LVA 703607

EXAM 1
May 8, 2017

This exam consists of five exercises. The available points for each item are written in the margin. You need at least 50 points to pass.
[20] 1 Complete the following table:

| formula | $\alpha / \beta / \gamma / \delta$ | rank | satisfiable |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A \supset \neg B$ |  | 1 |  |
| $(\forall x)[P(x) \vee Q(x)] \supset[(\exists x) Q(x) \vee(\forall y) P(y)]$ |  |  |  |
| $\neg(A \supset \neg(B \vee \neg A))$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| $(\exists x)[(\forall y) R(f(x), y) \supset R(x, c)]$ |  |  |  |

[15] 2 Answer three of the following five questions.
(a) What is an alternate first-order consistency property?
(b) Compute an interpolant of the valid sentence $(P(c) \wedge(\forall x)[P(x) \supset \neg Q(x)]) \supset \neg Q(c)$ using the procedure based on biased tableaux.
(c) Prove the following statement about Kripke models: If $\Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ then $\Vdash \varphi$ or $\Vdash \psi$.
(d) Define the Herbrand expansion of an arbitrary sentence $X$ over the Herbrand domain $D=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$.
(e) Transform the following tableau into a cut-free tableau using the cut-elimination procedure from the lecture:

| $\neg(((A \supset B) \supset A) \supset A)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} A-\frac{\neg(((A}{A} \\ (A \supset B) \supset A \end{array}$ | $\sim \neg A$ |
|  | $(A \supset B) \supset A$ |
| $\neg A$ | $\neg A$ |
|  | $\neg(A \supset B) \quad A$ |
|  | $B \checkmark \neg B$ |
|  | $A \quad A$ |
|  | $\neg B \quad \neg B$ |

3 Consider the propositional formula $\varphi=P \supset \neg(P \supset \perp)$.
[5]
(a) Give a tableau proof of $\varphi$.
(b) Give a proof of $\varphi$ in the Hilbert system with the axioms

| 1 | $X \supset(Y \supset X)$ | 2 | $(X \supset(Y \supset Z)) \supset((X \supset Y) \supset(X \supset Z))$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $\perp \supset X$ | 4 | $X \supset \supset$ |
| 5 | $\neg \neg X \supset X$ | 6 | $X \supset(\neg X \supset Y)$ |
| 7 | $\alpha \supset \alpha_{1}$ | 8 | $\alpha \supset \alpha_{2}$ |
| 9 | $\left(\beta_{1} \supset X\right) \supset\left(\left(\beta_{2} \supset X\right) \supset(\beta \supset X)\right)$ |  |  |

and Modus Ponens as only rule of inference. (You may use the Deduction Theorem.)
[10] (c) Give a tableau proof of the sentence $(\exists x)(\forall y)[P(x) \supset P(y)]$.

4 This exercise is about the propositional model existence theorem.
(a) What is a propositional consistency property?
(b) Give three examples of propositional consistency properties.
(c) State the propositional model existence theorem.
[15]
(d) Complete the following proof of the statement that every subset closed propositional
consistency property $\mathcal{C}$ can be extended to a propositional consistency property of finite character, by filling in the missing parts.

Let $\mathcal{C}^{+}=\left\{S \mid \square^{\mathbf{1}}\right\}$. We prove the following three properties:
(a) $\mathcal{C}^{+}$is

