

Logik

[7]

WS 2018/2019

January 28, 2019

EXAM 1

This exam consists of <u>five</u> exercises. The available points for each item are written in the margin. You need at least 50 points to pass.

1 Consider the boolean function c given by

$$\mathsf{c}(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x + y + z > 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Use the reduce algorithm from the lecture to compute a reduced OBDD for **c** with variable ordering [x, y, z].
- [6] (b) Compute the algebraic normal form of c.
- [7] (c) Determine which of the five properties from Post's adequacy theorem hold for c. Conclude whether or not the set $\{c\}$ is adequate.
 - 2 Consider the model \mathcal{M} :



- [7] (a) Use the CTL model checking algorithm to determine in which states of \mathcal{M} the CTL formula $\phi = \mathsf{AG}(\mathsf{E}[p \, \mathsf{U} \, \mathsf{AF} \, q])$ holds.
- [7] (b) Consider the LTL formulas $\phi_1 = p \cup q$, $\phi_2 = G p \vee X G \neg p$, and $\phi_3 = G(p \vee X \neg p)$. Determine for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ in which states of \mathcal{M} the formula ϕ_i holds.
- [6] (c) Give a CTL formula without the connectives EF and EG that is equivalent to EF EG p.

3 Consider the following CNF φ :

$$(4 \lor \neg 3^{\alpha} \lor \neg 1) \land (\neg 5 \lor \neg 2^{\beta} \lor \neg 3) \land (\neg 3^{\gamma} \lor 6) \land (\neg 4 \lor \neg 6^{\delta} \lor \neg 7) \land (8 \lor \neg 6) \land (\neg 4 \lor \neg 8 \lor 7)$$

- (a) Show that the sequence of decisions 1, 2, 3 followed by applications of unit propagate leads to a conflict, and construct the conflict graph.
- [6] (b) Starting from the conflict clause in part (a), use resolution to compute the backjump clause corresponding to the first UIP. What is the result of backjump using that clause?
 - (c) Use the unification algorithm from the lecture to determine whether the two terms f(x, f(g(x), y)) and f(g(y), f(g(z), z)) are unifiable and compute a most general unifier if possible. Here x, y and z are variables.
 - 4 For each of the following sequents, either give a natural deduction proof or find a model which does not satisfy it.

[7] (a)
$$\exists x \exists y P(x,y), \neg \exists x P(x,x) \vdash \exists x \exists y \neg (x=y)$$

- [7] (b) $\forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \land P(y, x) \to (x = y)) \vdash \forall x P(x, x)$
- [6] (c) $p \to q, q \to p \vdash p \lor q \to p \land q$
- [20] 5 Determine whether the following statements are true or false. Every correct answer is worth 2 points. For every wrong answer 1 point is subtracted, provided the total number of points is non-negative.

statement

Satisfiability in predicate logic is undecidable.

The dual of an affine boolean function is affine.

The clause $\{P(b, a)\}$ is a factor of $\{P(x, a), \neg P(b, y)\}$.

The empty clause is a resolvent of $\{p, \neg q\}$ and $\{\neg p, q\}$.

The boolean function $f(x, y, z) = \overline{(\overline{x} + y) \cdot (x + z)}$ is adequate.

The CTL* formulas $A[X p \lor X X p]$ and $AX p \lor AX AX p$ are equivalent.

The term f(x, y) is free for y in $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \to Q(y)) \to \forall x (P(y) \to \exists y Q(x)).$

The set $\llbracket \mathsf{EG} \phi \rrbracket$ is the least fixed point of the function $F_{\mathsf{EG}}(X) = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \cap \mathsf{pre}_{\exists}(X)$.

If $\mathcal{M}, s \not\models \neg \phi$ then $\mathcal{M}, s \models \phi$, for all LTL formulas ϕ , models $\mathcal{M} = (S, \rightarrow, L)$, and states $s \in S$.

To determine the satisfiability of a CNF consisting of clauses having exactly two literals with DPLL, the decide rule needs to be used at most once.

[7]

[7]