

Homework

1. Apply Ferrante and Rackoff's method in order to convert the following formula into an equivalent quantifier free formula. (2 P)

$$\varphi := \forall y. \exists x. 4y + 8 > 10x \wedge 2y < 6x + 3$$

Remark: perform basic arithmetic simplifications after having eliminated y , and before eliminating x . However, perform the elimination of x also via Ferrante and Rackoff's method and do not use "obvious arithmetic reasoning" to immediately see the truth value of φ .

2. Prove soundness of the essential step of Ferrante and Rackoff's method, i.e., the equivalence between $\exists x. \varphi_3(x)$ and φ_4 , cf. slide 9.

Hint: Perform a similar argumentation as in the soundness proof of Cooper's method.

- (a) Show that whenever φ_4 is satisfiable then so is $\exists x. \varphi_3(x)$. (1 P)
- (b) Show that whenever $\exists x. \varphi_3(x)$ is satisfiable then so is φ_4 . (2 P)

3. Consider the following formula for applying Cooper's method.

$$\varphi := \forall x y z. 10x - 15y + 7 \neq 45z$$

- (a) Convert φ into an equivalent formula ψ where the quantification of z is removed. Write down each step that is performed in Cooper's method. You can use intermediate arithmetic simplifications and should simplify the final formula. (1 P)
- (b) The formula that you have computed in the previous exercise might be:

$$\psi := \neg \exists x y. 45 \mid 10x - 15y + 7 \equiv \varphi$$

Transform ψ further into an equivalent quantifier free formula χ using Cooper's method. Use the optimizations from the lecture! (1 P)

- (c) Finally compute whether χ is valid, e.g., by writing a computer program that evaluates χ . (1 P)

4. Both in Ferrante and Rackoff's method (slide 7) and in Cooper's method (slide 13) the formula φ is first transformed into NNF. In this exercise we consider if this is a necessary step.

- (a) Is Ferrante and Rackoff's method still sound if we do not transform φ to NNF, but directly start with step 2? Explain why it is, or give a counter example. (1 P)
- (b) Is Cooper's method still sound if we do not transform φ to NNF, but directly start with step 2? Explain why it is, or give a counter example. (1 P)