

Schedule

SCHEDULE

w	date	topic
7	November 25	introduction
8	December 2	higher-order functions, lists, trees
9	December 9	graphs, combinatorics
10	December 16	program reasoning
11	January 13	λ and interpreter
12	January 20	type system
13	January 27	exam part 2

Type Checking

TOPIC

- ▶ exam hints
- ▶ type check
- ▶ type inference
- ▶ advanced topics in type systems

1

Exam

on Jan 27 written exam: closed book, 50 points

please register online, now (before Jan 25)

- ▶ **data structures**
lists, trees, graphs
- ▶ **program analysis**
induction proof, tail-recursion
- ▶ **interpreters**
evaluator, type system

2

3

Type Checking

- ▶ types $\tau ::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$
- ▶ typing environments $A ::= \emptyset \mid A, z : \tau$ where $z ::= x \mid c$
- ▶ typing judgements $A \vdash e : \tau$
- ▶ typing rules

$$\frac{z \in \text{dom}(A)}{A \vdash x : A(z)} \qquad \frac{A, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{A \vdash (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad A \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{A \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau} \qquad \frac{A \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad A, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{A \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau}$$

EXERCISES

$A(\text{true}) = \text{bool}$, $A(+)$ = int \rightarrow int \rightarrow int

- ▶ $A \vdash (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x) \text{ true} : \text{bool}$
- ▶ $A \vdash (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x + x) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

4

Type Inference

- ▶ typing with unknown variables
- ▶ solve by unification

5

Type Substitutions

type substitution θ is

- ▶ function from type variables to types
- ▶ $\text{dom}(\theta) = \{\alpha \mid \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}$ is finite

DEFINITIONS

- ▶ application to types

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\theta &= \theta(\alpha) \\ (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)\theta &= \tau_1\theta \rightarrow \tau_2\theta \\ g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\theta &= g(\tau_1\theta, \dots, \tau_n\theta)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ application to type environments

$$(x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n)\theta = x_1 : \tau_1\theta, \dots, x_n : \tau_n\theta$$

- ▶ composition

$$\theta_1\theta_2 = \{\alpha \mapsto (\alpha\theta_1)\theta_2 \mid \alpha \text{ is type variable}\}$$

6

PROBLEM

- ▶ input: $A \triangleright e : \tau$
- ▶ output: θ such that $A\theta \vdash e : \tau\theta$

solution θ is **principal** if every solution is of the form $\theta\theta'$ for some θ'

APPROACH

1. to find τ such that $A \vdash e : \tau$, use fresh variable α to solve $A \triangleright e : \alpha$
 $\tau = \alpha\theta$ for its principal solution θ
2. $A \triangleright e : \tau \rightsquigarrow^* C$
3. principal solution of $A \triangleright e : \tau =$ most general unifier of C

7

Type Inference

- ▶ $\text{ftv}(\tau)$ is set of all free type variables in τ

$$\text{ftv}(A) = \bigcup_{z \in \text{dom}(A)} \text{ftv}(A(z))$$

- ▶ simplification of type inference problems

$$\begin{aligned}A \triangleright z : \tau &\rightsquigarrow \perp && \text{if } z \notin \text{dom}(A) \\ A \triangleright z : \tau &\rightsquigarrow A(z) \doteq \tau && \text{if } z \in \text{dom}(A) \\ A \triangleright (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) : \tau &\rightsquigarrow \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2. (A, x : \alpha_1 \triangleright e : \alpha_2 \wedge \tau \doteq \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2) \\ A \triangleright e_1 e_2 : \tau &\rightsquigarrow \exists \alpha. (A \triangleright e_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \tau \wedge A \triangleright e_2 : \alpha) \\ A \triangleright \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau &\rightsquigarrow \exists \alpha. (A \triangleright e_1 : \alpha \wedge A, x : \alpha \triangleright e_2 : \tau)\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\tau) \cup \text{ftv}(A)$, i.e., **fresh variables**

EXERCISES

rewrite to unification problems

$A(\text{true}) = \text{bool}$, $A(+) = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

- ▶ $A \triangleright (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x) \text{ true} : \alpha$
- ▶ $A \triangleright (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x + x) : \alpha$

8

Unification Algorithm

$$\{\tau_1 \doteq \tau'_1, \dots, \tau_n \doteq \tau'_n\} \theta = \{\tau_1 \theta \doteq \tau'_1 \theta, \dots, \tau_n \theta \doteq \tau'_n \theta\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(\theta, \emptyset) &= \theta \\ U(\theta, \{\tau \doteq \tau\} \uplus C) &= U(\theta, C) \\ U(\theta, \{\alpha \doteq \tau\} \uplus C) &= U(\theta\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}, C\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}) \\ U(\theta, \{\tau \doteq \alpha\} \uplus C) &= U(\theta\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}, C\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}) \\ U(\theta, \{g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \doteq g(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n)\} \uplus C) &= U(\theta, \{\tau_1 \doteq \tau'_1, \dots, \tau_n \doteq \tau'_n\} \cup C) \\ U(\theta, \{\tau \doteq \tau'\} \uplus C) &= \text{raise (Unify}(\tau, \tau')) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \neq \tau$ and τ does not contain α

