

Logic (master program)

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Winter 2008



More Rules

premise	conclusion	name
$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F)$	()-introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	()-elimination
$\mathcal{F} \vdash ((F \wedge G) \wedge H)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G \wedge H)$	\wedge -parentheses
$\mathcal{F} \vdash ((F \vee G) \vee H)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G \vee H)$	\vee -parentheses
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg(\neg F \wedge \neg G)$	\vee -definition
$\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg(\neg F \wedge \neg G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G)$	
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (\neg F \vee G)$	\rightarrow -definition
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (\neg F \vee G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G)$	
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \leftrightarrow G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G) \wedge (G \rightarrow F)$	\leftrightarrow -definition
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G) \wedge (G \rightarrow F)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \leftrightarrow G)$	

Summary of Last Lecture

premise	conclusion	name
$G \in \mathcal{F}$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash G$	assumption
$\mathcal{F} \vdash G \wedge \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}'$	$\mathcal{F}' \vdash G$	monotonicity
$\mathcal{F} \vdash G$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg\neg G$	double negation
$\mathcal{F} \vdash F, \mathcal{F} \vdash G$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G)$	\wedge -introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	\wedge -elimination
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (G \wedge F)$	\wedge -symmetry
$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash F \vee G$	\vee -introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G), \mathcal{F} \cup \{F\} \vdash H, \mathcal{F} \cup \{G\} \vdash H$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash H$	\vee -elimination
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (G \vee F)$	\vee -symmetry
$\mathcal{F} \cup \{F\} \vdash G$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G)$	\rightarrow -introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G), \mathcal{F} \vdash F$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash G$	\rightarrow -elimination

Resolution

Definition

- \square is a **clause**
- literals are **clauses**
- if C, D are clauses, then $C \vee D$ is a **clause**

clause

Convention

we assert equivalence under **commutativity** and **associativity** and $\neg\neg A \equiv A$ for literals

Definition

$$\frac{C \vee A \quad D \vee \neg A}{C \vee D}$$

(propositional) resolution

Definition

$$\frac{C \vee A \vee A}{C \vee A}$$

(propositional) factoring

Soundness, Completeness and Compactness

Theorem

soundness

if a formula G is derivable from a set of formulas \mathcal{F} , then G is a consequence of \mathcal{F}

Theorem

compactness

a set of formulas of propositional logic is satisfiable iff every finite subset is satisfiable

Theorem

completeness

if a formula G is a consequence of a set of formulas \mathcal{F} , then G is derivable from \mathcal{F}

- Exercise 1.1.
- Exercise 1.2.
- Exercise 1.21.
- Exercise 1.34.
- Exercise 1.35.

Content

introduction, propositional logic, semantics, formal proofs, resolution (propositional)

first-order logic, semantics, structures, theories and models, formal proofs, Herbrand theory, resolution (first-order), completeness of first-order logic, properties of first-order logic

introduction to computability, introduction to complexity, finite model theory

beyond first order: modal logics in a general setting, higher-order logics, introduction to Isabelle

Language of First-Order Logic

fixed part	connectives	as for propositional logic
	quantifiers	\forall reads “for all” \exists reads “there exists”
	brackets), (
	variables	x, y, z, \dots
variable part	relation symbols	$=, P, Q, R, S, \dots$
	function symbols	f, g, h, \dots
	constant symbols	a, b, c, \dots

Example

$$\exists x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 (\neg(x_1 = x_2) \wedge \neg(x_1 = x_3) \wedge \neg(x_2 = x_3))$$

Definition

terms

terms are defined inductively as follows

- every variable and constant is a term
- if f is an n -ary function symbol, and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term

Definition

atomic formula

an **atomic formula** is an expression of form $t_1 = t_2$ or $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where R is an n -ary relation symbol and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms

Definition

formulas

a **formula** is an expression that is either an atomic formula or generated through the following rules

- if φ is a formula, so is $\neg\varphi$
- if φ and ψ are formulas, so is $\varphi \wedge \psi$
- if φ is a formula, then so is $\exists x\varphi$

Definition

 $\text{free}(\varphi)$

we define the set $\text{free}(\varphi)$ of **free variables** inductively

- if φ is atomic, $\text{free}(\varphi) = \{x \mid \text{variable } x \text{ occurs in } \varphi\}$
- if $\varphi = \neg\psi$, then $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\psi)$
- if $\varphi = \psi \wedge \theta$, then $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\psi) \cup \text{free}(\theta)$
- if $\varphi = \exists x\psi$, then $\text{free}(\varphi) = \text{free}(\psi) \setminus \{x\}$

