

Solved exercises must be marked and solutions (as a single PDF file) uploaded in [OLAT](#). The (strict) deadline is 7 am on May 2.

Exercises

- (3) 1. For each of the following sequents, either give a natural deduction proof or find a model which does not satisfy it.

(a) $\exists x P(x) \rightarrow Q \vdash \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q)$

(b) $\vdash \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \forall z (P(x, z) \rightarrow \neg P(z, y)))$

(c) $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q) \vdash \exists x P(x) \rightarrow Q$

- (2) 2. Determine the validity of the following formula:

$$\exists x (D(x) \rightarrow \forall y D(y))$$

This formula models the Drinker Paradox: *There is someone in the pub such that, if he or she is drinking, then everyone in the pub is drinking.*

- (3) 3. Which of the following pairs of atomic formulas are unifiable? For those that are, compute a most general unifier. Here a and b are constants, and x , y and z are variables.

(a) $P(f(a, x), g(y, b))$ and $P(f(x, y), z)$

(b) $P(x, f(y, a))$ and $P(y, x)$

(c) $R(h(x, y, g(a, z)))$ and $R(h(g(y, y), g(z, z), g(a, a)))$

- (2) 4. Transform the formula

$$\neg(\forall x \exists y P(f(x, y), y) \rightarrow \forall z Q(z)) \vee \forall x R(x, x)$$

into equisatisfiable Skolem normal form.