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## SMT Problem

decide satisfiability of (quantifier-free) formulas in
propositional logic + domain-specific background theories (axiomatic or concrete model)

## Terminology

theory solver for $T$ ( $T$-solver) is procedure for deciding $T$-satisfiability of conjunction of quantifier-free literals

## Remark

- SMT solvers often use $\operatorname{DPLL}(T)$ framework
- DPLL(T): combine DPLL-based SAT-solver with T-solver; the latter is used for
- $T$-consistency checks - find model w.r.t. theory or generate blocking clause
- $T$-propagation - find implied literals
- basic implementation of $T$-propagation: $M \models_{T}$ / if $M \wedge \neg /$ is unsatisfiable
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## Example

$y=z \wedge x=z \quad \vee \quad x \neq z \wedge x=y$

## Remark

assumption: infinite domain; consequence: $\bigwedge_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ is satisfiable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ small model property: satisfiable formula $\varphi$ with $n$ variables has model with domain $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
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## Remark

equality logic can be extended by constants in concrete domain

- extend signature by constants, e.g., from domain in real numbers
- concrete domain: different constants represent different values
- no significant extension: constants can easily be removed
- replace each constant $c_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ by new variable $x_{i}$
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## Theorem

satisfiability problem for equality logic is NP-complete

## Proof

- membership in NP
guess assignment in $\{1, \ldots, n\} \quad$ (small model property)
where $n$ is number of variables in formula and check correctness
- NP-hardness
reduction from SAT
- propositional formula $\varphi$ with propositional atoms $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$
- introduce variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$
- equality logic formula $\psi$ is obtained from $\varphi$ by replacing every $p_{i}$ with $x_{i}=y_{i}$
$\bullet \varphi$ is satisfiable $\Longleftrightarrow \psi$ is satisfiable


## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$

## Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
& x_{1}=x_{2} \vee\left(x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{1}=x_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$

## Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
& x_{1}=x_{2} \vee\left(x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{1}=x_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$

## Examples

$$
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{2}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{3}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{4}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$
merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{2}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{3}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{4}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$
merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{2}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{3}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{4}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$
merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{3}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{4}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$
merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{3}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$
merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right\} \quad\left\{x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$
merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$ merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$
(3) for each disequality $x \neq y$ in $\varphi$
if $x$ and $y$ belong to same equivalence class, return unsatisfiable

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$ merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$
(3) for each disequality $x \neq y$ in $\varphi$
if $x$ and $y$ belong to same equivalence class, return unsatisfiable

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\} \quad \text { unsatisfiable }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$
(1) define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$ merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$
(3) for each disequality $x \neq y$ in $\varphi$
if $x$ and $y$ belong to same equivalence class, return unsatisfiable
(4) return satisfiable

## Examples

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \neq x_{3} \wedge x_{2}=x_{4} \wedge x_{3}=x_{5} \wedge x_{2} \neq x_{5} \wedge x_{4}=x_{5} \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\} \quad \text { unsatisfiable }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Satisfiability Procedure for Conjunctive Fragment of Equality Logic

easy but important case: conjunction of equalities and disequalities $\varphi$

1. define equivalence class for each variable in $\varphi$
(2) for each equality $x=y$ in $\varphi$
merge equivalence classes that contain $x$ and $y$
(3) for each disequality $x \neq y$ in $\varphi$
if $x$ and $y$ belong to same equivalence class, return unsatisfiable
(4) return satisfiable
$T$-solver for equality logic

## Definitions

- equality graph is undirected graph $G_{=}(\varphi)=\left(V, E_{=}, E_{\neq}\right)$


## Definitions

- equality graph is undirected graph $G_{=}(\varphi)=\left(V, E_{=}, E_{\neq}\right)$with
- $E_{=}$edges corresponding to positive (equality) literals in $\varphi$


## Definitions

- equality graph is undirected graph $G_{=}(\varphi)=\left(V, E_{=}, E_{\neq}\right)$with
- $E_{=}$edges corresponding to positive (equality) literals in $\varphi$
- $E_{\neq}$edges corresponding to negative (inequality) literals in $\varphi$


## Definitions

- equality graph is undirected graph $G_{=}(\varphi)=\left(V, E_{=}, E_{\neq}\right)$with
- $E_{=}$edges corresponding to positive (equality) literals in $\varphi$
- $E_{\neq}$edges corresponding to negative (inequality) literals in $\varphi$
- contradictory cycle is cycle with exactly one $E_{\neq}$edge


## Definitions

- equality graph is undirected graph $G_{=}(\varphi)=\left(V, E_{=}, E_{\neq}\right)$with
- $E_{=}$edges corresponding to positive (equality) literals in $\varphi$
- $E_{\neq}$edges corresponding to negative (inequality) literals in $\varphi$
- contradictory cycle is cycle with exactly one $E_{\neq}$edge
- contradictory cycle is simple if no node appears twice


## Definitions

- equality graph is undirected graph $G_{=}(\varphi)=\left(V, E_{=}, E_{\neq}\right)$with
- $E_{=}$edges corresponding to positive (equality) literals in $\varphi$
- $E_{\neq}$edges corresponding to negative (inequality) literals in $\varphi$
- contradictory cycle is cycle with exactly one $E_{\neq}$edge
- contradictory cycle is simple if no node appears twice


## Lemma

$\varphi$ is satisfiable $\Longleftrightarrow G_{=}(\varphi)$ contains no simple contradictory cycles

## Example

## formula $\varphi$
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\end{aligned}
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- equality graph $G_{=}(\varphi)$

- contradictory cycles

- $\varphi$ is unsatisfiable
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## Quiz: Is the formula satisfiable?

- is formula

$$
x=g(y, z) \wedge f(x) \neq f(g(y, z))
$$

## satisfiable?

- model $\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathbb{N}$ as carrier:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\mathcal{M}}(a) & =a+1 & & \forall a \in \mathbb{N} \\
g_{\mathcal{M}}(a, b) & =1 & & \forall a, b \in \mathbb{N} \\
={ }_{\mathcal{M}} & =\{(a, b) \mid & & a=b \text { or } a, b \in\{0,1\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- environment $I: \quad I(x)=I(y)=I(z)=0$
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## Congruence Closure (core algorithm for T-Solver of EUF)

input: $\quad$ set $E$ of ground equations and ground equation $s \approx t$ output: valid $\left(E \vDash_{E U F} s=t\right)$ or invalid $\left(E \nvdash_{E U F} s=t\right)$
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## Important Concepts

- congruence closure
- contradictory cycle
- equality graph
- equality logic
- EUF
- uninterpreted function

