

**Solutions**

1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda uv.u) ((\lambda w.w) (\lambda xy.y)) (\lambda z.z) &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda uv.u) (\lambda xy.y) (\lambda z.z) \\
 &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda vxy.y) (\lambda z.z) \\
 &\rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda xy.y
 \end{aligned}$$

2. *Proof.* The property to prove is

$$P(xs) = (\text{prod } xs = \text{fold } (\times) 1 xs).$$

**Base Case** ( $xs = []$ ). To show:  $P([])$ , i.e.,

$$\text{prod } [] = \text{fold } (\times) 1 [].$$

Starting from the lhs, following derivation concludes the base case:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{prod } [] &= 1 && \text{(definition of prod)} \\
 &= \text{fold } (\times) 1 [] && \text{(definition of fold)}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Step Case** ( $xs = y :: ys$ ). To show:  $P(ys) \rightarrow P(y :: ys)$ . Therefor assume the IH  $P(ys)$ , i.e.,

$$\text{prod } ys = \text{fold } (\times) 1 ys.$$

It remains to show that  $P(y :: ys)$ . This is done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{prod } (y :: ys) &= y \times \text{prod } ys && \text{(definition of prod)} \\
 &= y \times \text{fold } (\times) 1 ys && \text{(IH)} \\
 &= \text{fold } (\times) 1 (y :: ys) && \text{(definition of fold)}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

3. (a) Yes, `e` and `o` are tail recursive since the last function calls in their respective recursive cases are to each other.
- (b) No, `prod` is not tail recursive since the last function call in the recursive case of its definition is to `(*)`. Here is a tail recursive alternative:

```

let prod xs =
  let rec prod acc = function
    | [] -> acc
    | x :: xs -> prod (x * acc) xs
  in prod 1 xs
;;

```