

**Solutions**

1.

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{(\lambda f.(\lambda x.f (x x)) (\lambda x.f (x x))) (\lambda yz.z)} &\rightarrow_{\beta} \underline{(\lambda x.(\lambda yz.z) (x x)) (\lambda x.(\lambda yz.z) (x x))} \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \underline{(\lambda yz.z) ((\lambda x.(\lambda yz.z) (x x)) (\lambda x.(\lambda yz.z) (x x)))} \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda z.z \end{aligned}$$

2. *Proof.* The property to prove is

$$P(xs) = (\text{sum } xs = \text{fold } (+) 0 xs).$$

**Base Case** ( $xs = []$ ). To show:  $P([])$ , i.e.,

$$\text{sum } [] = \text{fold } (+) 0 [].$$

Starting from the lhs, following derivation concludes the base case:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sum } [] &= 0 && \text{(definition of sum)} \\ &= \text{fold } (+) 0 [] && \text{(definition of fold)} \end{aligned}$$

**Step Case** ( $xs = y :: ys$ ). To show:  $P(ys) \rightarrow P(y :: ys)$ . Therefor assume the IH  $P(ys)$ , i.e.,

$$\text{sum } ys = \text{fold } (+) 0 ys.$$

It remains to show that  $P(y :: ys)$ . This is done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sum } (y :: ys) &= y + \text{sum } ys && \text{(definition of sum)} \\ &= y + \text{fold } (+) 0 ys && \text{(IH)} \\ &= \text{fold } (+) 0 (y :: ys) && \text{(definition of fold)} \end{aligned}$$

□

3. (a) No, **sum** is not tail recursive since the last function call in the recursive case of its definition is to **(+)**. Here is a tail recursive alternative:

```
let sum xs =
  let rec sum acc = function
    | [] -> acc
    | x :: xs -> sum (x + acc) xs
  in sum 0 xs
;;
```

(b) Yes, **f** is tail recursive since the last function call in the recursive case is to itself.