

Program Verification SS 2021 LVA 703083+703084

Sheet 2 Deadline: March 16, 2021, 8am

- Prepare your solutions on paper.
- Upload your scanned solution as a single PDF in OLAT.
- Marking an exercise in OLAT means that a significant part of that exercise has been treated.

Exercise 1 Models in Many-Sorted Logic

6 p.

Consider \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{P} and Σ of slide 2/25.

1. Show

$$\mathcal{M} \models \forall xs, ys, zs. \operatorname{app}(xs, \operatorname{app}(ys, zs)) = \operatorname{app}(\operatorname{app}(xs, ys), zs)$$

by unfolding the definition of \models on slides 2/24 step by step. You can use that $\mathsf{app}^\mathcal{M}$ is associative. (4 points)

2. Provide a different model \mathcal{M}' such that all of the following formulas are valid in \mathcal{M}' .

$$\begin{aligned} \forall xs, ys, zs. & \operatorname{app}(xs, \operatorname{app}(ys, zs)) = \operatorname{app}(\operatorname{app}(xs, ys), zs) \\ \forall xs. & \operatorname{app}(xs, xs) = xs \\ \neg \forall xs, ys. & xs = ys \end{aligned}$$

You do not need to prove that \mathcal{M}' has the desired property.

Hint: you only need to change $app^{\mathcal{M}}$.

(2 points)

Exercise 2 Error Monads

5 p.

- 1. An alternative error monad to Maybe is data Either a b = Left a | Right b.
 - \bullet Right x represents a "right" value, so a successful result x.
 - Left e represents a failed computation with error message e.

Also Either is an instance of the Monad class.

Convince yourself that it is easy to modify existing code to use another error monad. To this end, reformulate the evaluation algorithm for arithmetic expressions on slide 2/32 such that it has a return type Either String Integer instead of Maybe Integer. (1 point)

2. Prove that the implementations of >>= and return for the Maybe-type (slide 2/30) satisfy the three monad laws on slide 2/31. Hint: use equational reasoning in combination with case analysis on values of type Maybe. For each monad law, at most one case analysis is required. (4 points)

Exercise 3 Type-Checking of Formulas

9 p.

Consider the type-checking algorithm on slide 2/34.

1. Encode the example signature Σ of slide 2/25 in Haskell as a function of type Sig. Hint: Haskell has a predefined function lookup. (1 point)

- 2. Encode the following set V in Haskell as a function of type Vars: whenever the name of the variable is just a single character, then it is of type Nat, and whenever the name is not a single character and ends with an s, (like xs or foos) then it is of type List. No other elements are in V. (1 point)
- 3. Encode the following terms in Haskell and run the type-checking algorithms to test whether they are well-typed w.r.t. Σ and \mathcal{V} from the previous two subtasks.
 - $t_1 := \operatorname{app}(\operatorname{Nil}, xs, ys)$
 - $t_2 := \mathsf{app}(\mathsf{app}(ys,\mathsf{Nil}),xs)$
 - $t_3 := \mathsf{plus}(\mathsf{Succ}(n), \mathsf{Zero})$

(1 point)

- 4. Write a type-checking algorithm for formulas (cf. slide 2/23) in the style of the type-checking algorithm for terms. Here, the datatype for formulas is already provided in the template file. Also the type of this algorithm is fixed. The return value is Maybe (), where Just () represents a type-correct formula and Nothing an ill-typed formula. (3 points)
- 5. Define a Haskell constant which executes your algorithm on the formula of associativity given in the butlast line of slide 2/25. (2 points)
- 6. Formulate the correctness statement of the type-checking algorithm for formulas (soundness + completeness), cf. slide 2/35. This part can be done even if the algorithm has not been implemented. (1 point)