



Logical Consequence	Tableaux	Resolution	Soundness & Completeness	Logical Consequence	Tableaux	Resolution	Soundness & Completeness	
Proof				Soundness				
suppose T is a to T to obtair	a satisfiable table 1 T *	au and an expansion	on rule is applied					
proof by case- case of a γ -ru we use the pro \Rightarrow if $S \cup \{\gamma\}$ t	distinction on th le oposition } is satisfiable, so	e rules applied, we $rac{\gamma}{\gamma(t)}$ o is ${\it S}\cup\{\gamma,\gamma(t)\}$ fo	only consider the or any closed term	 Theorem Soundness Theorem ⇒ If X has a tableau proof, then X is valid. ⇒ If X has a resolution proof, then X is valid. 				
→ suppose t → we can as → by above L ^{par})	the branch $ au \in \mathbf{T}$ ssume that $S \cup \{$ proposition: $S \cup$	is satisfiable over γ denotes the set $\{\gamma\} \cup \{\gamma(t)\}$ is sa	L^{par} of sentences on $ au$ tisfiable (over					
Logic LVA 703600	Tableaux	G. Moser	9 Soundness & Completeness	Logic LVA 703600	Tableaux	G. Moser	10 Soundness & Completeness	
 to show completeness we define a finite set of sentences of L^{par} is tableau consistent if there is no closed tableau for it C = the collection of all tableau-consistent sets C is a first-order consistency property 				Proof • the proof is by case-distinction on the definition of FCP • let $S \in C$ • we consider the case $\beta \in S$ and have to show that either $S \cup \{\beta_1\} \in C$ or $S \cup \{\beta_2\} \in C$ proof by contradiction: assume 1. $S \cup \{\beta_1\} \notin C$ 2. $S \cup \{\beta_2\} \notin C$ by 1)+2) there are closed tableaux T_1 , T_2 for $S \cup \{\beta_1\}$, $S \cup \{\beta_2\}$				
				combine T_1 , T_2 to a closed tableau for S				
				contradic	tion			
Logic LVA 703600		G. Moser	11	Logic LVA 703600		G. Moser	12	

Logical Consequence	Tableaux	Resolution	Soundness & Completeness	Logical Consequence	Tableaux	Resolution	Soundness & Completeness		
	Com	pleteness		Summary					
Theorem If the sentence	Completeness of ce X of L is valid,	First-Order Tablea X has a tableau p	ux proof.						
Proof				 ➡ consequence relation ⊨ ➡ first-order semantic tableaux 					
proof by cont → hence {- → hence {-	$Tradiction: assume \\ Tradiction: assume \\ Tradiction \\ $	e there is no closed contradiction to λ	tableau for ¬X K is valid	 first-order resolution undecidability of the validity problem soundness of tableaux & resolution 					
				⇒ complete	ness of tableaux	& resolution			
I heorem	Completeness of	First-Order Resolu	tion						
If the sentenc	ce X of L is valid,	X has a resolution	n proof.						
Logic LVA 703600		G. Moser	13	Logic LVA 703600		G. Moser	14		