

Solutions

This test consists of three exercises. *Explain your answers.* The available points for each item are written in the margin.

- [8] 1. Consider the OCaml functions

```
let square x = x * x
```

```
let succ x = x + 1
```

Give every computation step of the function call `square(succ 3)`, using the rightmost outermost reduction strategy.

*Solution.*

```
square(succ 3) → (succ 3) * (succ 3)
               → (succ 3) * (3 + 1)
               → (succ 3) * 4
               → (3 + 1) * 4
               → 4 * 4
               → 16
```

- [8] 2. Using the OCaml function

```
let rec map f = function [] -> []
                       | x::xs -> f x :: map f xs
```

prove that mapping two functions  $f$  and  $g$  one after another yields the same result as mapping the combined function  $g \circ f$  (where  $(g \circ f) x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(f x)$ ), i.e., show

$$\text{map } g \text{ (map } f \text{ } xs) = \text{map } (g \circ f) \text{ } xs$$

by induction over  $xs$ .

*Solution.* We show the property  $P(xs) = (\text{map } g \text{ (map } f \text{ } xs) = \text{map } (g \circ f) \text{ } xs)$ .

**Base Case** ( $xs = []$ ).  $P([])$  is shown by the derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map } g \text{ (map } f \text{ } []) &= \text{map } g \text{ } [] && \text{(def. of map)} \\ &= [] && \text{(def. of map)} \\ &= \text{map } (g \circ f) \text{ } [] && \text{(def. of map)} \end{aligned}$$

**Step Case** ( $xs = z :: zs$ ). The IH is  $P(zs) = (\text{map } g \text{ (map } f \text{ } zs) = \text{map } (g \circ f) \text{ } zs)$ .  $P(z :: zs)$  is shown by the derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map } g \text{ (map } f \text{ } (z :: zs)) &= \text{map } g \text{ } (f z :: \text{map } f \text{ } zs) && \text{(def. of map)} \\ &= g(f z) :: \text{map } g \text{ (map } f \text{ } zs) && \text{(def. of map)} \\ &= (g \circ f) z :: \text{map } g \text{ (map } f \text{ } zs) && \text{(def. of } \circ) \\ &\stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} (g \circ f) z :: \text{map } (g \circ f) \text{ } zs \\ &= \text{map } (g \circ f) \text{ } (z :: zs) && \text{(def. of map)} \end{aligned}$$

Solutions

[9] 3. Let  $e$  denote the CoreML expression

$$\text{let } g = Y (\lambda f.\text{cons } 0 f) \text{ in } g$$

Use the environment

$$E = \{Y : (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0, \text{cons} : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1), 0 : \text{int}\}$$

to transform the type inference problem  $E \triangleright e : \alpha_2$  into a unification problem.

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \triangleright e : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{let}} \\
 & E \triangleright Y (\lambda f.\text{cons } 0 f) : \alpha_3; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{app}} \\
 & E \triangleright Y : \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; E \triangleright \lambda f.\text{cons } 0 f : \alpha_4; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{con}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; E \triangleright \lambda f.\text{cons } 0 f : \alpha_4; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{abs}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright \text{cons } 0 f : \alpha_6; \alpha_4 \approx \alpha_5 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{app}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright \text{cons } 0 : \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright f : \alpha_7; \\
 & \quad \alpha_4 \approx \alpha_5 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{app}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright \text{cons} : \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright 0 : \alpha_8; \\
 & \quad E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright f : \alpha_7; \alpha_4 \approx \alpha_5 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{con}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \approx \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright 0 : \alpha_8; \\
 & \quad E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright f : \alpha_7; \alpha_4 \approx \alpha_5 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{con}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \approx \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_6; \text{int} \approx \alpha_8; \\
 & \quad E, f : \alpha_5 \triangleright f : \alpha_7; \alpha_4 \approx \alpha_5 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{con}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \approx \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_6; \text{int} \approx \alpha_8; \\
 & \quad \alpha_5 \approx \alpha_7; \alpha_4 \approx \alpha_5 \rightarrow \alpha_6; E, g : \alpha_3 \triangleright g : \alpha_2 \\
 & \quad \xRightarrow{\text{con}} \\
 & (\alpha_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0) \rightarrow \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha_1) \approx \alpha_8 \rightarrow \alpha_7 \rightarrow \alpha_6; \text{int} \approx \alpha_8; \\
 & \quad \alpha_5 \approx \alpha_7; \alpha_4 \approx \alpha_5 \rightarrow \alpha_6; \alpha_3 \approx \alpha_2
 \end{aligned}$$