


# Functional Programming

WS 2012/13

Harald Zankl (VO)  
Cezary Kaliszyk (PS) Thomas Sternagel (PS)

Computational Logic  
Institute of Computer Science  
University of Innsbruck

week 10



## This Week

### Practice I

OCaml introduction, lists, strings, trees

### Theory I

lambda-calculus, evaluation strategies, induction,  
reasoning about functional programs

### Practice II

efficiency, tail-recursion, combinator-parsing

### Theory II

type checking, type inference

### Advanced Topics

lazy evaluation, infinite data structures, monads, ...

## Combinator Parsing

### Notes

- ▶ decompose linear sequence (text) into structure (type)
- ▶ type ('a,'t)Parser.t is 't list -> ('a \* 't list)option
- ▶ primitive parser accepts/rejects single token
- ▶ parser combinators compose parsers, e.g.,  $\underbrace{(>=>)}_{\text{bind}}$ ,  $\underbrace{(>>)}_{\text{then}}$ ,  $\underbrace{(<|>)}_{\text{choice}}$ , many

## Core ML

### Definition (Expressions)

$$e ::= x \mid e e \mid \lambda x. e \mid \underbrace{c}_{\text{primitives/constants}} \mid \underbrace{\text{let } x = e \text{ in } e}_{\text{let binding}} \mid \underbrace{\text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e}_{\text{conditional}}$$

### Primitives

**Boolean:** true, false, <, >, ...

**Arithmetic:** ×, +, ÷, −, 0, 1, ...

**Tuples:** pair, fst, snd

**Lists:** nil, cons, hd, tl

# What is Type Checking?

Given some **environment** (assigning types to primitives) together with a core ML **expression** and a **type**, check whether the expression really has that type with respect to the environment.

# Preliminaries (cont'd)

(Typing) environment  $E$ : maps variables and primitives to types

$$(e : \tau) \in E \quad \text{“}e \text{ is of type } \tau \text{ in } E\text{”}$$

(Typing) judgment:

$$E \vdash e : \tau \quad \text{“it can be **proved** that expression } e \text{ has type } \tau \text{ in environment } E\text{”}$$

## Example

- ▶ environment  $P = \{+ : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{nil} : \text{list}(\alpha), \text{true} : \text{bool}, \dots\}$
- ▶ judgement  $P \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}$
- ▶ judgement  $P \not\vdash \text{true} : \text{int}$

## Convention

$E, e : \tau$  abbreviates  $E \cup \{e : \tau\}$

# Preliminaries

## Definition (Types)

$$\tau ::= \underbrace{\alpha}_{\text{type variable}} \mid \overbrace{\tau \rightarrow \tau}^{\text{function type constructor}} \mid \underbrace{g(\tau, \dots, \tau)}_{\text{data type constructor}}$$

## Convention

- ▶ **type variables**  $\alpha, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \beta, \beta_0, \dots$
- ▶ **function type constructor** ‘ $\rightarrow$ ’ is right associative
- ▶ **base data type** constructors: int, bool (instead of int(), bool())

## Example

$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}, (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{list}(\text{int})) \rightarrow \text{bool}, \text{list}(\alpha_0) \rightarrow \text{int}, \dots$

# The Type Checking System $\mathcal{C}$

$$\frac{}{E, e : \tau \vdash e : \tau} \text{ (ref)} \quad \frac{E \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad E \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{E \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_1} \text{ (app)}$$

$$\frac{E, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{E \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ (abs)} \quad \frac{E \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad E, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{E \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2} \text{ (let)}$$

$$\frac{E \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad E \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad E \vdash e_3 : \tau}{E \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : \tau} \text{ (ite)}$$

## Example

- ▶ environment  $E = \{\text{true} : \text{bool}, + : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- ▶ judgment  $E \vdash (\lambda x.x) \text{true} : \text{bool}$

Proof.

$$\frac{\frac{E, x : \text{bool} \vdash x : \text{bool}}{E \vdash \lambda x.x : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}} \text{ (abs)}}{E \vdash (\lambda x.x) \text{true} : \text{bool}} \text{ (app)}$$

□

## What is Type Inference?

Given some **environment** together with a core ML **expression** and a **type**, infer a **unifier** (type substitution)—if possible—such that the **most general type** of the expression is obtained.

## Example

- ▶ environment  $E = \{\text{true} : \text{bool}, + : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- ▶ judgment  $E \vdash \lambda x.x + x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

Proof.

