

4 Selected Solutions

- Exercise 7.25 a)

Solution. By definition we have $\text{FIN} = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is finite}\}$ and

$$\text{COF} = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is co-infinite}\} = \{x \mid \sim W_x \text{ is infinite}\} .$$

In order to show $\text{FIN} \leq_m \text{COF}$ we define a total recursive function f such that $x \in \text{FIN}$ if and only if $f(x) \in \text{COF}$.

To describe the function f , we fix a recursive function φ_x and then define based on φ_x a recursive function φ_y such that $f(x) = y$. The construction will be such that we can read off the definition of f and verify that f is total recursive.

It clarifies the argument if we work with Turing machines instead of recursive functions directly. We assume that TM M computes φ_x and define TM N that computes φ_y . Thus it suffices to describe N in term of M such that the description of N is obtained from M in an effective way. We suppose M, N are defined over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ tacitly assuming the strings over Σ represent binary encodings of natural numbers.

We describe N : On input y , N generates all strings x, z such that $|x| > |y|$, $|z| > |y|$ and simulates M on x for at most $|z|$ steps. This is done in a timeshared manner such that eventually all computations $M(x)$ are simulated. N accepts its input y , if M ever accepts x (in at most $|z|$ steps). Then $L(M)$ is finite implies that $L(N)$ is finite, too. On the other hand if $L(M)$ is infinite, then $L(N) = \Sigma^*$. From this it is easy to see, that $x \in \text{FIN}$ if and only $y \in \text{COF}$.

It remains to verify that there exists a recursive function f such that $f(x) = y$. To see this, observe that x is nothing else then the code of M , where N calls M as a subroutine. Then the code of N is essentially given as the code of the above loop plus the code of M, x . It is easy to see that this process can be represented by a recursive function. \square

- Exercise 7.25 b)

Solution. By definition we have $\text{INF} = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is infinite}\}$ and we have to show $\text{INF} \leq_m \text{COF}$. For that we employ computation histories. Recall that a string represents a computation history of a given TM M if it satisfies the following properties:

1. The string encodes a sequence of configurations of M .
2. The first configuration is the start configuration on some input.
3. The last configuration is an accepting configuration.
4. The $i + 1^{\text{th}}$ configuration follows from the i^{th} configuration in accordance with the rules of M .

Let M be a TM, then we aim to construct a TM N such that if $L(M)$ is infinite, then $\sim L(N)$ will be infinite and otherwise if $L(M)$ is finite, then $\sim L(N)$ will be finite. If this has been achieved then we can define a recursive function f from this construction (as in Exercise 7.25.a) such that $x \in \text{INF}$ if and only if $f(x) \in \text{COF}$.

We define N to accept all strings that are not computation histories of M . The simplest way to do so is to extend the alphabet Σ such that the encoding can be done with the new symbols. Then we only need to check whether one of the above given properties fails to hold. In which case N will accept, otherwise reject. \square

- Exercise 7.25 c)

Solution. Let $\text{TOT} = \{x \mid \varphi_x \text{ is total}\}$, we have to show $\text{TOT} \leq_m \text{COF}$. The reduction makes use of an auxiliary TM K , defined as follows.

On input y . K generates all strings x (over Σ) such that $|x| \leq |y|$. Then K simulates M on x (in a timeshared way so that all x generated are eventually tested). If M halts on all x , then K accepts y . Notice, that if $L(M)$ is total, then M halts for all x . Hence for all input y to K , K will accept its input. Hence $L(K) = \Sigma^*$. Otherwise, if there exists x such that M loops on x . then K will reject all y with $|y| \geq |x|$. In particular $L(K)$ is finite.

Now, to define the sought TM N , we construct a TM that accepts all strings that are not configuration histories of K (employing ideas from Exercise 7.25 b). I.e., $\sim L(N)$ is infinite if $L(M)$ is total and $\sim L(N)$ is finite if $L(M)$ is not total. It is easy to see how to prove $\text{TOT} \leq_m \text{COF}$ from this. \square

- Exercise 7.25 d)

Solution. Let $\text{REC} = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is recursive}\}$. According to the exercise we ought to prove $\text{COF} \leq_m \text{REC}$. However this would contradict the fact that the arithmetical hierarchy doesn't collapse.

To see this, observe that COF can be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{COF} &= \{M \mid L(M) \text{ is co-infinite}\} \\ &= \{M \mid \forall n \exists x \forall t (|x| > n \wedge M \text{ doesn't accept } x \text{ in } t \text{ steps})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the assertion that “ M doesn't accept x in t steps” is representable as recursive formula. We can even prove that this formula is primitive recursive. Hence the set COF represents a Π_3 -formula. Moreover it is not difficult to proof that COF is complete for Π_3 with respect to \leq_m . On the other hand we have the following characterisation of REC :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{REC} &= \{M \mid L(M) \text{ is recursive}\} \\ &= \{M \mid \exists N \forall x \forall t_1 \exists t_1 \exists t_2 (M \text{ accepts } x \text{ in } t_1 \text{ steps} \rightarrow N \text{ accepts } x \text{ in } t_2 \text{ steps})\}. \end{aligned}$$

From this it is not difficult to see that $\text{REC} \in \Sigma_3$. If we would indeed be able to reduce prove $\text{COF} \leq_m \text{REC}$, then we would reduce *all* Π_3 -formula to a Σ_3 -formulas. Hence the arithmetical hierarchy collapses at level 3 which is not the case. \square