

Summer Term 2023

### Outline



**Inductive Definitions** 

Interactive Theorem Proving using Isabelle/HOL

Session 7

René Thiemann

Department of Computer Science

- Inductive Definitions
- Rule Inversion and Rule Induction
- Sets in Isabelle
- Example: Binary Search Trees

RT (DCS @ UIBK)

session 7

2/20

Inductive Definitions

 $f x_1 \dots x_n = rhs$  $f\_def: f x_1 \dots x_n = rhs$ 

- Definition Principles so Far
  - definition
    - non-recursive definitions
    - no pattern matching on left-hand sides, form:
    - no simp-rules, but obtain defining equation:
  - fun or function
    - recursive functions definitions including pattern matching on lhss
    - functions have to be terminating
    - obtain simp-rules and induction scheme

# **Purpose of Definition**

- definition is the most primitive definition principle
- definition can be used formalize certain concepts
- after having derived interface-lemmas to concept, one might hide internal definition (in particular the defining equation is by default not added to simpset)
- many higher-level definition principles internally are based on definition
  - example: function uses some internal definitions which are hidden to user (demo)

### **Example:** Injectivity

- definition injective :: "('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where "injective  $f = (\forall x \ y. \ f \ x = f \ y \longrightarrow x = y)$ "
- lemma injectiveI: "( $\land$  x y. f x = f y  $\implies$  x = y)  $\implies$  injective f" unfolding injective\_def by auto

lemma injectiveD: "injective  $f \implies f x = f y \implies x = y$ " unfolding injective\_def by auto (\* hide injective\_def at this point \*)

### Limits of definition and function

- restriction of definition and function: no capability to conveniently model potentially non-terminating processes
- consider datatype prog, modelling simple programming language with while-loops
- aim: define eval function, e.g., of type prog  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  state option, that returns state after complete evaluation of program or fails
- attempt 1: define eval via function
  - not possible, since termination is not provable (some programs are non-terminating)
- attempt 2: fuel-based approach

(introduce some bounded resource to ensure termination)

- first define eval\_b :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  prog  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  state option, a bounded version of eval that restricts the number of loop-iterations • eval\_b can be defined via fun
- eval  $p = (if \exists n. eval_b n p \le \neq None$ then eval\_b (SOME n. eval\_b n p s  $\neq$  None) p s else None)
- reasoning with this fuel-based-approach is at least tedious

```
RT (DCS @ UIBK)
                                                                        session 7
                                                                                                                                                     5/20
                                                                                                                                                                   RT (DCS @ UIBK)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            session 7
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        6/20
```

Solution: Inductive Predicates

Inductive Definition:

Inductive Definitions

model eval as inductive predicate of type prog  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool that correspond to standard inference rules of a big-step semantics

$$\frac{c \text{ is not satisfied in } s}{(while \ c \ P) \ s \stackrel{eval}{\hookrightarrow} s} \text{ (while-false)}$$

$$\frac{c \text{ is satisfied in } s \quad P \ s \stackrel{eval}{\hookrightarrow} t \quad (while \ c \ P) \ t \stackrel{eval}{\hookrightarrow} u}{(while \ c \ P) \ s \stackrel{eval}{\hookrightarrow} u} \text{ (while-true)}$$

(further rules for assignment, sequential composition, etc.)

session 7

#### Demo

RT (DCS @ UIBK)

modeling programming language semantics

Inductive Predicates in More Detail

- constant P ::  $a_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow a_n \Rightarrow$  bool is *n*-ary predicate
- inductive predicate P is inductively defined, that is, by inference rules
- meaning: input satisfies P iff witnessed by arbitrary (finite) application of inference rules
- syntax

inductive P :: "'a<sub>1</sub>  $\Rightarrow$  ...  $\Rightarrow$  'a<sub>n</sub>  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where ... followed by |-separated list of propositions (inference rules)

generated facts

P.intros	inference rules
P.cases	case analysis (rule inversion)
P.induct	induction (rule induction)
P.simps	equational definition

