

1.

a) We claim to define $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ for sentences, but in the fourth step, we use the definition with respect to a formula with free variable, namely $\varphi(x)$.

b) The fourth case has to be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} \models \exists x\varphi \quad \text{if } \mathcal{M}_C \models \varphi(c) \text{ for some } c \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$$

where the definition of \mathcal{M}_C and $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$ can be found on page 61 in the book.

c) It suffices to add one case: $\mathcal{M} \models s = t$ if and only if $s^{\mathcal{M}}$ and $t^{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the same element in the universe of \mathcal{M} .

2.

- a)
- $\varphi_1^S : \Leftrightarrow \forall x\forall z\forall u(\neg Q(x, f(x), z) \vee P(g(x, z, u), x, f(x), u))$
 - $\varphi_2^S : \Leftrightarrow \forall y\forall z((\neg R(a, z) \vee \neg R(a, y) \vee R(a, f(y, z))) \wedge (\neg R(a, z) \vee \neg R(a, y) \vee R(y, f(y, z))) \wedge (\neg R(a, z) \vee \neg R(a, y) \vee R(z, f(y, z))))$
 - $\varphi_3 : \Leftrightarrow \forall x\forall y(S(y, f(x, y)) \wedge S(f(x, y), g(x, y)) \wedge S(x, h(x, y)) \wedge S(h(x, y), i(x, y)))$

where a, f, g, h, i are newly introduced Skolem constants or Skolem functions, respectively.

b) See Section 3.3.2 in the book on page 116.

c) Suppose $\mathcal{V} = \{c\}$ for some constant symbol c . Then the Herbrand vocabulary is \mathcal{V} itself and as Herbrand universe U we get the set $\{c\}$. To finish the definition of the Herbrand structure \mathcal{M} , we need to set the valuation of all relation symbols in \mathcal{V} . As there are none, we are done.

3.

- a) Let $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots\}$ be a set of fresh constants. We define \mathbf{P} as follows:
- set $T_0 = \Gamma$
 - enumerate the set of all \mathcal{V}^+ -sentences: $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \dots$
 - define T_{m+1} based on T_m and consider sentence φ_{m+1} ; assume T_m has only used finitely many constants from C
 - if $T_m \cup \{\neg\varphi_{m+1}\}$ is consistent, set:

$$T_{m+1} = T_m \cup \{\neg\varphi_{m+1}\}$$

- if $T_m \cup \{\neg\varphi_{m+1}\}$ is not consistent, then $T_m \cup \{\varphi_{m+1}\}$ is consistent
- in this case suppose $\varphi_{m+1} \neq \exists x\psi(x)$, then:

$$T_{m+1} = T_m \cup \{\varphi_{m+1}\}$$

- otherwise $T_{m+1} = T_m \cup \{\varphi_{m+1}\} \cup \{\psi(c_i)\}$ for fresh $c_i \in C$

b) Let $\mathcal{V}^+ = \mathcal{V} \cup C$. We define the structure \mathcal{M}^+ as a \mathcal{V}^+ -structure such that:

- the universe of \mathcal{M}^+ is a set U^+ of closed \mathcal{V}^+ -terms
- in U^+ we identify all terms s, t such that $T^+ \vdash s = t$
- set $c^{\mathcal{M}^+} = t \in U^+$, whenever $T^+ \vdash t = c$
- set $f^{\mathcal{M}^+}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = s$, whenever $T^+ \vdash f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = s$
- set $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^{\mathcal{M}^+}$, if $T^+ \vdash R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

4. The explicit definitions for **a** and **sub** are given on page 304 in the book. Here we present the definition of the factorial function:

$$0! = 1 \quad (n + 1)! = h(n, n!) ,$$

where $h(x, y) = \mathbf{p}_1^2(x, y) \times \mathbf{p}_2^2(x, y)$ and $x \times y$ is defined as follows: $0 \times y = i(y) = 0$ and $(x + 1) \times y = j(x, y, x \times y) = \mathbf{a}(y, x \times y)$ with $i(y) = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{p}_1^1(y))$, $j(x, y, z) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}_2^3(x, y, z), \mathbf{p}_3^3(x, y, z))$.

5.

statement	yes	no
Given an embedding $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, let a be an element in \mathcal{M} and let $\varphi(x)$ be a quantifier-free formula, containing $=$ with one free variable x . Then $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi(f(a))$ doesn't imply $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi(a)$.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Every embedding is injective.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
For any vocabulary \mathcal{V} and any \mathcal{V} -structure \mathcal{M} , $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ is complete and consistent.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
For finite \mathcal{M} we have that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
For any sentence φ we have: φ is satisfiable if and only if φ has a Herbrand model.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Let Γ be a countable set of formulas, if Γ is consistent, then Γ has a countable model.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The set of all 17-ary recursive functions is not recursive enumerable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For each n there exists arithmetic sets A that are Σ_n but not Π_n and vice versa.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
We have $\text{LOGSPACE} \subseteq \text{NLOGSPACE} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{NPSpace}$.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
For any existential second-order sentence φ and any \mathcal{V} -structure \mathcal{M} such that \mathcal{V} is finite, the problem $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ is decidable by a nondeterministic TM in polynomial time.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>