

# Advanced Topics in Term Rewriting

## LVA 703610

<http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/teaching/ws06/attr/>

Georg Moser

office hours: **Tuesday, 16:00–18:00** (3M09)

## Analyse MPO

total precedence

let  $\succ$  denote a total precedence, and assume  $\mathcal{R}$  is compatible with  $>_{\text{mpo}}$

### Definition

$$\text{front}(s, f) := \{u \in \text{Pos}(s) \mid \text{root}(s|_u) = g \succeq f \text{ and } \forall v < u f \succ h = \text{root}(t|_v)\} \cup \{u \in \text{Pos}(s) \mid s|_u \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

### Lemma

- 1  $s >_{\text{mpo}} t$  iff  $\forall u \in \text{front}(s, \text{root}(s)) s >_{\text{mpo}} s|_u$
- 2  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) >_{\text{mpo}} f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  iff  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} (>_{\text{mpo}})_{\text{mul}} \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$
- 3 for  $\text{root}(s) \succ f$   $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) >_{\text{mpo}} t$  iff  $\exists i s_i \geq_{\text{mpo}} t$

## MPOs induce Primitive Recursive Derivational Complexities

### Theorem

Hofbauer 1992

if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a finite TRS compatible with an instance of MPO, then  $\text{dc}_{\mathcal{R}}$  is primitively recursively bounded; moreover this bound is tight

### Lemma

lower-bound

for any primitive recursive function  $f$ , there exists a TRS  $\mathcal{R}$ , compatible with an instance of MPO, such that  $f$  is bounded by  $\mathcal{R}$

### Lemma

upper-bound

if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a finite TRS compatible with  $>_{\text{mpo}}$ , then  $\text{dc}_{\mathcal{R}}$  is primitive recursively bounded

## Preliminaries

for a preorder  $\geq$ , we define its **lexicographic extension** on lists of terms in nonincreasing order

$$(s_1, \dots, s_n) \text{lex}(>) (t_1, \dots, t_n) \iff n > 0 \text{ and } (s_1 > t_1 \text{ or } (s_1 = t_1 \text{ and } (s_2, \dots, s_n) \text{lex}(>) (t_2, \dots, t_n)))$$

### Lemma

$\forall k, \exists$  an **order-preserving** mapping  $q_k: \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with

- 1  $q_k(n_1, \dots, n_m) = q_k(n_{\pi(1)}, \dots, n_{\pi(m)})$  for any permutation  $\pi$
- 2  $q_k$  is **monotonic**, and admits the **subterm property**
- 3  $q_k(n_1, \dots, n_j, 0, \dots, 0) > q_k(n_1, \dots, n_j - 1, n_j - 1, \dots, n_j - 1)$ , if  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_j > 0$
- 4  $q_k(n_1, \dots, n_m) > q_k(l_1, \dots, l_m)$ , if  $(n_1, \dots, n_m) \text{lex}(>) (l_1, \dots, l_m)$

## Bounding the Evaluations

### Lemma

let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbb{N}, >)$  be WMA compatible with  $\mathcal{R}$  and let  $p$  be a strictly monotonic unary function on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $p(n) \geq f_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \dots, n)$   
Then we have  $dc_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \leq p^n(0)$

### Proof

by induction on  $t$ , the base-case is trivial and for the step-case we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(f(t_1, \dots, t_m)) &\leq f_{\mathcal{A}}([\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(t_1), \dots, [\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(t_m)) \\ &\leq f_{\mathcal{A}}(p^{\text{size}(t_1)}(0), \dots, p^{\text{size}(t_m)}(0)) \\ &\leq p(\max(\{p^{\text{size}(t_1)}(0), \dots, p^{\text{size}(t_m)}(0)\})) \\ &\leq p^{\text{size}(t)}(0) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## Main Lemma

### Lemma

let  $s$  be a term with  $\text{depth}(s) < d$   
assume that  $\forall$  non-variable positions  $u$  in  $s$ ,  $f > \text{root}(s|_u)$   
 $\forall x \in \text{Var}(s)$ :  $m \geq [\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$

Then  $p_f^d(m) \geq [\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$

### Proof

induction on  $d$  □

### Lemma

$s >_{\text{mpo}} t$  and  $\text{depth}(t) \leq d$  we have  $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(s) > [\alpha]_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$

### Proof

induction on  $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$

## A Well-founded Monotone Algebra

set

$$d := \max(\{\text{depth } r \mid l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}\})$$

let  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbb{N}, >)$  be an algebra equipped with the following interpretation:

$$p_f(n) := \begin{cases} n + 1 & \text{if } f \text{ minimal} \\ \max(\{h_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \dots, n) \mid f > h\}) + n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$P_f(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 1 + p_f^d(P_f(n-1)) & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(n_1, \dots, n_m) := P_f(q_m(n_1, \dots, n_m))$$

### Lemma

$\mathcal{A}$  is a WMA

### Proof Ideas

- 1 only subterms at positions in  $\text{front}(f, s)$  are critical
- 2 exploit the monotonicity and subterm property of  $\mathcal{A}$
- 3 the definition of  $\mathcal{A}$  induces that the evaluation remains unchanged by permutation of the arguments

### Lemma

upper-bound

if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a finite TRS compatible with  $>_{\text{mpo}}$ , then  $dc_{\mathcal{R}}$  is primitive recursively bounded

### Proof

$$\rightarrow p(n) = \max\{f_{\mathcal{A}}(n, \dots, n) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$\rightarrow dc_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \leq p^n(0)$$

$\rightarrow$  the functions  $f_{\mathcal{A}}$  are primitive recursive, hence  $p$  and its iterations is primitive recursive □