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## Summary of Last Lecture

## Definition

- goals (aka formulas) are constants or compound terms
- goals are typically non-ground


## Definitions

- a clause or rule is an universally quantified logical formula of the form A :- B1,B2,..., Bn.
where $A$ and the $B_{i}$ 's are goals
- $A$ is called the head of the clause; the $B_{i}$ 's are called the body
- a rule of the form $A$ :- is called a fact; we write facts simply $A$.


## Definition

a logic program is a finite set of clauses

## Outline of the Lecture

Monotone Logic Programs
introduction, basic constructs, unification, database and recursive programming, termination

## Incomplete Data Structures and Constraints

incomplete data structures, definite clause grammars, constraint logic programming, answer set programming

## Full Prolog

semantics, correctness proofs, meta-logical predicates, cuts nondeterministic programming, efficient programs, complexity

## Outline of the Lecture

Monotone Logic Programs
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## Incomplete Data Structures and Constraints

incomplete data structures, definite clause grammars, constraint logic programming, answer set programming

## Full Prolog

semantics, correctness proofs, meta-logical predicates, cuts nondeterministic programming, efficient programs, complexity

## Example

child_of(joseph_l, leopold_l).
child_of(karl_VI, leopold_l).
child_of(maria_theresia, karl_VI).
child_of(joseph_ll, maria_theresia).
child_of (joseph_ll, franz_l).
child_of(leopold_ll, maria_theresia).
child_of(leopold_ll, franz_l).
child_of(maria_antoinette, maria_theresia).
child_of(franz_ll, leopold_ll).

```
male(franz_l). female(maria_theresia).
male(franz_ll). female(marie_antoinette).
male(joseph_I).
male(joseph_ll).
male(kar_VI).
male(leopold_l).
male(leopold_II).
```

husband_wife(franz_l, maria_theresia).

## Review of Basic Constructs

Definitions

- a fact describes a relation (predicate) between terms
child_of(joseph_ll,maria_theresia).
which reads "Joseph II is the child of Maria Theresia."
- child_of is the name of the relation
- the arity denotes the number of arguments
- predicates are also denoted as child_of/2
- fact that do not contain variables are ground
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## Fact

the order of the arguments is essential, hence it is important to choose meaningful names for predicates

## Choosing Names

1 describe the arguments
typ1_typ2_typ3_typ4 (Arg1, Arg2, Arg3, Arg4)

2 refine the name

```
person_person(X,Y). % too coarse
child_person(Child, Person) % better
child_parent(Child, Parent) % perfect
```

3 indicate the relation

```
child_ofparent(Child,Parent) % preposition
expression_improvedprogram(Exp,IExp) % participle
expr_improved(Exp,IExp)
consists_of(X,Y)
% verb
```

4 abbreviations
country_/8

## Definition

- a query tests whether a relation holds

$$
:-\quad c h i l d \_o f\left(j o s e p h \_l l, ~ m a r i a \_t h e r e s i a\right) . ~
$$

- queries are equivalent to use cases, as they are checked whenever the program is safed (in GUPU) or compiled (in general)
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- a query tests whether a relation holds
:- child_of(joseph_ll, maria_theresia).
- queries are equivalent to use cases, as they are checked whenever the program is safed (in GUPU) or compiled (in general)

Why does a Query fail?
1 the query doesn't follow from the data represented in the program; the negation of the query does not necessarily hold
2 the program is a complete representation; the negation of the query does hold

## Fact

Horn logic cannot distinguish between these options

## Definition

a negative query verifies that the goal fails
:/- child_of(joseph_ll, friedrich_ll).

NB: occurring variables are existentially quantified
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## Definition

a general query with variables provide answer substitutions
:- child_of(Child, maria_theresia).
:- child_of(_Child, maria_theresia).
:/ - child of (Child, Child).

## Definition

a negative query verifies that the goal fails
:/- child_of(joseph_ll, friedrich_ll).

NB: occurring variables are existentially quantified

## Definition

a general query with variables provide answer substitutions

```
:- child_of(Child, maria_theresia).
:- child_of(_Child, maria_theresia).
:/- child_of(Child,Child).
```


## Definition

a complex query combines several goals and typically make use of shared variables
:- child_of(joseph_II, Mum), female(Mum).

## Definition

- a rule consists of a head and a body, separated by ":-"

```
mother_of(Mum, Child) :-
child_of(Child, Mum),
female (Mum).
```

- a rule is recursive, if the body contains the predicate in the head


## Definition

- a rule consists of a head and a body, separated by ":-"

```
mother_of(Mum, Child) :-
        child_of(Child, Mum),
        female (Mum).
```

- a rule is recursive, if the body contains the predicate in the head


## Definitions

- we distinguish between the set of solutions of a query and the sequence of solutions
- the sequence may contain redundant solutions
- redundant solutions may be due to existential variables


## Example

\% recursive rule married_with (Husband, Wife) :husband_wife (Husband, Wife). married_with (PersonA, PersonB) :married_with (PersonB, PersonA).
\% non-recursive rule married_with (Husband, Wife) :husband_wife (Husband, Wife). married_with (Wife, Husband) :husband_wife (Husband, Wife).

