

1. a) *Solution.* See page 45 in the book for the definition; propositional logic is compact. □
- b) *Solution.* See Theorem 1.79 for the proof. □
- c) *Solution.* See Exercise 1.34 for a definition. Propositional logic has the interpolation property. This can be proven directly by induction on the logical complexity of the implication. □
2. a) *Solution.* First, the definition is not well-defined, as the tuple \vec{a} is not specified. Moreover, literal embeddings are defined for literals. With respect to elementary embeddings the definition extends to all formulas □
- b) *Solution.* See Definition 2.50 for the correct definition. □
- c) *Solution.* The following definition would be a correct extension: Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be \mathcal{V} -structures and $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ a function such that $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi(\vec{a})$ if and only if $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi(f(\vec{a}))$ for any tuple \vec{a} of elements in M . where φ is a quantifier-free formula. Then f is called literal embedding. □
3. a) *Solution.*

$$\frac{\frac{a \neq d}{\square} \quad \frac{\frac{b = d \quad (a = d) \vee (a = b)}{(a = d) \vee (a = d)} \text{ superposition right}}{a = d} \text{ factoring}}{\square} \text{ resolution}$$

- b) *Solution.* See Section 3.3.3 on page 118 in the book. □
4. a) *Solution.* See Definition 3.24 in the book. □
- b) *Solution.* The Herbrand vocabulary \mathcal{V}_φ for φ is $\{c, f, P\} = \mathcal{V}$. Hence the Herbrand universe $H(\varphi)$ equals $\{c, f(c), f(f(c)), \dots\}$. By definition any Herbrand structure interprets the constants and functions in $H(\varphi)$ by itself. To satisfy the formula $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow P(f(x)))$ property P has to hold for all elements of $H(\varphi)$. Hence $\exists x \neg P(x)$ cannot be satisfied. □
- c) *Solution.* Use the Skolemisation of φ for the formula ψ . □
5. *Solution.* See Proposition 7.11 in the book □

	statement	yes	no
	Literal embeddings preserve universal sentences.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Any complete theory is consistent.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	First-order logic is complete, i.e., for any sentence φ , if $\vdash \varphi$, then $\models \varphi$.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Let Γ be a countable set of sentences. Then $\Gamma \models \varphi$ if and only if $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.	Let A be a definable subset of \mathbb{N} . If A is definable by an existential formula, then A is recursive.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	The Ackermann function is recursive.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	For any existential second-order sentence φ and any \mathcal{V} -structure \mathcal{M} such that \mathcal{V} is finite, then the problem $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ is in PSPACE.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	The satisfiability problem (of first-order logic) is complete for NP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	For finite graphs, reachability is expressible as existential, second-order formula.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Second order logic is complete, but not compact.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>