

# Logic (master program)

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Winter 2008



## Soundness Theorem

### Definition

formal proof

- a **formal proof** is a finite sequence of statements  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$
- each statement follows from previous ones, by the stated rules
- we say  $\varphi$  is **derived** from  $\Gamma$  if there is a formal proof of  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$

### Theorem

soundness

if  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$  then  $\Gamma \models \varphi$

### Theorem

closure theorem

let  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a formula and let  $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  its universal closure, then  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  if and only if  $\Gamma \vdash \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a set of sentences

## Summary of Last Lecture

### premise

### conclusion

### name

$\Gamma \vdash \varphi(t)$	$\Gamma \vdash \exists x \varphi(x)$	$\exists$ -introduction
$\Gamma \vdash \varphi(c)$	$\Gamma \vdash \forall x \varphi(x)$	$\forall$ -introduction
$\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$	$\Gamma \vdash \exists x \varphi(x) \rightarrow \exists x \psi(x)$	$\exists$ -distribution
$\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$	$\Gamma \vdash \forall x \varphi(x) \rightarrow \forall x \psi(x)$	$\forall$ -distribution
$\Gamma \vdash Q_1 x (Q_2 y \varphi)$	$\Gamma \vdash Q_1 x Q_2 y \varphi$	parentheses rule
	$\Gamma \vdash t = t$	reflexivity
$\Gamma \vdash \varphi(t), \Gamma \vdash t = t'$	$\Gamma \vdash \varphi(t')$	equality substitution

- $t$  is a term
- $c$  is constant  $\notin \Gamma$
- $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

extend by definition rules

## Theorem

let  $x, y$  be variables that do not occur in  $\varphi(z)$

- $\forall x \varphi(x)$  and  $\forall y \varphi(y)$  are provably equivalent
- $\exists x \varphi(x)$  and  $\exists y \varphi(y)$  are provably equivalent

## Definition

prenex normal form

- a formula  $\varphi$  is in **prenex normal form** if it has the form

$$Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_n x_n \psi \quad Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$$

$\psi$  is quantifier-free

- if  $\psi$  is a conjunction of disjunctions of literals, we say  $\varphi$  is in **conjunctive (prenex) normal form**

## Theorem

any first-order formula is transformable into conjunctive normal form

## Content

introduction, propositional logic, semantics, formal proofs, resolution (propositional)

**first-order logic**, semantics, structures, theories and models, formal proofs, **Herbrand theory**, completeness of first-order logic, properties of first-order logic, resolution (first-order)

introduction to computability, introduction to complexity, finite model theory

beyond first order: modal logics in a general setting, higher-order logics, introduction to Isabelle

## Skolemisation

### Definition

a sentence is in **Skolem normal form (SNF)** for short), if it is universal and in CNF

### Definition

given a sentence  $\varphi$ , we define its **Skolemisation**  $\varphi^S$  as follows

**1** transform  $\varphi$  into a CNF  $\varphi'$   
such that  $\varphi' = Q_1x_1 \cdots Q_mx_m \psi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$

**2** repeatedly replace  $\phi$

$$\forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_{i-1} \exists x_i Q_{i+1}x_{i+1} \cdots Q_mx_m \chi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

by  $s(\phi)$

$$\forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_{i-1} Q_{i+1}x_{i+1} \cdots Q_mx_m \chi(x_1, \dots, f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}), \dots, x_m)$$

where  $f$  denotes a fresh function symbol of arity  $i - 1$

### Theorem

let  $\varphi$  be a sentence and  $\varphi^S$  its Skolemisation, then  $\varphi$  is satisfiable if and only if  $\varphi^S$  is satisfiable

### Proof

without loss of generality we assume  $\varphi$  is in CNF, we show  $\varphi$  is satisfiable only if  $s(\varphi)$  is satisfiable

**1** define

$$\varphi = \forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_{i-1} \exists x_i Q_{i+1}x_{i+1} \cdots Q_mx_m \chi$$

$$s(\varphi) = \forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_{i-1} Q_{i+1}x_{i+1} \cdots Q_mx_m \chi[f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})/x_i]$$

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_i) = Q_{i+1}x_{i+1} \cdots Q_mx_m \chi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

