





WS 2016/2017

Logik EXAM 1

[7]

[7]

February 2, 2017

This exam consists of five exercises. The available points for each item are written in the margin. You need at least 50 points to pass.

1 Consider the following boolean functions for $n \ge 1$:

$$HWB_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } wt(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\\ x_{wt(x_1, \dots, x_n)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $wt(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is the number of inputs that are set to 1.

- (a) Give a binary decision tree for HWB₃ with the variable ordering $[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and use the reduce algorithm to construct an equivalent reduced OBDD.
- [6] (b) Compute the algebraic normal form of HWB₃.
- [2] (c) Is HWB₃ monotone? Is HWB₃ self-dual?
- (d) Determine all minimal adequate subsets of $\{\oplus, HWB_2, \rightarrow, HWB_3, \neg\}$. Here $x \rightarrow y =$ [5] $\overline{x} + y$ and $\neg x = \overline{x}$.
- |2|[6] (a) Determine whether the terms h(x, f(x, x), y, g(y, y), z) and h(a, u, u, v, v) are unifiable and compute a most general unifier if possible. Here a is a constant and u, v, x, y, and z are variables.
 - (b) Transform the following formula into an equisatisfiable Skolem normal form:

$$\phi = (\forall x \exists y P(x, g(y, f(x))) \land \neg \forall z Q(z)) \lor \neg \forall x \forall y R(x, y)$$

[7] (c) Use resolution to show that the following set of clauses is unsatisfiable:

> $\{ \{\neg P(x), Q(x), R(x, f(x)) \}, \}$ $\{\neg P(x), Q(x), S(f(x))\},\$ $\{T(a)\},\$ $\{P(a)\},\$ $\{\neg R(a,z), T(z)\},\$ $\{\neg T(x), \neg Q(x)\},\$ $\{\neg T(y), \neg S(y)\}$



- 3 For each of the following sequents, either give a natural deduction proof or find a model which does not satisfy it. (For part (c) recall that \lor is right-associative.)
- [7] (a) $\forall x P(f(x), a) \vdash \exists y \forall x P(x, y)$
- [6] (b) $\exists x \forall y P(x, y) \vdash \forall y \exists x P(x, y)$

[7]

[6]

[7]

 $[7] \qquad (c) \ \forall x \ \forall y \ \forall z \ (x < y \land y < z \to x < z), \forall x \ \forall y \ (x = y \lor x < y \lor y < x), a < b \vdash a < c \lor c < b \end{pmatrix}$

4 Consider the following model \mathcal{M} :



- (a) Use the labelling algorithm to determine in which states of the model \mathcal{M} the CTL formula $\mathsf{E}[\mathsf{EX} p \mathsf{U}(\mathsf{A}[p \mathsf{U} q] \lor \mathsf{AG} q)]$ holds.
- (b) Give an LTL formula ψ such that $\mathcal{M}, s \models \psi$ if and only if $s \in \{1, 2\}$.
 - (c) Show that the CTL formula $AG(p \to AFAXq)$ and the LTL formula $G(p \to FXq)$ are not equivalent.
- [20] 5 Determine whether the following statements are true or false. Every correct answer is worth 2 points. For every wrong answer 1 point is subtracted, provided the total number of points is non-negative.

statement

The LTL formulas $\phi W \psi$ and $\phi U \psi \wedge G \phi$ are equivalent.

The set of connectives $\{\land,\lor,\rightarrow\}$ is adequate for propositional logic.

In natural deduction for propositional logic $\neg \neg e$ is a basic proof rule.

Tseitin's transformation transforms every formula into an equivalent CNF.

For every sentence ϕ there exists a Skolem normal form ψ such that $\phi \equiv \psi$.

If ϕ is a sentence then $\mathcal{M} \vDash_l \phi$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} \vDash_{l'} \phi$ for all environments l and l'.

The boolean function $f(x, y) = a \oplus bx \oplus cy \oplus dxy$ is affine for every $a, b, c, d \in \{0, 1\}$.

A sentence ϕ has a model with infinitely many elements if ϕ has a model with at least n elements for all $n \ge 1$.

Clauses C_1 and C_2 without common variables clash if there exist literals $l_1 \in C_1$ and $l_2 \in C_2$ such that l_1 and l_2 are unifiable.

To check whether a given comparator network with n wires is a sorting network, it suffices to test all 2^n sequences containing only zeroes and ones.