

# Game Theory

Georg Moser

Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Winter 2009

Game Theory

# Summary of Last Lecture

#### Definition

an *n*-person extensive-form game  $\Gamma^e$  is a labelled tree, where also edges are labelled such that

- **1** each nonterminal node has player label in  $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ nodes labelled with 0 are called chance nodes nodes labelled within  $\{1, ..., n\}$  are called decision nodes
- **2** edges leaving chance nodes (also called alternatives) are labelled with probabilities that sum up to 1
- **3** player nodes have a second label, the information label reflecting the information state
- 4 each alternative at a player node has a move label
- **5** each terminal node is labelled with  $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ , the payoff

Game Theor

**6**  $\forall$  player *i*,

 $\forall$  nodes x y z controlled by *i*,

 $\forall$  alternative *b* at *x* 

- suppose y and z have the same information state y is reachable from x and b
- ∃ node w, ∃ alternative c at w such that z follows w and c
- and w is controlled by player i
  w has the same information label as x
  c has the same move label as b

# Recall

the last assertion expresses perfect recall: whenever a player moves, she remembers all the information she knew earlier

# Strategies of Players Definition

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)

- $S_i$  is the set of information states per player i
- $D_s$  is the set of possible moves at  $s \in S_i$
- the set of strategies for player *i* is

$$\prod_{i \in S_i} D_s = \underbrace{D_s \times D_s \times \cdots \times D_s}_{|S_i| \text{-times}}$$

#### Example

- consider the simple card game and the strategies of player  $\boldsymbol{1}$
- player 1 has two information states
- and each time two alternatives: Pass, Raise, or pass, raise.
- $\bullet\,$  thus the set of strategies for player 1 can be represented as

 $\{(R,r), (R,p), (P,r), (P,p)\}$  (or shorter  $\{Rr, Rp, Pr, Pp\}$ 

Game Theory

strategy

## Normal Representation

given a game  $\Gamma^e$  in extensive form, we define the normal representation as strategic-form game  $\Gamma = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N})$ :

- **1**  $N = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , if  $\Gamma^e$  is an *n*-person game
- **2** for each *i*:  $C_i$  denotes the strategies of each player as defined above
- **3** we define the expected utility payoff  $u_i$ 
  - set  $C = \prod_{i \in N} C_i$
  - let x be a node in  $\Gamma^e$

2.2

2.2

 $C_2$ 

2,2

1.0

R

4,0

3.1

- let  $c \in C$  denote a given strategy profile
- let P(x|c) denotes the probability that the path of play goes through x, if c is chosen
- let  $\Omega^\ast$  denote the set of all terminal nodes

(2,2)

(4,0)

(1,0)

(3,1)

- for  $x\in \Omega^*$ ,  $w_i(x)$  denotes the payoff for player i
- set

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBP

More Examples

1.1

R

$$u_i(c) = \sum_{x \in \Omega^*} P(x|c)w_i(x)$$

1.1

LI

2.2

1.0

## motivation, introduction to decision theory, decision theory

basic model of game theory, dominated strategies, Bayesian games

equilibria of strategic-form games, evolution, resistance, and risk dominance, sequential equilibria of extensive-form games, subgame-perfect equilibria, complexity of finding Nash equilibria, equilibrium computation for two-player games

refinements of equilibrium in strategic form, persistent equilibria, games with communication, sender-receiver games

#### GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIB Equivalence of Strategic-Form Games

# Equivalence of Strategic-Form Games

#### Definition

25/45

(2,2)

(4,0)

(1.0)

(3,1)

Rr

4.0

3.1

2.2

2.3

Lr

2.2

3.1

 $C_2$ 

RI

4.0

1.0

Content

games  $\Gamma = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N})$ ,  $\Gamma' = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u'_i)_{i \in N})$  are fully equivalent if

- $\forall$  players *i*,  $\exists$  numbers  $A_i$  and  $B_i$
- such that  $A_i > 0$
- and  $u'_i(c) = A_i u_i(c) + B_i$  for any  $c \in C = \prod C_i$

Example		$C_2$				<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	
	$C_1$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>y</i> 2	-	$C_1$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>y</i> 2
	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	9,9	0,8		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	1,1	0,0
	<i>y</i> 1	8,0	7,7		<i>y</i> 1	0,0	7,7

not fully equivalent, as  $(x_1, x_2)$  is better than  $(y_1, y_2)$  in the first game, but not in the second