**2** suppose  $\forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_{i-1} \exists x_i \psi$  is satisfiable then there  $\exists$  model  $\mathcal{M}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_f$  denote an expansion of  $\mathcal{M}_C$  (by  $f$ ) such that

$$\mathcal{M}_f \models \psi(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, f(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}))$$

for all  $c_1, \dots, c_{i-1} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$

**3** hence  $\forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_{i-1} \psi(x_1, \dots, f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}))$  is satisfiable ■

## Herbrand Theory

### Definition

a **Herbrand universe** for  $\mathcal{V}$  is the set of all closed  $\mathcal{V}$ -terms

### Example

let  $\mathcal{V} = \{c, f, R\}$ , then the Herbrand universe  $H$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  is defined as

$$H = \{c, f(c), f(f(c)), f(f(f(c))), \dots\}$$

### Definition

a structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is a **Herbrand structure**, if

**1** its universe is the Herbrand universe  $U$  for  $\mathcal{V}$

**2** the interpretation function sets

$$c^{\mathcal{M}} = c \in U \quad f^{\mathcal{M}}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

constants and functions are interpreted as their names, i.e., as terms

## Definition

the **Herbrand vocabulary**  $\mathcal{V}_\Gamma$  for  $\Gamma$  is defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{V}_0$  denotes the symbols occurring in  $\Gamma$
- if  $\mathcal{V}_0$  contains a constant  $\mathcal{V}_\Gamma = \mathcal{V}_0$ , otherwise  $\mathcal{V}_\Gamma = \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \{c\}$  for some constant  $c$

## Definition

- the **Herbrand universe**  $H(\Gamma)$  for  $\Gamma$  is the Herbrand universe for  $\mathcal{V}_\Gamma$
- $\mathcal{M}$  is a **Herbrand model of  $\Gamma$**  if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Herbrand structure that is also a model of  $\Gamma$

## Theorem

let  $\Gamma$  be set of equality-free sentences in SNF; then  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable if and only if  $\Gamma$  has a Herbrand model

## Proof

suppose  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable, let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $\mathcal{V}_\Gamma$ -structure that models each  $\varphi \in \Gamma$

- we define a Herbrand structure  $\mathcal{M}$
- the universe of  $\mathcal{M}$  is the Herbrand universe  $H(\Gamma)$
- $\forall R \in \mathcal{V}_\Gamma$

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^{\mathcal{M}} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathcal{N} \models R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

- $\forall$  quantifier- and equality-free sentences  $\psi$
- $$\mathcal{M} \models \psi \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathcal{N} \models \psi$$

follows by induction on  $\psi$

- $\forall$  equality-free SNF sentences  $\psi$
- $$\text{if } \mathcal{N} \models \psi \quad \text{then} \quad \mathcal{M} \models \psi$$

follows by induction on the number of (universal) quantifiers in  $\psi$  ■

## Theorem

let  $\varphi$  be an SNF-sentence, then  $\varphi$  is satisfiable if and only if  $\exists \varphi'$  such that  $\varphi'$  has a Herbrand model

## Proof

$\exists \varphi_E$  such that  $\varphi_E$  is satisfiable if and only  $\varphi$  is satisfiable and  $\varphi_E$  doesn't contain equality signs

## Definition

### Herbrand Method

the following procedure certifies unsatisfiability of first-order formulae

- let  $\varphi$  be a formula in SNF

$$\forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_n \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $\psi$  is quantifier-free, define  $E(\varphi)$  as the set

$$\{\psi(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid t_1, \dots, t_n \in H(\varphi)\}$$

- $\varphi$  is satisfiable if and only if  $E(\varphi_E)$  is satisfiable
- use **propositional** resolution to verify unsatisfiability of  $E(\varphi_E)$

## Content

introduction, propositional logic, semantics, formal proofs, resolution (propositional)

**first-order logic**, semantics, structures, theories and models, formal proofs, Herbrand theory, **completeness of first-order logic**, **properties of first-order logic**, resolution (first-order)

introduction to computability, introduction to complexity, finite model theory

beyond first order: modal logics in a general setting, higher-order logics, introduction to Isabelle

