

**NAME:****MATRICULATION NUMBER:**1 (a) *base case*

We employ structural induction over  $xs$ . The base case, where  $xs = []$ , is taken care of by the derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{concat } ([] ++ ys) &= \text{concat } ys && \text{(definition of ++)} \\ &= [] ++ \text{concat } ys && \text{(definition of ++)} \\ &= \text{concat } [] ++ \text{concat } ys && \text{(definition of concat)} \end{aligned}$$

(b) *induction hypothesis and statement to show in step case*

In the step case  $xs = z : zs$  for some element  $z$  and list  $zs$ . The induction hypothesis (IH) is

$$\text{concat } (zs ++ ys) = \text{concat } zs ++ \text{concat } ys$$

and the statement we have to show in order to conclude the step case is

$$\text{concat } ((z : zs) ++ ys) = \text{concat } (z : zs) ++ \text{concat } ys$$

(c) *step case*

We prove the step case by the following derivation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{concat } ((z : zs) ++ ys) &= \text{concat } (z : (zs ++ ys)) && \text{(definition of ++)} \\ &= z ++ \text{concat } (zs ++ ys) && \text{(definition of concat)} \\ &= z ++ (\text{concat } zs ++ \text{concat } ys) && \text{(IH)} \\ &= (z ++ \text{concat } zs) ++ \text{concat } ys && \text{(associativity of ++)} \\ &= \text{concat } (z : zs) ++ \text{concat } ys && \text{(definition of concat)} \end{aligned}$$

2 (a) *reduction to normal form*

The contracted redex of each  $\beta$ -step is underlined:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{A K} y \Omega &\rightarrow_{\beta} \underline{(\lambda x. K x)} y \Omega \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \underline{K} y \Omega \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \underline{(\lambda y'. y)} \Omega \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} y \end{aligned}$$

(b) *weak head normal forms*

The terms  $A$ ,  $K$ , and  $y$  are in weak head normal form. The term  $\Omega$  is not, since it contains a  $\beta$ -redex ( $\Omega$  itself).

(c) *alpha equivalence*

Complete the following table:

$\equiv_{\alpha}$	$A$	$K$	$\Omega$	$y$
$t_1$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
$t_2$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
$t_3$	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
$t_4$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3 (a) *equational reasoning*

```
diffs [1,2] = diffs [2] - 1
           = (diffs [] - 2) - 1
           = (0 - 2) - 1
           = -3

rev [1,2,3] [1,2,3] = rev [1,1,2,3] [2,3]
                   = rev [2,1,1,2,3] [3]
                   = rev [3,2,1,1,2,3] []
                   = [3,2,1,1,2,3]

downto 1 2 = []
```

(b) *kinds of recursion*

The only tail recursive function above is `rev`. Moreover, the function `downto` is not tail recursive but guardedly recursive (the recursive call is “guarded” by one application of the list constructor ‘:’). Finally, `diffs` is neither tail recursive nor guardedly recursive.

(c) *a tail recursive implementation*

```
downto m n = go [] m n
  where
    go acc m n
      | m < n = acc
      | otherwise = go (n:acc) m (n + 1)
```

4 (a) *type checking*

1	$x :: \text{Int}$	assumption
2	$y :: \text{Int}$	assumption
3	$1 :: \text{Int}$	ins $E$
4	$\lambda y. 1 :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$	abs 2-3
5	$\lambda xy. 1 :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$	abs 1-4

(b) *type inference part 1 - typing constraints*

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{E \triangleright \lambda xy. 1 :: \alpha_0}{\Rightarrow (\text{asb})} \\
 \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2; \\
 \frac{E, x :: \alpha_1 \triangleright \lambda y. 1 :: \alpha_2}{\Rightarrow (\text{asb})} \\
 \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2; \\
 \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_4; \\
 \frac{E, x :: \alpha_1, y :: \alpha_3 \triangleright 1 :: \alpha_4}{\Rightarrow (\text{con})} \\
 \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2; \\
 \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_4; \\
 \text{Int} \approx \alpha_4
 \end{array}$$

(c) *type inference part 2 - unification*

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2; \\
 \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_4; \\
 \text{Int} \approx \alpha_4 \\
 \Rightarrow^{(v_1)}_{\{\alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_4\}} \\
 \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_4; \\
 \text{Int} \approx \alpha_4 \\
 \Rightarrow^{(v_2)}_{\{\alpha_4 \mapsto \text{Int}\}} \\
 \alpha_0 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_3 \rightarrow \text{Int}; \\
 \Rightarrow^{(v_1)}_{\{\alpha_0 \mapsto \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_3 \rightarrow \text{Int}\}} \\
 \square
 \end{array}$$

The resulting mgu is:  $\{\alpha_0 \mapsto \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_3 \rightarrow \text{Int}, \alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_3 \rightarrow \text{Int}, \alpha_4 \mapsto \text{Int}\}$