## Example

$$
: /-\quad \text { ancestor_of }(X, X)
$$

ancestor_of(Ancestor, Predecessor) :- child_of(Predecessor, Ancestor). ancestor_of(Ancestor, Predecessor) :child_of(Person, Ancestor), ancestor_of(Person, Predecessor).

## Example

:/ - ancestor_of $(X, X)$.
ancestor_of(Ancestor, Predecessor) :child_of(Predecessor, Ancestor).
ancestor_of(Ancestor, Predecessor) :child_of(Person, Ancestor), ancestor_of(Person, Predecessor).

## Example

:/- ancestor_of_2 (X,X).
ancestor_of_2(Ancestor, Predecessor) :child_of(Predecessor, Ancestor).
ancestor_of_2 (Ancestor, Predecessor) :ancestor_of_2(Person, Predecessor), child_of(Person, Ancestor).

## Definition

composition of substitutions

$$
\theta=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\left\{Y_{1} \mapsto s_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k} \mapsto s_{k}\right\}
$$

is substitution

$$
\theta \sigma=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1} \sigma, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n} \sigma\right\} \cup\left\{Y_{i} \mapsto s_{i} \mid Y_{i} \notin\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}\right\}
$$

## Definition

composition of substitutions

$$
\theta=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\left\{Y_{1} \mapsto s_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k} \mapsto s_{k}\right\}
$$

is substitution

$$
\theta \sigma=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1} \sigma, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n} \sigma\right\} \cup\left\{Y_{i} \mapsto s_{i} \mid Y_{i} \notin\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}\right\}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =\{X \mapsto g(Y, Z), Y \mapsto a\} \\
\sigma & =\{X \mapsto f(Y), Z \mapsto f(X)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition

composition of substitutions

$$
\theta=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\left\{Y_{1} \mapsto s_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k} \mapsto s_{k}\right\}
$$

is substitution

$$
\theta \sigma=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1} \sigma, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n} \sigma\right\} \cup\left\{Y_{i} \mapsto s_{i} \mid Y_{i} \notin\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}\right\}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=\{X \mapsto g(Y, Z), Y \mapsto a\} \quad \theta \sigma=\{X \mapsto g(Y, f(X)), Y \mapsto a, Z \mapsto f(X)\} \\
& \sigma=\{X \mapsto f(Y), Z \mapsto f(X)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition

composition of substitutions

$$
\theta=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\left\{Y_{1} \mapsto s_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k} \mapsto s_{k}\right\}
$$

is substitution

$$
\theta \sigma=\left\{X_{1} \mapsto t_{1} \sigma, \ldots, X_{n} \mapsto t_{n} \sigma\right\} \cup\left\{Y_{i} \mapsto s_{i} \mid Y_{i} \notin\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}\right\}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=\{X \mapsto g(Y, Z), Y \mapsto a\} \quad \theta \sigma=\{X \mapsto g(Y, f(X)), Y \mapsto a, Z \mapsto f(X)\} \\
& \sigma=\{X \mapsto f(Y), Z \mapsto f(X)\} \quad \sigma \theta=\{X \mapsto f(a), Z \mapsto f(g(Y, Z)), Y \mapsto a\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$


## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$


## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$
- most general unifier (mgu) is at least as general as any other unifier


## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$
- most general unifier (mgu) is at least as general as any other unifier


## Example

terms $f(X, g(Y), X)$ and $f(Z, g(U), h(U))$ are unifiable

## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$
- most general unifier (mgu) is at least as general as any other unifier


## Example

terms $f(X, g(Y), X)$ and $f(Z, g(U), h(U))$ are unifiable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{X \mapsto h(a), Y \mapsto a, Z \mapsto h(a), U \mapsto a\} \\
& \{X \mapsto h(U), Y \mapsto U, Z \mapsto h(U)\} \\
& \{X \mapsto h(g(U)), Y \mapsto g(U), Z \mapsto h(g(U)), U \mapsto g(U)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$
- most general unifier (mgu) is at least as general as any other unifier


## Example

terms $f(X, g(Y), X)$ and $f(Z, g(U), h(U))$ are unifiable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{X \mapsto h(a), Y \mapsto a, Z \mapsto h(a), U \mapsto a\} \\
& \{X \mapsto h(U), Y \mapsto U, Z \mapsto h(U)\} \\
& \{X \mapsto h(g(U)), Y \mapsto g(U), Z \mapsto h(g(U)), U \mapsto g(U)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$
- most general unifier (mgu) is at least as general as any other unifier


