

# Game Theory

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Winter 2008



## Summary of Last Lecture

### Algorithm

### Lemke-Howson (LH) Algorithm

- INPUT: a nondegenerate bimatrix game
- OUTPUT: one Nash equilibria together with proof of existence

### Notation

- **dropping** a label  $l$  of a vertex  $x$  means traversing the unique edge that has all the labels of  $x$  except  $l$
- at the endpoint there is a vertex that has a new label this label is **picked up**

## Method

- 1 start with the **artificial equilibrium**  $(0, 0)$
- 2 pick a pure strategy  $k \in M \cup N$  that is dropped
- 3 this label is called the **missing label**
- 4 traverse along the unique edge to the endpoint (in  $P$  or  $Q$ )
- 5 **loop**
  - denote the new vertex pair as  $(x, y)$
  - let  $l$  denote the label that is picked up
  - if  $l = k$ , exit loop with Nash equilibrium  $(x, y)$
  - otherwise drop  $l$  in the **other** polytope ( $Q$  or  $P$ )

## Implementation

- the LH algorithm can be implemented algebraically by **pivoting** in each step
- pivoting can be handled in a similar way as in the simplex method; this yields a **polytime** algorithm **for each step**

## Content

motivation, introduction to decision theory, decision theory

basic model of game theory, dominated strategies, Bayesian games

equilibria of strategic-form games, evolution, resistance, and risk dominance, two-person zero-sum games

efficient computation of Nash equilibria, equilibrium computation for two-player games

**complexity of finding Nash equilibria, complexity class PPAD**

# Nash equilibria and NP-completeness

## Definition

a **search problem**  $S$  consists of

- 1 a set of **inputs**  $I_S \subseteq \Sigma^*$
- 2  $\forall x \in I_S \exists$  **solution set**  $S_x \subseteq \Sigma^{|x|^k}$  for some integer  $k$
- 3 such that  $\forall x \in I_S \forall y \in \Sigma^*$  it is decidable in polytime whether  $y \in S_x$

a search problem is **total** if  $\forall x \in I_S S_x \neq \emptyset$

## Definition

we write NASH for the problem of finding a Nash equilibrium in a game in strategic form

## Example

NASH is a total search problem

# NP-completeness of Generalisations

## Definition

a bimatrix game  $\Gamma$  represented by payoff matrices  $A$  and  $B$  is **symmetric** if  $A = B^T$

## Theorem

the following problems are complete for NP (even for symmetric games):  
given a two-player game  $\Gamma$  in strategic form, does  $\Gamma$  have:

- at least two Nash equilibria?
- a Nash equilibrium in which player  $i$  has utility at least a given amount?
- a Nash equilibrium with support of size greater than a given number?
- a Nash equilibrium whose support contains strategy  $s$ ?
- ...

# The Class Polynomial Parity Argument (Directed Case)

## Definition

END OF THE LINE

the END OF THE LINE problem is defined as follows:

- GIVEN: two Boolean circuits  $S$  and  $P$ , each with  $n$  input and  $n$  output bits, such that

$$P(0^n) = 0^n \quad S(0^n) \neq 0^n$$

- FIND: an input  $\{0, 1\}^n$  such that

$$P(S(x)) \neq x \quad \text{or} \quad S(P(x)) \neq x \neq 0^n$$

## Definition

PPAD

**PPAD** (polynomial parity argument, directed case) is the class of total search problems that is reducible to the END OF THE LINE problem

## Informal Definition

the class PPAD can be defined as the class of total search problems, where totality follows from an argument like follows

- a directed graph  $G$  is defined on a finite but exponentially large set of vertexes
- each vertex has indegree and outdegree at most 1
- given a string  $x$  is easy to check that
  - 1  $x \in G$
  - 2 find the adjacent vertexes of  $x$
  - 3 identify the direction of the edge
- $\exists$  a vertex with no incoming edges that is known (the **standard source**)
- all vertexes with no outgoing edges, or all sources other than the standard source are solutions

## Example

**NASH**  $\in$  PPAD

# Succinct Representations of Games

## Observation ①

- given an  $n$ -player game
- such that each player has the same number of (pure) strategies  $m$
- then representing a game in strategic form needs  $nm^n$  numbers

## Observation ②

this trivialises any complexity considerations:

- the support enumeration algorithm roughly needs  $(2^m)^n$  many steps
- but this is a **polynomial** algorithm in  $nm^n$

## Graphical Games

### Definition

a **graphical game** is a  $n$ -person game, with  $n$  large, but the utility of each player depends only on the strategies of few other players

- $\exists$  directed graph  $G = (\{1, \dots, n\}, E)$
- such that  $(i, j) \in E$  implies that the utility of player  $j$  depends on the strategy chosen by player  $i$
- $\forall$  (randomised) strategy profiles  $\sigma, \sigma'$  if  $\sigma_j = \sigma'_j$  and  $\forall (i, j) \in E$ :  $\sigma_i = \sigma'_i$ , then  $u_j(\sigma) = u_j(\sigma')$

### Observation ③

given a graphical  $n$ -player game  $\Gamma$  such that

- indegree of the graph  $G$  at most  $d$
- maximal  $m$  pure strategy per player

then  $\Gamma$  needs only  $nm^{d+1}$  numbers for its description

# Complexity of Nash Equilibria

## Definition

SYMMETRIC NASH is the problem of finding a **symmetric** Nash equilibrium given a symmetric game

## Theorem

$\exists$  polynomial reduction from NASH to SYMMETRIC NASH

## Proof

on black board ■

## Corollary

finding any Nash equilibrium in a symmetric game is as hard as solving NASH

## Observation

the existence of a symmetric Nash equilibrium in a symmetric game follows by an adaption of the LH algorithm

# NASH is complete for PPAD

## Definition

the problem BROUWER, a discrete version of Brouwer's fixpoint theorem: any continuous function  $f$  on (let's say) cube has a fixpoint

## Theorem

NASH (even for two players) is complete for PPAD

## Proof Plan

- BROUWER is complete for PPAD
- reduction from BROUWER to a graphical game  $\Gamma$  with many players
- reduction from  $\Gamma$  to NASH

## Final Remark

if  $P = NP$ , then also  $P = PPAD$ , but  $P = PPAD$  need not imply  $P = NP$