

$$\frac{M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad N : \sigma}{MN : \tau}$$

$$\frac{P : \tau}{\lambda x^\sigma. P : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

With a context

- ▶ Declare the free variables

$$x_1 : \sigma_1 \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash t : \tau$$

- ▶ Usually denoted Γ
- ▶ Derivation tree

Terms in STT (λ_{\rightarrow})

- ▶ Can we find a term for every type?

Terms in STT (λ_{\rightarrow})

- ▶ Can we find a term for every type?

$$x^\alpha : \alpha$$

- ▶ Can we find a closed term for every type?

Terms in STT (λ_{\rightarrow})

- ▶ Can we find a term for every type?

$$x^\alpha : \alpha$$

- ▶ Can we find a closed term for every type?

$$(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

- ▶ No! Not every type is inhabited.

Type assignment

Typing à la Church

- ▶ All terms have the type information in the λ -abstractions
- ▶ Unique term types can be computed from the variable types

Typing à la Curry

- ▶ Given an untyped λ -term assign types
- ▶ Types are no longer unique
- ▶ Unification gives principal types

Example: Type $\lambda x.\lambda y.x(\lambda z.y)$

Type assignment

Typing à la Church

- ▶ All terms have the type information in the λ -abstractions
- ▶ Unique term types can be computed from the variable types

Typing à la Curry

- ▶ Given an untyped λ -term assign types
- ▶ Types are no longer unique
- ▶ Unification gives principal types

Example: Type $\lambda x.\lambda y.x(\lambda z.y)$

- ▶ $((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$
- ▶ $((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$
- ▶ $((\beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$

Type assignment

Typing à la Church

- ▶ All terms have the type information in the λ -abstractions
- ▶ Unique term types can be computed from the variable types
- ▶ Useful in proving

Typing à la Curry

- ▶ Given an untyped λ -term assign types
- ▶ Types are no longer unique
- ▶ Unification gives principal types
- ▶ Useful in programming

Example: Type $\lambda x.\lambda y.x(\lambda z.y)$

- ▶ $((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$
- ▶ $((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$
- ▶ $((\beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$

Connection between STT à la Church and à la Curry

Erasure map: $|\cdot|$

$$|x^\alpha| = x$$

$$|MN| = |M||N|$$

$$|\lambda x^\alpha.M| = \lambda x. |M|$$

Theorem

If $M : \sigma$ in STT à la Church, then $|M| : \sigma$ in STT à la Curry

Theorem

If $N : \sigma$ in STT à la Curry, then $\exists M. |M| = N \wedge M : \sigma$ in STT à la Church

Definitions

Definition: type substitution

A map from type variables to types

Definition: unifier

For two given types σ and τ their unifier, is such a type substitution s that $s(\sigma) = s(\tau)$

Definition: mgu (most general unifier)

Given types σ and τ their mgu is a type substitution s , such that:

- ▶ $s(\sigma) = s(\tau)$
- ▶ $\forall t. t(\sigma) = t(\tau) \rightarrow \exists r. t = r \circ s$

Definitions

Definition: type substitution

A map from type variables to types

Definition: unifier

For two given types σ and τ their unifier, is such a type substitution s that $s(\sigma) = s(\tau)$

Definition: mgu (most general unifier)

Given types σ and τ their mgu is a type substitution s , such that:

- ▶ $s(\sigma) = s(\tau)$
- ▶ $\forall t. t(\sigma) = t(\tau) \rightarrow \exists r. t = r \circ s$

The above notions generalize to lists of types

Algorithm computing mgu

In λ_{\rightarrow} only one function

Input: $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$, output: mgu or “not unifiable”.

$$\frac{E_1; g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \approx g(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n); E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \dots; \tau_n \approx \tau'_n; E_2} d_1$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2; E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \tau_2 \approx \tau'_2; E_2} d_2$$

$$\frac{E_1; \alpha \approx \tau; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin V(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha/\tau\}} v_1$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \alpha; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin V(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha/\tau\}} v_2$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \tau; E_2}{E_1; E_2} t$$

Definition: Principal type

σ is a principal type for an untyped λ -term M if:

- ▶ $M : \sigma$ in STT à la Curry
- ▶ $\forall \tau, M : \tau \rightarrow \exists s. \tau = s(\sigma)$

Principal Types: example

$$\lambda x^\alpha . \lambda y^\beta . y^\beta (\lambda z^\gamma . y^\beta x^\alpha)$$

1. Assign type variables to all variables: $x : \alpha, y : \beta, z : \gamma$.
2. Assign type variables to all applicative subterms: $y x : \delta$, $y(\lambda z . y x) : \epsilon$.
3. Generate equations between types, necessary for the term to be typable:

$$\beta = \alpha \rightarrow \delta \quad \beta = (\gamma \rightarrow \delta) \rightarrow \epsilon$$

4. Find a most general unifier that solves the above equations:

$$\alpha := \gamma \rightarrow \delta, \beta := (\gamma \rightarrow \delta) \rightarrow \epsilon, \delta := \epsilon$$

5. The principal type of $\lambda x . \lambda y . y(\lambda z . xy)$ is now:

$$(\gamma \rightarrow \epsilon) \rightarrow ((\gamma \rightarrow \epsilon) \rightarrow \epsilon) \rightarrow \epsilon$$

Understanding β -reduction

Theorem

Isomorphism Detour-elimination in natural deduction for MPL is β -reduction for Church-typed simply typed λ -calculus.

Theorem

A simple type ϕ is inhabited iff ϕ is provable in MPL.

Theorem

Inhabitation is PSPACE-complete.

Inhabitation via normal forms

BLACKBOARD

Understanding IPL

Theorem (Glivenko)

ϕ tautology in classical logic iff $\vdash \neg\neg\phi$ in IPL.

Theorem (Disjunction Property of IPL)

$\vdash \phi \vee \psi$ iff $\vdash \phi$ or $\vdash \psi$ in IPL.

Example

$p \vee \neg p$ tautology in classical logic even if neither p nor $\neg p$ is.

Lattice

Implicational formulae ϕ having only propositional variable p , ordered by implication.

- ▶ In classical logic: 4-point diamond.
- ▶ In intuitionistic logic: Rieger-Nishimura lattice.