# Gödel's Completeness Theorem

every model has a theory and every theory has a model

recall

- a **theory** is a **consistent** set of formulas
- a set of formulas  $\Gamma$  is consistent if no contradiction follows from  $\Gamma$
- the **theory of  $\mathcal{M}$**  is the set  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\varphi \text{ a sentence} \mid \mathcal{M} \models \varphi\}$

every model has a theory

$\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$  is consistent

every theory has a model

every consistent set of sentences is satisfiable

## Definition

$T^+$

we define  $T^+$  in stages

- 1 set  $T_0 = \Gamma$
- 2 enumerate the set of all  $\mathcal{V}^+$ -sentences (naturally this enumeration includes the sentences in  $\Gamma$ )
- 3 define  $T_{m+1}$  based on  $T_m$  and consider sentence  $\varphi_{m+1}$ ; assume  $T_m$  has only used finitely many constants from  $C$
- 4 if  $T_m \cup \{\neg\varphi_{m+1}\}$  is consistent, set  $T_{m+1} = T_m \cup \{\neg\varphi_{m+1}\}$
- 5 if  $T_m \cup \{\neg\varphi_{m+1}\}$  is not consistent, then  $T_m \cup \{\varphi_{m+1}\}$  is consistent
- 6 in this case suppose  $\varphi_{m+1} \neq \exists x\psi(x)$ , then  $T_{m+1} = T_m \cup \{\varphi_{m+1}\}$
- 7 otherwise  $T_{m+1} = T_m \cup \{\varphi_{m+1}\} \cup \{\psi(c_i)\}$  for fresh  $c_i \in C$

finally let  $T^+ = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} T_m$

## Claim

$\forall m \geq 0$   $T_m$  is consistent

## Proposition

if  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable then  $\Gamma$  is consistent

## Proof

- if  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable, there exists  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that  $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi \forall \varphi \in \Gamma$
- $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$  is a complete theory, hence consistent by definition
- $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ , hence consistent

## Theorem

let  $\Gamma$  be **countable** set of sentences, if  $\Gamma$  consistent then  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable

## Proof Plan

- let  $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots\}$  set of fresh constants and let  $\mathcal{V}^+ = \mathcal{V} \cup C$
- we define a complete  $\mathcal{V}^+$ -theory  $T^+$  with  $\Gamma \subseteq T^+$  and
- $\forall$  sentences  $\exists x\varphi(x) \in T^+$ , we have  $\varphi(c_i) \in T^+$  for some  $c_i \in C$

based on this we construct a model  $\mathcal{M}^+$  of  $T^+$  and hence of  $\Gamma$

## Claim

the set  $T^+$  is a complete theory, such that (i)  $\Gamma \subseteq T^+$  and (ii)  $\forall$  sentences  $\exists x\varphi(x) \in T^+$ , we have  $\varphi(c_i) \in T^+$  for some  $c_i \in C$

## Proof of Claim

- $T^+$  is consistent; this follows from the consistency of each  $T_m$
- $T^+$  is a complete theory such that  $\Gamma \subseteq T^+$  and property (ii) holds follow by construction

## Definition

$\mathcal{M}^+$

we define  $\mathcal{M}^+$  as a  $\mathcal{V}^+$ -structure such that

- 1 the universe of  $\mathcal{M}^+$  is a set  $U^+$  of closed  $\mathcal{V}^+$ -terms
- 2 in  $U^+$  we identify all terms  $s, t$  such that  $T^+ \vdash s = t$
- 3 set  $c^{\mathcal{M}^+} = t \in U^+$ , whenever  $T^+ \vdash t = c$
- 4 set  $f^{\mathcal{M}^+}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = s$ , whenever  $T^+ \vdash f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = s$
- 5 set  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^{\mathcal{M}^+}$ , if  $T^+ \vdash R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

### Claim

for any sentence  $\mathcal{M}^+ \models \varphi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{T}^+ \vdash \varphi$

### Proof of Claim

by easy induction on  $\varphi$  ■

### Corollary

downward Löwenheim-Skolem

let  $\Gamma$  be a countable set of formulas, if  $\Gamma$  is consistent, then  $\Gamma$  has a countable model

### Corollary

compactness

a countable set of formulas is satisfiable if and only if every finite subset is satisfiable

### Corollary

for any countable set of sentences  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$  if and only if  $\Gamma \models \varphi$