

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Points: \_\_\_\_\_

Matr.-Nr.: \_\_\_\_\_

## 1 First-order logic (15 pts)

Consider the following natural-language sentences:

- ① If a dragon is blue then its father or mother is blue.
- ② Red dragons can spit fire.
- ③ A dragon can perform magic if all its relatives can perform magic.
- ④ There are blue dragons which cannot spit fire.
- ⑤ Saphira is a blue dragon who cannot perform magic.

It is tacitly assumed that the father and the mother of a dragon are dragons as well.

- a) Give a first-order formula that formalises the sentences above. Use therefore the following constants, functions and predicates: [5]

- constants: *Saphira*
- functions: *father(x)*, *mother(x)*
- predicates: *spit-fire(x)*, *dragon(x)*, *blue(x)*, *red(x)*, *magic(x)*, *relative(x, y)*

Note that the predicate *magic(x)* has to be interpreted as “*x* performs magic”, the predicate *relative(x, y)* as “*x* is a relative of *y*”.

- b) Show that the complete formalization is satisfiable. [10]

## 2 First-order Resolution (5 pts)

Is the sentence

$$\exists x \exists y ( [P(b, x) \wedge Q(f(x), y)] \vee [\neg P(a, x) \wedge \neg Q(x, b)] \vee \\ \vee [\neg P(b, x) \wedge Q(f(x), b)] \vee [P(x, f(a)) \wedge \neg Q(f(x), y)] )$$

valid or not? If it is valid, display the resolution tree and give all substitutions employed in its construction. Otherwise give a satisfying interpretation for its negation. [5]

### 3 Expressiveness of first-order logic (5 pts)

State the Compactness Theorem and use it to prove the following:

Consider a signature which contains a binary predicate symbol  $R$ . There is no first-order sentence  $\phi$  such that for every interpretation  $\mathcal{M}$

$$\mathcal{M} \models \phi \quad \text{iff} \quad R^{\mathcal{M}} \text{ has at least one cycle}$$

A cycle of length  $n$  of  $R^{\mathcal{M}}$  is a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  of elements of the universe of  $\mathcal{M}$  for which  $(a_1, a_2), (a_2, a_3), \dots, (a_{n-1}, a_n), (a_n, a_1) \in R^{\mathcal{M}}$ . [5]

### 4 Theoretical Questions - Propositional Logic (15 pts)

- a) Give a Natural Deduction proof system for Propositional Logic. [3]
- b) Give the (boolean) semantics of Propositional Logic. [3]
- c) Give the definitions of the following elementary notions related to Resolution: *Literal, Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF), Clause, Clausal form, Clashing Clauses* and their *Resolvent*. [HINT: brief (but complete) descriptions suffice.] [3]
- d) Give the Propositional Resolution Algorithm. [HINT: briefly (but complete).] [3]
- e) State the soundness and completeness theorems for Propositional Resolution. [3]