

Solutions

1. Consider the lambda-term $t = (\lambda p.p (\lambda xy.y)) ((\lambda xyf.f x y) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x))$.

[10] (a) Reduce t stepwise to normal form, using the leftmost innermost strategy.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda p.p (\lambda xy.y)) ((\lambda xyf.f x y) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x)) &\rightarrow (\lambda p.p (\lambda xy.y)) ((\lambda yf.f (\lambda x.x) y) (\lambda x.x)) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda p.p (\lambda xy.y)) (\lambda f.f (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x)) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda f.f (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x)) (\lambda xy.y) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda xy.y) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda y.y) (\lambda x.x) \\ &\rightarrow \lambda x.x \end{aligned}$$

[10] (b) Reduce t stepwise to normal form, using the leftmost outermost strategy.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda p.p (\lambda xy.y)) ((\lambda xyf.f x y) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x)) &\rightarrow (\lambda xyf.f x y) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda xy.y) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda yf.f (\lambda x.x) y) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda xy.y) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda f.f (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x)) (\lambda xy.y) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda xy.y) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x) \\ &\rightarrow (\lambda y.y) (\lambda x.x) \\ &\rightarrow \lambda x.x \end{aligned}$$

[20] 2. Consider the type

```
type 'a btree = Leaf of 'a | Node of ('a btree * 'a * 'a btree)
```

together with the functions

```
let hd(x::_) = x
let rec leftmost = function Leaf x      -> x
                        | Node(l,_,_) -> leftmost l
let rec flatten = function Leaf x      -> [x]
                        | Node(l,x,r) -> flatten l @ (x :: flatten r)
```

Prove by induction that $\text{hd}(\text{flatten } t) = \text{leftmost } t$ for all trees t . You may use the fact

$$\text{hd}(\text{flatten } t @ xs) = \text{hd}(\text{flatten } t) \quad (\star)$$

for all trees t and lists xs .

Solution.

Solutions

Base Case ($t = \text{Leaf } x$). The base case concludes by the derivation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hd}(\text{flatten}(\text{Leaf } x)) &= \text{hd}([x]) && \text{(def. of flatten)} \\ &= x && \text{(def. of hd)} \\ &= \text{leftmost } t && \text{(def. of leftmost)} \end{aligned}$$

Step Case ($t = \text{Node}(l, x, r)$). By IH we may assume the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hd}(\text{flatten } l) &= \text{leftmost } l \\ \text{hd}(\text{flatten } r) &= \text{leftmost } r \end{aligned}$$

The step case concludes by the derivation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hd}(\text{flatten}(\text{Node}(l, x, r))) &= \text{hd}(\text{flatten } l @ (x :: \text{flatten } r)) && \text{(def. of flatten)} \\ &= \text{hd}(\text{flatten } l) && \text{(by } (\star)\text{)} \\ &= \text{leftmost } l && \text{(by IH)} \\ &= \text{leftmost } t && \text{(def. of leftmost)} \end{aligned}$$

3. Consider the OCaml function `replicate`, defined by:

```
let rec replicate m n = if n < 1 then [] else m :: replicate m (n-1)
```

[10] (a) Implement a tail-recursive variant of `replicate`.

Solution.

```
let replicate m n =
  let rec replicate n acc =
    if n < 1 then acc else replicate (n-1) (m::acc)
  in
  replicate n []
```

[10] (b) Implement the function `split` that splits a list into two lists, where the first contains all elements satisfying the given predicate and the second all the others, e.g.,

```
split (fun x -> x <> 0) [1;2;0;3] = ([1;2;3],[0])
```

Solution.

```
let rec split p = function
| [] -> ([],[])
| x::xs -> let (l,r) = split p xs in if p x then (x::l,r)
                                             else (l,x::r)
```

4. Consider the λ -term $t = (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x)$.

[5] (a) Reduce t to normal form.

Solution. $t \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x)$

Solutions

- [5] (b) Give the set $\mathcal{FVar}(t)$ of free variables of t .

Solution. $\mathcal{FVar}(t) = \emptyset$

- [5] (c) Give the set $\mathcal{BVar}(t)$ of bound variables of t .

Solution. $\mathcal{BVar}(t) = \{x\}$

- [5] (d) Give the set $\mathcal{Sub}(t)$ of all subterms of t .

Solution. $\mathcal{Sub}(t) = \{t, (\lambda x.x) (\lambda x.x), (\lambda x.x), x\}$

- [10] 5. (a) Transform the type inference problem $\emptyset \triangleright \lambda x.x x : \alpha_0$ into a unification problem.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \emptyset \triangleright \lambda x.x x : \alpha_0 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{abs}} \\
 & x : \alpha_1 \triangleright x x : \alpha_2; \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{app}} \\
 & x : \alpha_1 \triangleright x : \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2; x : \alpha_1 \triangleright x : \alpha_3; \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{cons}} \\
 & \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2; x : \alpha_1 \triangleright x : \alpha_3; \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{cons}} \\
 & \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2; \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3; \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2
 \end{aligned}$$

- [10] (b) Solve the following unification problem (if possible).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \\
 & \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3 \\
 & \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2; \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3; \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{(v_1)} \\
 & \quad \Rightarrow \{\alpha_1 / \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2\} \\
 & \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3; \alpha_0 \approx (\alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_2) \rightarrow \alpha_2
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point the occur-check fails and hence given unification problem has no solution.