Blackboard

□

## Preliminaries

Type variables:

$$\mathcal{TVar}(\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \{\alpha\} & \text{if } \tau = \alpha \\ \mathcal{TVar}(\tau_1) \cup \mathcal{TVar}(\tau_2) & \text{if } \tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{TVar}(\tau_i) & \text{if } \tau = g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \end{cases}$$

Type substitution:  $\sigma$  is mapping from type variables to types

Application:

$$\tau\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \sigma(\alpha) & \text{if } \tau = \alpha \\ \tau_1\sigma \rightarrow \tau_2\sigma & \text{if } \tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ g(\tau_1\sigma, \dots, \tau_n\sigma) & \text{if } \tau = g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \end{cases}$$

$$E\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e : \tau\sigma \mid e : \tau \in E\}$$

Composition:  $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1$ , i.e.,  $\alpha \mapsto \sigma_2(\sigma_1(\alpha))$

### Example

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_3) \\ \sigma &= \{\alpha/\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_1/\text{list}(\alpha_2)\} \\ \sigma_2 &= \{\alpha_3/\alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \alpha_1\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{TVar}(\tau) &= \{\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_3\} \\ \tau\sigma &= (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow (\text{list}(\alpha_2) \rightarrow \alpha_3) \\ \mathcal{TVar}(\tau\sigma) &= \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\} \\ \sigma\sigma_2 &= \{\alpha/\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{list}(\alpha), \alpha_3/\alpha_4, \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

### The Unification System $\mathcal{U}$

$$\frac{E_1; g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \approx g(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n); E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \dots; \tau_n \approx \tau'_n; E_2} \text{ (d}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2; E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \tau_2 \approx \tau'_2; E_2} \text{ (d}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{E_1; \alpha \approx \tau; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin \mathcal{TVar}(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha/\tau\}} \text{ (v}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \alpha; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin \mathcal{TVar}(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha/\tau\}} \text{ (v}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \tau; E_2}{E_1; E_2} \text{ (t)}$$

### Unification Problems

#### Definition

- **unification problem** is (finite) sequence of equations

$$\tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \dots; \tau_n \approx \tau'_n$$

- $\square$  denotes **empty sequence**
- type substitution  $\sigma$  is **unifier** of unification problem if

$$\tau_1\sigma = \tau'_1\sigma; \dots; \tau_n\sigma = \tau'_n\sigma$$

- process of computing a unifier is called **unification**

### Unification Problem (cont'd)

#### Notation

$E \Rightarrow_{\sigma}^{(r)} E'$  if rule  $r$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  applied to equations  $E$  yields  $E'$

#### Theorem

if  $E_1 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_1}^{(r_1)} E_2 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_2}^{(r_2)} \dots \Rightarrow_{\sigma_{n-1}}^{(r_{n-1})} \square$  then  $E_1$  has unifier  $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-1}$

#### Example

$$\begin{aligned} \text{list}(\text{bool}) \approx \text{list}(\alpha) &\Rightarrow_{\tau}^{(d_1)} \text{bool} \approx \alpha \\ &\Rightarrow_{\{\alpha/\text{bool}\}}^{(v_2)} \square \end{aligned}$$

#### Remarks

- unification always terminates
- the order of applying inference rules has no (dramatic) effect

## Type Inference Problems

- ▶  $E \triangleright e : \alpha_0$  is **type inference problem**
- ▶  $\sigma$  s.t.,  $E\sigma \vdash e : \alpha_0\sigma$  (if exists) is **solution** (otherwise:  $e$  not typable)

## Recipe - Type Inference

### Input

core ML expression  $e$  and typing environment  $E$

### Algorithm

1. start with  $E \triangleright e : \alpha_0$  (**fresh** type variable  $\alpha_0$ )
2. use  $\mathcal{I}$  to transform  $E \triangleright e : \alpha_0$  into unification problem  $u$   
(if at any point no rule applicable **Not Typable**)
3. if possible solve  $u$  (obtaining **unifier**  $\sigma$ ) otherwise **Not Typable**

### Output

the **most general** type of  $e$  w.r.t.  $E$  is  $\alpha_0\sigma$

## The Type Inference System $\mathcal{I}$

$$\frac{E, e : \tau_0 \triangleright e : \tau_1}{\tau_0 \approx \tau_1} \text{ (con)} \qquad \frac{E \triangleright e_1 \ e_2 : \tau}{E \triangleright e_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \tau; E \triangleright e_2 : \alpha} \text{ (app)}$$

$$\frac{E \triangleright \lambda x. e : \tau}{E, x : \alpha_1 \triangleright e : \alpha_2; \tau \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2} \text{ (abs)} \qquad \frac{E \triangleright \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau}{E \triangleright e_1 : \alpha; E, x : \alpha \triangleright e_2 : \tau} \text{ (let)}$$

$$\frac{E \triangleright \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : \tau}{E \triangleright e_1 : \text{bool}; E \triangleright e_2 : \tau; E \triangleright e_3 : \tau} \text{ (ite)}$$

## Example

find most general type of **let**  $id = \lambda x. x$  **in**  $id\ 1$  w.r.t.  $P$

**Proof.**

Blackboard □