Game Theory

Equivalence of Strategic-Form Games	Equivalence of Strategic-Form Games			
let $C_{-i} = \prod_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} C_j$ ; let $(e_{-i}, d_i)$ denote a strategy profile, such that $e_{-i} \in C_{-i}$ and $d_i \in C_i$ • for any set Z and any $f : Z \to \mathbb{R}$ , define $\operatorname{argmax}_{y \in Z} f(y) = \{y \in Z \mid f(y) = \max_{z \in Z} f(z)\}$	Example $C_2$ $C_2$ $C_1$ $x_2$ $y_2$ $C_1$ $x_2$ $y_2$ $x_1$ $9,9$ $0,8$ $x_1$ $1,1$ $0,0$ $y_1$ $8,0$ $7,7$ $y_1$ $0,0$ $7,7$			
• let $\eta \in \Delta(C_{-i}) = \{q \colon C_{-i} \to \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{e_{-i} \in C_{-i}} q(e_{-i}) = 1\}$ Definition best response player <i>i</i> best response to $\eta$ is $\operatorname{argmax}_{d_i \in C_i} \sum_{e_{-i} \in C_{-i}} \eta(e_{-i}) u_i(e_{-i}, d_i)$ Definition best response equivalence games $\Gamma = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N}), \Gamma' = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u'_i)_{i \in N})$ are best-response equivalent if (for all $\eta$ ) $\operatorname{argmax}_{d_i \in C_i} \sum_{e_{-i} \in C_{-i}} \eta(e_{-i}) u_i(e_{-i}, d_i) = \operatorname{argmax}_{d_i \in C_i} \sum_{e_{-i} \in C_{-i}} \eta(e_{-i}) u'_i(e_{-i}, d_i)$	player 1 • set $\eta(x_2) = \frac{1}{2}$ , $\eta(y_2) = \frac{1}{2}$ • argmax <sub>d \in {x_1,y_1}</sub> $\frac{1}{2}u_1(d, x_2) + \frac{1}{2}u_1(d, y_2) = \frac{1}{2}$ argmax <sub>d \in {x_1,y_1}</sub> $\frac{1}{2}u_1'(d, x_2) + \frac{1}{2}u_1'(d, y_2)$ Example (cont'd) the games are best-response equivalent: as long as $\eta(y_i) \ge \frac{1}{8}$ the player's choose $y_i$ , otherwise $x_i$			
GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)  Game Theory  29/45    Equivalence of Strategic-Form Games  29/45	GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK)  Game Theory  30/45    (Fully) Reduced Normal Representation  30/45  30/45			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	f(u) (u) (u) (u) (u) (u) (u) (u) (u) (u)			

#### Fully) Reduced Normal Representatio

#### Definition

a randomised strategy  $\sigma_i$  is any probability distribution over  $C_i$  (denoted  $\Delta(C_i)$ ; i.e.,  $\sigma(c_i)$  denotes the probability that *i* choses strategy  $c_i$ 

#### Definition

a strategey  $d_i$  is randomly redundant if  $\exists \sigma_i \in \Delta(C_i)$  such that  $\sigma_i(d_i) = 0$ 

$$u_j(c_{-i}, d_i) = \sum_{e_i \in C_i} \sigma_i(e_i) u_j(c_{-i}, e_i) \quad \text{for all } c_{-i} \in C_{-i}, j \in N$$

#### Example

consider the randomised strategy  $\sigma_1 = .5[a_1 \cdot] + .5[b_1y_1]$  of player 1

- against  $x_2$ : .5(6,0) + .5(0,8) = (3,4)
- against  $y_2$ : .5(6,0) + .5(8,0) = (7,0)

strategy  $a_1 z_1$  is payoff equvialent to  $\sigma_1$ 

#### Definition

fully reduced normal representation

fully reduced normal representation is obtained if all randomly redundant strategies are removed

#### Definition

strongly dominated let  $\Gamma = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N})$ , we say  $d_i$  is strongly dominated for player i, if  $\exists$  randomised strategy  $\sigma_i \in \Delta(C_i)$  such that

$$\sum_{e_i \in C_i} \sigma_i(e_i) u_i(c_{-i}, e_i) > u_i(c_{-i}, d_i) \quad \text{for all } c_{-i} \in C_{-i}$$

#### Definition

- let  $\Gamma^{(0)} = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N}) := \Gamma$
- let  $\Gamma^{(k)} = (N, (C_i^{(k)})_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N})$ , such that  $C_i^{(k)}$  denotes the set of all strategies in  $C_i^{(k-1)}$  not strongly dominated in  $\Gamma^{(k-1)}$

Game Theory

- clearly  $C_i \supseteq C_i^{(1)} \supseteq C_i^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq C_i^{(n)} = C_i^{(n+1)}$ as  $C_i^{(n)}$  cannot become empty, but is finite
- define  $\Gamma^{(\infty)} = \Gamma^{(n)}$

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

- the strategies  $C_i^{(\infty)}$  are called iteratively undominated
- $\Gamma^{(\infty)}$  is the residual game

### Example

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBI

limination of Dominated Strategies

in the card game, strategy *Pp* is strongly dominated by  $\frac{1}{2}[Rr] + \frac{1}{2}[Rp]$ 

#### Example

consider

the residual game consists of strategy  $a_1$  and  $x_2$ 

#### Definition

weakly dominated

let  $\Gamma = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N})$ , we say  $d_i$  is weakly dominated for player *i*, if  $\exists$  randomised strategy  $\sigma_i \in \Delta(C_i)$  such that

$$\sum_{e_i \in C_i} \sigma_i(e_i) u_i(c_{-i}, e_i) \geqslant u_i(c_{-i}, d_i) \quad \text{for all } c_{-i} \in C_{-i}$$

and

$$\sum_{e_i \in C_i} \sigma_i(e_i) u_i(c_{-i}, e_i) > u_i(c_{-i}, d_i) \quad \text{for at least on } c_{-i} \in C_{-i}$$

GM (Institute of Computer Science @ UIBK

Game Theory

residual game