Inductive Definitions

<pre>Odd Numbers, Inductively • textual description • 1 is odd • if n is odd, then also n + 2 is od • inference rules • inductive is_odd :: "nat where     "is_odd 1"     "is_odd n ⇒ is_odd</pre>	dd $\frac{n \text{ odd}}{1 \text{ odd}} = \frac{n \text{ odd}}{n+2 \text{ odd}}$ $\Rightarrow \text{ bool"}$ (n + 2)"	Inductive Definitions	<pre>Special Case - In     given set S, let     characteristic f     inductive sets a     inductive_s  Example - Reflex     (binary) relation     x R x<sub>1</sub> R x<sub>2</sub> R ·     inductive_s     where         refl [s           step: "     remark: one ca</pre>	ductively Defined Sets $\chi_S$ be characteristic function such that $\chi_S(x)$ is true iff $x \in S$ unction is obviously predicate are common special case and come with special syntax set $S :: "'a_1 \Rightarrow \dots 'a_n \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set" for } c_1 \dots c_n \text{ wh}$ <b>ive Transitive Closure</b> ons encoded by type ('a × 'b) set R, reflexive transitive closure, often written $R^*$ , given by $(x, y) \in R^*$ $x \cdot R x_n R y$ for arbitrary $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ (think: path in graph) set star :: "('a × 'a) set $\Rightarrow$ ('a × 'a) set" for F imp]: "(x, x) $\in$ star R" (x, y) $\in R \implies (y, z) \in$ star R $\implies$ (x, z) $\in$ star R' n label individual inference rules: these names will then be used for	.ere iff
RT (DCS @ UIBK) session 7		9/20	<ul> <li>remark: one ca case-analyses,</li> <li>RT (DCS @ UIBK)</li> </ul>	n label individual inference rules; these names will then be used for inductions, and as names of introduction rules (star.step) session 7	<b>)r</b> 10/20

Rule Inversion and Rule Induction

#### **Rule Inversion**

- reasoning backwards "which rule could have been used to derive some fact"
- case analysis according to inference rules
- if inductive predicate/set is first of current facts, cases applies rule inversion implicitly
- otherwise, use "cases rule: c.cases" for inductively defined constant c

#### Demo – Zero is Not Odd

lemma is\_odd0: "is\_odd 0 = False" sorry

## **Rule Inversion and Rule Induction**

#### **Rule Induction**

- induction according to inference rules
- if inductive predicate/set is first of current facts, induction applies rule induction implicitly
- otherwise, use "induction rule: c.induct" for inductively defined constant c
- case names are taken from names of inference rules (if any, otherwise numbered)

#### Demo – If Number is Odd it's Odd

- lemma is\_odd\_odd: assumes "is\_odd x" shows "odd x" sorry
- remarks
  - odd x is just an abbreviation of x not being divisible by 2
  - in lemma-command one can explicitly assume facts (assumes) which are accessible by implicit label assms, before the goal statement is written after shows
  - further examples on assumes and shows are provided in lemmas is\_odd\_odd3 and star\_trans1 in the demo theory

```
RT (DCS @ UIBK)
```

```
session 7
```

```
Demo - Reflexive Transitive Closure is Transitive
```

```
    lemma star_trans:
assumes "(x, y) ∈ star R" and "(y, z) ∈ star R
shows "(x, z) ∈ star R"
sorry
```

More Information on Inductive Definitions

(chapter 11.1)

14/20

Sets in Isabelle

7 13/20 RT (DCS @ UIBK) session 7

Sets in Isabelle

Sets in Isabelle

type 'a set' for sets with elements of type 'a

Set Basics

•  $x \in A$  – membership

- $A \cap B$  intersection
- $A \cup B$  union
- - A complement
- A B difference
- $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \subset B$  subset
- {} empty set
- UNIV universal set (all elements of specific type)
- {x} singleton set
- insert x A insertion of single elements (insert x A =  $\{x\} \cup A$ )
- **f** A image of function with respect to set ("map **f** over elements of **A**")

#### Sets in Isabelle Sets in Isabelle **Demo – Example Proof Further Operations on Sets** • set - convert list to set lemma "A $\cap$ (B $\cup$ C) $\subseteq$ (A $\cap$ B) $\cup$ (A $\cap$ C)" • Collect p - convert predicate p :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ bool to set of type 'a set No New Primitives Required • finite A – is set finite? • several of the basic set operations could be defined inductively • card A :: nat - cardinality of set (note: card A = 0 whenever A is infinite) examples • sum f A – $\sum_{x \in A} f(x)$ (note: sum f A = 0 whenever A is infinite) • prod f A – similar to sum, just product inductive\_set intersection :: "'a set $\Rightarrow$ 'a set $\Rightarrow$ 'a set" for A B where " $x \in A \implies x \in B \implies x \in$ intersection A B" • Ball A p – do all elements of A satisfy predicate p? • Bex A p – does some element of A satisfy predicate p? inductive\_set disjunction :: "'a set $\Rightarrow$ 'a set" for A B where • $\{x \dots y\}$ – all elements between x and y " $x \in A \implies x \in disjunction A B$ " $| "x \in B \implies x \in disjunction A B"$ Syntax for Set Comprehension inductive\_set empty :: "'a set" • {x . p x} - same as Collect p • {t | x y. p x y} - same as {z. $\exists$ x y. t = z $\land$ p x y} inductive set Univ :: "'a set" where $x \in Univ$ • example: { $(x + 5, y) | x y . x < 7 \land odd y$ } RT (DCS @ UIBK) RT (DCS @ UIBK) session 7 17/20session 7 18/20

Example: Binary Search Trees

**Example: Binary Search Trees** 

#### Demo: formalize binary search trees