Definition

 $\text{bnd}(\varphi)$

we define the set $\text{bnd}(\varphi)$ of **bound variables** inductively

- if φ is atomic, $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \emptyset$
- if $\varphi = \neg\psi$, then $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\psi)$
- if $\varphi = \psi \wedge \theta$, then $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\psi) \cup \text{bnd}(\theta)$
- if $\varphi = \exists x\psi$, then $\text{bnd}(\varphi) = \text{bnd}(\psi) \cup \{x\}$

Example

consider $\exists x(R(x, y) \wedge \exists yR(y, x))$

Definition

defined symbols

- the symbols $\vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ are defined as in propositional logic
- we define $\forall x\varphi$ as $\neg\exists x\neg\varphi$

Priorities

$$\neg, \exists, \forall > \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

Definition

subformula

- any formula φ is a **subformula** of itself
- any **subformula** of φ is a **subformula** of $\neg\varphi$
- any **subformula** of φ and ψ is a **subformula** of $\varphi \wedge \psi$
- any **subformula** of φ is a **subformula** of $\exists x\varphi$

Semantics of First-Order Logic

Definition

vocabulary

a **vocabulary** is a set of function, relation and constant **symbols**

Definition

structure

a **structure** \mathcal{M} is a pair (U, I) , where U is called the **universe** of the structure and I denotes an interpretation of the vocabulary \mathcal{V} such that

- $c^{\mathcal{M}} \in U$ for each constant in \mathcal{V}
- $f^{\mathcal{M}}: U^n \rightarrow U$ for each function symbol in \mathcal{V}
- $R^{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq U^n$ for each predicate symbol in \mathcal{V}

to emphasise the vocabulary, we sometimes speak of a **\mathcal{V} -structure**

Example

let $\mathcal{V} = \{c, f, R\}$, c constant, f is unary, R is binary

$$\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}, \underbrace{c^{\mathcal{M}}, f^{\mathcal{M}}, R^{\mathcal{M}}}_{\{c, f, R\}\text{-structure}})$$

- $c^{\mathcal{M}} = 3$
- $f^{\mathcal{M}}(x) = x^2$
- $(x, y) \in R^{\mathcal{M}} \iff x < y$

Convention

we write $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}, c, f, R)$ for the above structure, if no confusion can arise

Example

- $\mathcal{V} = \{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$
- $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ denotes the “usual” structure of the reals, where the constants and functions admit their standard interpretation

Definition

- a \mathcal{V} -formula contains only the function, relation, constants in \mathcal{V}
- a \mathcal{V} -sentence is a \mathcal{V} -formula that doesn't contain free variables

Definition

$$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$$

we define $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ for sentences φ by structural induction

$\mathcal{M} \models t_1 = t_2$ if t_1, t_2 are interpreted as the same element in universe U of \mathcal{M}

$\mathcal{M} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ if $(t_1^{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{M}}) \in P^{\mathcal{M}}$

$\mathcal{M} \models \neg\varphi$ if $\mathcal{M} \not\models \varphi$

$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi \wedge \psi$ if $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi \wedge \mathcal{M} \models \psi$

$\mathcal{M} \models \exists x\varphi$ if $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi(x)$ for some x

this is not well-defined!

Definition

expansion

let \mathcal{V} be a vocabulary, \mathcal{M} a \mathcal{V} -structure

- an **expansion** \mathcal{V}' of \mathcal{V} is any vocabulary that is superset of \mathcal{V}
- an **expansion** \mathcal{M}' of \mathcal{M} if \mathcal{M}'
 - 1 has the same universe as \mathcal{M}
 - 2 interprets the elements of \mathcal{V} as in \mathcal{M}
- if \mathcal{M}' is an expansion of \mathcal{M} , then \mathcal{M} is a **reduct** of \mathcal{M}'

Lemma

let \mathcal{M} be \mathcal{V} -structure and \mathcal{M}' an \mathcal{V}' -structure; suppose \mathcal{M}' is an expansion of \mathcal{M} ; then \mathcal{V}' is an expansion of \mathcal{V}

Example

consider

$$\mathcal{M}' = (\mathbb{R}, +, -, \cdot, <, 0, 1) \quad \mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$$

\mathcal{M}' is an expansion of \mathcal{M}

Definition

let \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{V} -structure with universe U

- $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{V} \cup \{c_m \mid m \in U\}$
- \mathcal{M}_C denotes the expansion to a $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$ -structure that interprets constants c_m as the element m

Definition

$$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi \text{ cont'd}$$

$\mathcal{M} \models \exists x\varphi$ if $\mathcal{M}_C \models \varphi(c)$ for some $c \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$

Observation

$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ extends in the natural way to sentences containing defined symbols

Example

$\mathcal{M} \models \forall x\varphi$ if $\mathcal{M}_C \models \varphi(c)$ for all $c \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$