(b) $\mathcal{C}^{+}$is

(c) $\mathcal{C}^{+}$is
 4

We start with property (a). Let $S \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $F$ be $\square^{\mathbf{5}}$. Because $\mathcal{C}$ is subset closed, $F \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+}$by definition. Next we consider property (b). So let $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+}$.
i. If $A$ and $\neg A$ belong to $S$ for some propositional letter $A$, then $\{A, \neg A\}$ is a finite subset of $S$ and thus $\{A, \neg A\}$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}$. This contradicts the assumption that $\square^{6}$. Hence $S$ does not contain both $A$ and $\neg A$.
ii. If $\perp \in S$ then $\{\perp\}$ is a finite subset of $S$ and thus $\{\perp\} \in \mathcal{C}$, contradicting the assumption that $\mathcal{C}$ is a propositional consistency property. Hence $\perp \notin S$. The same reasoning shows that $\square$
iii. Suppose $\neg \neg Z \in S$ and consider an arbitrary finite subset $F$ of $S \cup\{Z\}$. We have to show $F \in \mathcal{C}$ to obtain $\square$
also $(F \cap S) \cup\{\neg \neg Z\}$ is a finite subset of $S$. Since $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+},(F \cap S) \cup\{\neg \neg Z\} \in \mathcal{C}$ by the definition of $\mathcal{C}^{+}$. Since $\square^{9}$, we have $(F \cap S) \cup\{\neg \neg Z, Z\} \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $F$ is a subset of $(F \cap S) \cup\{\neg \neg Z, Z\}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is subset closed, it follows that $F \in \mathcal{C}$.
iv. Suppose $\alpha \in S$ and consider an arbitrary finite subset $F$ of $S \cup\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$. We have to show $F \in \mathcal{C}$ to obtain $S \cup\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}^{+}$. Clearly, $F \cap S$ is finite subset of $S$. Hence also $(F \cap S) \cup\{\alpha\}$ is a finite subset of $S$. Since $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+},(F \cap S) \cup\{\alpha\} \in \mathcal{C}$ by the definition of $\mathcal{C}^{+}$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a propositional consistency property, we have $(F \cap S) \cup\left\{\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $F$ is a subset of $(F \cap S) \cup\left\{\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is subset closed, it follows that $F \in \mathcal{C}$.
v. Suppose $\beta \in S$. We have to show that $\square$ 10 . For a proof by contradiction, suppose that neither $S \cup\left\{\beta_{1}\right\}$ nor $S \cup\left\{\beta_{2}\right\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{+}$. By definition of $\mathcal{C}^{+}$, there exist finite subsets $F_{1}$ of $S \cup\left\{\beta_{1}\right\}$ and $F_{2}$ of $S \cup\left\{\beta_{2}\right\}$ such that $F_{1}, F_{2} \notin \mathcal{C}$. Let $F=\square{ }^{11}$. Clearly $F \cap S$ is a finite subset of $S$. Since $\beta \in S,(F \cap S) \cup\{\beta\}$ is a finite subset of $S$. Since $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+},(F \cap S) \cup\{\beta\} \in \mathcal{C}$. Because $\mathcal{C}$ is a propositional consistency property, we have $(F \cap S) \cup\left\{\beta, \beta_{1}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}$ or $(F \cap S) \cup\left\{\beta, \beta_{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that $F_{1} \subseteq(F \cap S) \cup\left\{\beta, \beta_{1}\right\}$ and $F_{2} \subseteq(F \cap S) \cup\left\{\beta, \beta_{2}\right\}$. Since $\square$, we have $F_{1} \in \mathcal{C}$ or $F_{2} \in C$, providing the desired contradiction.

It remains to show property (c). So we need to show that $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+}$if and only if $\square^{13}$. For the "if" direction, suppose every finite subset $F$ of $S$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{+}$. By definition of $\mathcal{C}^{+}$, every finite subset of $F$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. Since $F$ is $\square^{14}, F$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. Hence $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+}$. For the "only if" direction, suppose $S \in \mathcal{C}^{+}$. So every finite subset of $S$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{+}$according to $\square^{\mathbf{1 5}}$, every finite subset of $S$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{+}$.

5 Determine whether the following statements are true or false. Every correct answer is worth 3 points. For every wrong answer 1 point is subtracted, provided the total number of points is non-negative.
(a) The rank of the first-order sentence $(\forall x)(\neg P(x) \supset \neg(\exists y) \neg P(f(y)))$ is 5 .
(b) A set $S$ of first-order sentences is satisfiable if and only if every finite subset of $S$ is satisfiable.
(c) The simple type $((\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma$ is inhabited by a combinatory term.
(d) If $\mathcal{C}$ is a first-order consistency property and $\delta \in S \in \mathcal{C}$ then $S \cup\{\delta(t)\} \in \mathcal{C}$ for every closed term $t$ of $L^{\text {par }}$.
(e) The formula $(\exists x) R(x, f(b))$ is an interpolant of

$$
(R(a, b) \wedge(\forall x)(\exists y)(R(a, x) \supset R(y, f(x)))) \supset(R(a, c) \vee(\exists x) R(x, f(b)))
$$

