

Exercises.

7.0 Study Chapter 5.1–5.3

7.1 Exercise 5.1.1

7.2 Suppose $\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{I})$ is a model for L , \mathbf{A} an assignment in \mathbf{M} , σ is a substitution. Define \mathbf{B} by setting for each variable $v^{\mathbf{B}} = (v\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$. Then $t^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = (t\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$ for any term t .

Solution. We prove the claim by induction on t .

BASE: t is constant $c \in \mathbf{C}$. Then $c^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = c^{\mathbf{I}} = (c\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}} = (c\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$ follows easily. Now assume t is a variable $x \in \mathbf{V}$. Then $x^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = x^{\mathbf{B}} = (x\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$.

STEP: t is a complex term $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} &= f^{\mathbf{I}}(t_1^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}}) && \text{definition of value} \\ &= f^{\mathbf{I}}((t_1\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}, \dots, (t_n\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}) && \text{IH} \\ &= [f(t_1\sigma, \dots, t_n\sigma)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}} && \text{definition of substitution} \end{aligned}$$

□

7.3 Exercise 5.3.1

Solution. We prove the (stronger) proposition: $[X\{x \mapsto t\}]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = X^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$ for some x -variant \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{A} by induction on X . As preparation, we prove the following claim. We set $\sigma = \{x \mapsto t\}$.

Claim. For all terms s , $(s\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = s^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$.

Proof of the Claim. BASE: s is constant $c \in \mathbf{C}$. Then $(c\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = c^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = c^{\mathbf{I}} = c^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$ holds. Now assume s is a variable $y \in \mathbf{V}$. We assume $y = x$, otherwise the claim follows as \mathbf{B} is an x -variant of \mathbf{A} . Then $(x\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = t^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = t^{\mathbf{I}} = t^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$ holds. The last equality holds, as t is closed.

STEP: s is a complex term $f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f(s_1, \dots, s_n)\sigma]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} &= f^{\mathbf{I}}((s_1\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}}, \dots, (s_n\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}}) \\ &= f^{\mathbf{I}}(s_1^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}, \dots, s_n^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}) && \text{IH} \\ &= [f(s_1, \dots, s_n)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}} \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the claim. □

We proceed with the proof of the proposition.

BASE: Suppose X is atomic, s.t. $X = P(s_1, \dots, s_n)$. By the previous exercise we have $(s\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = s^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$ for any term s .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& [P(s_1, \dots, s_n)\sigma]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{t} \\
& \text{iff } [P(s_1\sigma, \dots, s_n\sigma)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{t} \\
& \text{iff } ((s_1\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}}, \dots, (s_n\sigma)^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}}) \in P^{\mathbf{I}} \\
& \text{iff } (s_1^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}, \dots, s_n^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}) \in P^{\mathbf{I}} \\
& \text{iff } [P(s_1, \dots, s_n)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{t}
\end{aligned}$$

STEP: We only consider the case where $X = (\exists y)X_1$. W.l.o.g. we assume $y \neq x$. First we show that $[((\exists y)X_1)\sigma]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{t}$ implies that $[[(\exists y)X_1]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{t}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& [((\exists y)X_1)\sigma]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{t} \\
& \text{implies } [(\exists y)(X_1\sigma_y)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{t} \\
& \text{implies } [(\exists y)(X_1\sigma)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{t} \\
& \text{implies } [(X_1\sigma)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}'} = \mathbf{t} \text{ for some } y\text{-variant } \mathbf{B}' \text{ of } \mathbf{B} \\
& \text{implies } [X_1]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}'} = \mathbf{t} \text{ for some } y\text{-variant } \mathbf{A}' \text{ of } \mathbf{A} \\
& \text{implies } [(\exists y)X_1]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{t}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that by IH the proposition holds for any subexpression of X , in particular it holds for X_1 . I.e. for any assignment \mathbf{A} , such that $x^{\mathbf{A}} = t^{\mathbf{I}}$ and \mathbf{B} an x -variant of \mathbf{A} , we have $[(X_1\sigma)]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = [X_1]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}}$. Hence, if we set \mathbf{A}' exactly as \mathbf{A} expect that $y^{\mathbf{A}'} := y^{\mathbf{B}'}$, then $x^{\mathbf{A}'} = t^{\mathbf{I}}$ (as $y \neq x$) and \mathbf{B}' is an x -variant of \mathbf{A}' . Hence IH is applicable in line 5 above. Furthermore \mathbf{A}' is a y -variant of \mathbf{A} .

The direction $[[(\exists y)X_1]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{t}$ implies $[((\exists x)X_1)\sigma]^{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{t}$ follows similarly. \square

7.4 Exercise 5.3.2

7.5 Exercise 5.3.6

Optional Exercises.

1. Exercise 5.3.4
2. Exercise 5.3.5