



1 After collecting all subterms and merging those occurring together in an equation, we have the following sets:

$$(1) \ a, b, f(a), g(b) \quad (2) \ f(b), c \quad (3) \ g(a), g(g(b)) \quad (4) \ f(c), f(g(c))$$

We merge (1) containing $f(a)$ and (2) containing $f(b)$ because a and b are in the same set:

$$(1) \ a, b, f(a), g(b), f(b), c \quad (3) \ g(a), g(g(b)) \quad (4) \ f(c), f(g(c))$$

Also (1) containing $g(b)$ and (3) containing $g(a)$ are merged as a and b are in the same set:

$$(1) \ a, b, f(a), g(b), f(b), c, g(a), g(g(b)) \quad (4) \ f(c), f(g(c))$$

Finally, also (1) containing $f(b)$ and (4) containing $f(c)$ are merged because b and c are both in (1). So all the occurring terms are equal, in particular $E \models a \approx c$ and $E \models f(c) \approx c$ hold.

2 Considering the formula φ :

$$(g(x_3) \not\approx g(x_4) \vee g(x_1) \approx x_1) \wedge (x_3 \approx x_4 \vee x_1 \approx x_2) \wedge (f(x_1, x_3) \approx x_1 \vee x_1 \approx f(x_1, x_4)) \wedge x_1 \not\approx x_2 \wedge (g(x_3) \not\approx g(x_4) \vee g(f(x_1, x_3)) \not\approx g(x_1))$$

one can abbreviate:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1: g(x_3) \approx g(x_4) & 2: g(x_1) \approx x_1 & 3: x_3 \approx x_4 \\ 4: x_1 \approx x_2 & 5: f(x_1, x_3) \approx x_1 & 6: x_1 \approx f(x_1, x_4) \\ 7: g(f(x_1, x_3)) \approx g(x_1) & & \end{array}$$

and apply DPLL(T) to the propositional formula $(\bar{1} \vee 2) \wedge (3 \vee 4) \wedge (5 \vee 6) \wedge \bar{4} \wedge (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7})$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & \\ \Rightarrow & \bar{4} \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & \text{unit propagate} \\ \Rightarrow & \bar{4} 3 \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & \text{unit propagate} \\ \Rightarrow & \bar{4} 3 1 \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & T\text{-propagate } \textcircled{1} \\ \Rightarrow^+ & \bar{4} 3 1 2 \bar{7} \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & \text{unit propagate} \\ \Rightarrow & \bar{4} 3 1 2 \bar{7} 5^d \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & \text{decide} \\ \Rightarrow & \bar{4} 3 1 2 \bar{7} \bar{5} \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & T\text{-backjump } \textcircled{2} \\ \Rightarrow & \bar{4} 3 1 2 \bar{7} \bar{5} 6 \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}) & \text{unit propagate} \\ \Rightarrow & \bar{4} 3 1 2 \bar{7} \bar{5} 6 \| (\bar{1} \vee 2), (3 \vee 4), (5 \vee 6), \bar{4}, (\bar{1} \vee \bar{7}), (\bar{3} \vee 5 \vee \bar{6}) & T\text{-learn } \textcircled{3} \\ \Rightarrow & \text{FailState} & \end{array}$$

Hence φ is T -unsatisfiable. The steps $\textcircled{1}$, $\textcircled{2}$, and $\textcircled{3}$ use theory reasoning as follows:

- ① The T -propagation step uses the fact that $\bar{4} \wedge 3 \models_T 1$ holds, which can be seen as follows. By definition of \models_T , this means that $\bar{4} \wedge 3 \wedge \bar{1}$ is unsatisfiable. To this end, we use congruence closure to show $3 \models_T 1$, that is, $x_3 \approx x_4 \models g(x_3) \approx g(x_4)$. We skolemize all terms, replacing x_3 and x_4 by the constants c_3 and c_4 , and start from term sets

$$(1) \ c_3 \qquad (2) \ c_4 \qquad (3) \ g(c_3) \qquad (4) \ g(c_4)$$

One first merges (1) and (2) because of $c_3 \approx c_4$, and then (3) and (4) since the two constants are in the same set. So $g(c_3)$ and $g(c_4)$ are congruent.

- ② This step uses the backjump clause $C = (4 \vee \bar{3} \vee \bar{1} \vee \bar{2} \vee 7 \vee \bar{5})$ obtained from the negated assignment $M = \bar{4} \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ \bar{7} \ 5$. The clause satisfies $\varphi \models_T C$ because $\neg C$ itself is already T -inconsistent. To see that, we need to find a literal in the set $N = \{5, 7\}$ occurring negatively in M which is implied by the positive literals $P = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$. So we again use congruence closure to show that $2, 5 \models_T 7$, that is, $g(x_1) \approx x_1, f(x_1, x_3) \approx x_1 \models_T g(f(x_1, x_3)) \approx g(x_1)$ holds (which implies $1, 2, 3, 5 \models_T 7$). Starting from the skolemized initial term sets

$$(1) \ c_1 \qquad (2) \ c_3 \qquad (3) \ g(c_1) \qquad (4) \ f(c_1, c_3) \qquad (5) \ g(f(c_1, c_3))$$

one first merges (1), (3), and (4) because of the equations

$$(1) \ c_1, g(c_1), f(c_1, c_3) \qquad (2) \ c_3 \qquad (5) \ g(f(c_1, c_3))$$

and next (1) containing $g(c_1)$ and (5) containing $g(f(c_1, c_3))$ as c_1 and $f(c_1, c_3)$ are in (1). Therefore $g(f(c_1, c_3))$ and $g(c_1)$ are congruent, too.

- ③ In order to learn the clause $C = (\bar{3} \vee 5 \vee \bar{6})$ we have to establish $F \models_T C$. To that end it is again sufficient to show that $\neg C = 3 \wedge \bar{5} \wedge 6$ is T -inconsistent. So we again use congruence closure to show that $3, 6 \models_T 5$. Starting from the initial term sets

$$(1) \ c_1 \qquad (2) \ c_3 \qquad (3) \ c_4 \qquad (4) \ f(c_1, c_3) \qquad (5) \ f(c_1, c_4)$$

one first merges (2) and (3), as well as (1) and (5) because of the equations:

$$(1) \ c_1, f(c_1, c_4) \qquad (2) \ c_3, c_4 \qquad (4) \ f(c_1, c_3)$$

Now (1) and (4) can be merged because c_3 and c_4 are in (2), which shows congruence of $f(c_1, c_3)$ and c_1 .

4 See the file `rabbit.py`.