## Example

terms $f(X, g(Y), X)$ and $f(Z, g(U), h(U))$ are unifiable:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\{X \mapsto h(a), Y \mapsto a, Z \mapsto h(a), U \mapsto a\} & \{U \mapsto a\} \\
\{X \mapsto h(U), Y \mapsto U, Z \mapsto h(U)\} & \text { mgu } \\
\{X \mapsto h(g(U)), Y \mapsto g(U), Z \mapsto h(g(U)), U \mapsto g(U)\} & \{U \mapsto g(U)\}
\end{array}
$$

## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$
- most general unifier (mgu) is at least as general as any other unifier


## Example

terms $f(X, g(Y), X)$ and $f(Z, g(U), h(U))$ are unifiable:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\{X \mapsto h(a), Y \mapsto a, Z \mapsto h(a), U \mapsto a\} & \{U \mapsto a\} \\
\{X \mapsto h(U), Y \mapsto U, Z \mapsto h(U)\} & \text { mgu } \\
\{X \mapsto h(g(U)), Y \mapsto g(U), Z \mapsto h(g(U)), U \mapsto g(U)\} & \{U \mapsto g(U)\}
\end{array}
$$

Theorem

- unifiable terms have mgu


## Definition

- substitution $\theta$ is at least as general as substitution $\sigma$ if $\exists \mu \theta \mu=\sigma$
- unifier of set $S$ of terms is substitution $\theta$ such that $\forall s, t \in S s \theta=t \theta$
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## Example

terms $f(X, g(Y), X)$ and $f(Z, g(U), h(U))$ are unifiable:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\{X \mapsto h(a), Y \mapsto a, Z \mapsto h(a), U \mapsto a\} & \{U \mapsto a\} \\
\{X \mapsto h(U), Y \mapsto U, Z \mapsto h(U)\} & \text { mgu } \\
\{X \mapsto h(g(U)), Y \mapsto g(U), Z \mapsto h(g(U)), U \mapsto g(U)\} & \{U \mapsto g(U)\}
\end{array}
$$

Theorem

- unifiable terms have mgu
- $\exists$ algorithm to compute mgu
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## Example

$$
f(X, g(Y), X) \stackrel{?}{=} f(Z, g(U), h(U))
$$

## Theorem

1 equality problems $E$ is unifiable iff the unification algorithm stops with a solved form
2 if $E \Rightarrow^{*} E^{\prime}$ such that $E^{\prime}$ is a solved form, then $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$ is mgu of $E$

## Example

$$
f(X, g(Y), X) \stackrel{?}{=} f(Z, g(U), h(U)) \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), X \stackrel{?}{=} h(U)
$$

## Theorem

1 equality problems $E$ is unifiable iff the unification algorithm stops with a solved form
2 if $E \Rightarrow^{*} E^{\prime}$ such that $E^{\prime}$ is a solved form, then $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$ is mgu of $E$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(X, g(Y), X) \stackrel{?}{=} f(Z, g(U), h(U)) & \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), X \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

1 equality problems $E$ is unifiable iff the unification algorithm stops with a solved form
2 if $E \Rightarrow^{*} E^{\prime}$ such that $E^{\prime}$ is a solved form, then $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$ is mgu of $E$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(X, g(Y), X) \stackrel{?}{=} f(Z, g(U), h(U)) & \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), X \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, Y \stackrel{?}{=} U, Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

1 equality problems $E$ is unifiable iff the unification algorithm stops with a solved form
2 if $E \Rightarrow^{*} E^{\prime}$ such that $E^{\prime}$ is a solved form, then $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$ is mgu of $E$

## Example
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\begin{aligned}
f(X, g(Y), X) \stackrel{?}{=} f(Z, g(U), h(U)) & \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), X \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, Y \stackrel{?}{=} U, Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} h(U), Y \stackrel{?}{=} U, Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U)
\end{aligned}
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## Theorem

1 equality problems $E$ is unifiable iff the unification algorithm stops with a solved form
2 if $E \Rightarrow^{*} E^{\prime}$ such that $E^{\prime}$ is a solved form, then $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$ is mgu of $E$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(X, g(Y), X) \stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{f}} f(Z, g(U), h(U)) & \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), X \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, g(Y) \stackrel{?}{=} g(U), Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} Z, Y \stackrel{?}{=} U, Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \\
& \Rightarrow X \stackrel{?}{=} h(U), Y \stackrel{?}{=} U, Z \stackrel{?}{=} h(U) \text { mgu }
\end{aligned}
$$