9

Properties

- ▶ type system : **simple types**

THEOREM safety

- ▶ (**progress**) e is typed under initial environment $\implies e$ is value or reducible
- ▶ (**preservation**) reduction preserves typing

10

let-polymorphism

is **not** part of exam

11

Universal Types

EXAMPLE

sort : 'a list -> 'a list

SYSTEM F

- ▶ types $\tau ::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau$
- ▶ type reconstruction is **undecidable**

CHALLENGE

find decidable fragment of System F

SOLUTION

let-polymorphism

- ▶ restrict polymorphism to top-level let-bindings
- ▶ disallow functions that take polymorphic values as arguments

12

Type Checking

- ▶ types $\tau ::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$
- ▶ type schemes $\sigma ::= \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \tau$ ($\forall. \alpha$ is abbreviated to α)
- ▶ typing environments $A ::= \emptyset \mid A, z : \sigma$ where $z ::= x \mid c$
- ▶ typing judgements $A \vdash e : \tau$
- ▶ typing rules

$$\frac{\cancel{z \in \text{dom}(A)}}{A \vdash x : A(z)} \quad \frac{A, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{A \vdash (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \frac{A \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad A \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{A \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau}$$

instantiation $\frac{A(z) = \forall \bar{\alpha}. \tau}{A \vdash z : \tau\{\bar{\alpha} \mapsto \bar{\tau}'\}}$

generalization $\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad A, x : \forall(\text{ftv}(\tau_1) \setminus \text{ftv}(A)). \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{A \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2}$

EXERCISES $A(0) = \text{int}$

- ▶ $A \vdash \text{let } id = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x \text{ in } id \ 0 : \text{int}$
- ▶ $A \vdash \text{let } id = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x \text{ in } id \ id \ 0 : \text{int}$

13

Advanced Topics in Type Systems

Type Inference

simplification of type inference problems

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{A \triangleright z : \tau} &\rightsquigarrow \cancel{A(z) \doteq \tau} \quad \text{if } \cancel{z \in \text{dom}(A)} \\ A \triangleright z : \tau &\rightsquigarrow \exists \bar{\alpha}. \tau \doteq \tau' \quad \text{if } A(z) = \forall \bar{\alpha}. \tau' \\ A \triangleright (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) : \tau &\rightsquigarrow \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2. (A, x : \alpha_1 \triangleright e : \alpha_2 \wedge \tau \doteq \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2) \\ A \triangleright e_1 e_2 : \tau &\rightsquigarrow \exists \alpha. (A \triangleright e_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \tau \wedge A \triangleright e_2 : \alpha) \\ \cancel{A \triangleright \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau} &\rightsquigarrow \cancel{\exists \alpha. (A \triangleright e_1 : \alpha \wedge A, x : \alpha \triangleright e_2 : \tau)} \\ A \triangleright \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau &\rightsquigarrow A, x : \forall \alpha \bar{\beta}. \theta(\alpha) \triangleright e_2 : \tau \end{aligned}$$

where

- ▶ $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\tau) \cup \text{ftv}(A), \bar{\alpha} \cap \text{ftv}(\tau) = \emptyset$ (fresh variables)
- ▶ $A \triangleright e_1 : \alpha \rightsquigarrow \exists \bar{\beta}. C$ and $\theta = U(\emptyset, C)$

EXERCISES $A(0) = \text{int}$

- ▶ $A \triangleright \text{let } id = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x \text{ in } id \ 0 : \alpha$
- ▶ $A \triangleright \text{let } id = \text{fun } x \rightarrow x \text{ in } id \ id \ 0 : \alpha$

14

Array, Invariant and Java

$$\text{ArrayJava} \frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\tau_1[] <: \tau_2[]}$$

EXERCISE

show that this type system is broken

- ▶ in Java we need to check all assignments to any arrays in runtime

15

16

Value Restriction

```
# let l = ref [];;  
  
val l : 'a list ref = {contents = []}  
  
# let f = ref (fun x -> x);;  
  
val f : ('a -> 'a) ref = {contents = <fun>}
```

- ▶ monomorphic types

EXERCISE

l and *f* cannot be polymorphic — why?

History

- ▶ restoring type safety of *ref* was long standing open problem
- ▶ value restriction
let-binding can be generalized **only if** its rhs is syntactic **value**

$$\text{let } x = v_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

- ▶ many techniques for relaxing restriction were proposed
- ▶ Wright (1995) analyzed huge corpus of codes and concluded “almost all codes satisfy value restriction”