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## Problem

Consider a Bayesian game $\Gamma_{1}$ with incomplete information in which player 1 may be either type $\alpha$ or type $\beta$. Where player 2 thinks the probability of type $\alpha$ is .9 and the probability of type $\beta$ is .1 . Player 2 has no private information. The payoffs to the two players are shown in the tables below, where the left table asserts $t_{1}=\alpha$ and the right $t_{1}=\beta$.
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccc} & x_{2} & y_{2} & & x_{2} & y_{2} \\ x_{1} & 2,2 & -2,0 & x_{1} & 0,2 & 1,0 \\ y_{1} & 0,-2 & 0,0 & & y_{1} & 1,-2\end{array}\right) 2,0$

Show the existence of a Bayesian equilibrium in which player 2 chooses $x_{2}$.

## Problem

Let $\Gamma_{2}$ be a two-person zero-sum game in strategic form. Show that the set

$$
\left\{\sigma_{1} \mid \sigma \text { is an equilibrium of } \Gamma_{2}\right\}
$$

is a convex subset of the set of randomised strategies for player 1 .

## Problem

Consider the following three player game $\Gamma$ :


Find all equilibria of $\Gamma$.

## Last Year's Exams

## Exam Preparation

## Question 2

Consider the following voting mechanism: Three committee members decide (vote) each secretly on an option $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$. The the votes are counted. If any options gets two votes, then this option is the outcome.
Otherwise player 1 (the chairperson) decides. The payoffs are as follows: If option $\alpha$ is voted, player 1 gets $€ 8$ and player $3 € 4$, for option $\beta$ player 1 gets $€ 4$ and player 2 gets $€ 8$, and for option $\gamma$, player 2 gets $€ 4$ and player $3 € 8$. If a player is not metioned in this list, she gets nothing.

1 Express the game in extensive form.
2 Transform the game to reduced strategic form.
3 Formalise the following assertion for games in extensive form as concrete as possible: Whenever a player moves, she remembers all the information she knew earlier..

## Question 3

Consider the following two games:


|  | $Q_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{1}$ | $M$ | $F$ |
| $R r$ | 0,0 | $1,-1$ |
| $R p$ | $0.5,-0.5$ | 0,0 |
| $P r$ | $-0.5,0.5$ | $1,-1$ |
| $P p$ | 0,0 | 0,0 |

1 Compute all Nash equilibria of the game $\Gamma_{1}$ to the left.
2 Find all strongly dominated strategies of the game $\Gamma_{2}$ to the right.
And define the fully reduced normal representation of $\Gamma_{2}$.
3 Compute all Nash equilibria of $\Gamma_{2}$.

## Question 4

1 Define the Lemke-Howson algorithm including all necessary assumptions for its invocation.
2 Define the complexity class PPAD and indicate the connection to the LH algorithm.
will replaced by question about Bayesian Nash equilibrium/auctions, ...
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## Two Last Questions

Given a finite game「 in extensive form, there exists at least one pure equilibrium.

Baysian Nash equilibria differs slightly from Nash equlibria, in particular Baysian Nash equilibria need not be best responses.

A polyhedron is a polytope that is bounded.


Question
open or closed exam?

Question
exam next week?

If $N P=P$, then also $P P A D=P$.

