

A Formally Verified Solver for Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations^{*}

Florian Meßner Julian Parsert Jonas Schöpf Christian Sternagel

Master Seminar 1

November 29, 2017

* Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): project P27502

$$f(x, y) \approx f(z, z)$$

#unifiers: ?

$$f(x, y) \approx f(z, z)$$

#unifiers: 1

 $\{x \mapsto z, y \mapsto z\}$

$$x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z$$

#unifiers: ?

 $x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z$

#unifiers: 5

minimal complete set of AC unifiers:

$$\begin{cases} x \mapsto z_3, & y \mapsto z_3, & z \mapsto z_3 \\ \{x \mapsto z_1 \cdot z_1, & y \mapsto z_2 \cdot z_2, & z \mapsto z_1 \cdot z_2 \\ \{x \mapsto z_1 \cdot z_1 \cdot z_3, & y \mapsto z_3, & z \mapsto z_1 \cdot z_3 \\ \{x \mapsto z_3, & y \mapsto z_2 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3, & z \mapsto z_2 \cdot z_3 \\ \{x \mapsto z_1 \cdot z_1 \cdot z_3, & y \mapsto z_2 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3, & z \mapsto z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 \end{cases}$$

 $x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z \cdot z$

#unifiers: ?

 $x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z \cdot z$

#unifiers: 13

 $v \cdot x \cdot y \ \approx \ z \cdot z \cdot z$

#unifiers: ?

$$v \cdot x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z \cdot z$$

#unifiers: 981

Bibliography

Michael Clausen and Albrecht Fortenbacher. Efficient solution of linear diophantine equations.

Journal of Symbolic Computation, 8(1):201–216, 1989. doi:10.1016/S0747-7171(89)80025-2.



Gérard Huet.

An algorithm to generate the basis of solutions to homogeneous linear diophantine equations.

Information Processing Letters, 7(3):144–147, 1978. doi:10.1016/0020-0190(78)90078-9.

Florian Meßner, Julian Parsert, Jonas Schöpf, and Christian Sternagel.

Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations.

The Archive of Formal Proofs, October 2017. https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Diophantine_Eqns_Lin_ Hom.shtml, Formal proof development.

 $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_m x_m = b_1 y_1 + b_2 y_2 + \dots + b_n y_n$









 $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_m x_m = b_1 y_1 + b_2 y_2 + \dots + b_n y_n$



 $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$

$$a \bullet x = b \bullet y$$



 $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$

 $a \bullet x = b \bullet y$



$$[1,1] \bullet [x_1, x_2] = [2] \bullet [y_1]$$

 $a \bullet x = b \bullet y$



$$[1,1] \bullet [x_1, x_2] = [2] \bullet [y_1]$$

Remark

we represent HLDEs by lists of coefficients, e.g., ([1,1],[2])

• given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S}. \ u \neq 0 \land u + v <_{\lor} x + y\}$$

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S}. \ u \neq 0 \land u + t v <_{\mathsf{v}} x + t y\}$$

 $x <_{\mathsf{v}} y$ iff $x_i \le y_i$ and $x \ne y$

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S}. \ u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_{\mathsf{v}} x \leftrightarrow y \}$

Searching for Solutions

• given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S}. \ u \neq 0 \land u + t v <_{\mathsf{v}} x + t y\}$$

Searching for Solutions

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

 $x_1 x_2 y_1$ [0,0], [0]?

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S}. \ u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_{\mathsf{v}} x \leftrightarrow y \}$

Searching for Solutions

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

 $x_1 x_2 y_1$ [0,0], [0] \checkmark (trivial solution, not minimal)

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S}, u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_v x \leftrightarrow y \}$

Searching for Solutions

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

 $x_1 x_2 y_1$ [0,0], [0] \checkmark (trivial solution, not minimal) [0,0], [1] ?

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S}, u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_v x \leftrightarrow y \}$

Searching for Solutions

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

 $x_1 x_2 y_1$ [0,0], [0] \checkmark (trivial solution, not minimal) [0,0], [1] \checkmark

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S}, u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_v x \leftrightarrow y \}$

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

```
\begin{array}{ll} x_1 \ x_2 & y_1 \\ [0,0], \ [0] \checkmark \text{ (trivial solution, not minimal)} \\ [0,0], \ [1] \checkmark \\ \vdots \\ [1,1], \ [1] ? \end{array}
```

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S} : u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_{v} x \leftrightarrow y \}$

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

```
\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & x_2 & y_1 \\ [0,0], & [0] \checkmark \text{ (trivial solution, not minimal)} \\ [0,0], & [1] \checkmark \\ & \vdots \\ [1,1], & [1] \checkmark \end{array}
```

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S} : u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_{\mathsf{v}} x \leftrightarrow y \}$

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

```
x_1 x_2 y_1

[0,0], [0] \checkmark (trivial solution, not minimal)

[0,0], [1] \checkmark

\vdots

[1,1], [1] \checkmark

\vdots

[2,0], [1] ?
```

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S} : u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_{\mathsf{v}} x \leftrightarrow y \}$

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

```
x_1 x_2 y_1

[0,0], [0] \checkmark (trivial solution, not minimal)

[0,0], [1] \checkmark

\vdots

[1,1], [1] \checkmark

\vdots

[2,0], [1] \checkmark
```

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S} : u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_{\mathsf{v}} x \leftrightarrow y \}$

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

```
x_1 x_2 y_1
       [0,0], [0] ✔ (trivial solution, not minimal)
       [0,0], [1] 🗙
       [1,1], [1] 🗸
       [2,0], [1] 🗸
       [3.1], [2]?
FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)
```

- given lists of non-zero coefficients a and b (of lengths m and n)
- set of solutions $S = \{(x, y) \mid a \bullet x = b \bullet y, |x| = m, |y| = n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions $\mathcal{M} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists (u, v) \in \mathcal{S} : u \neq 0 \land u \leftrightarrow v <_{\mathsf{v}} x \leftrightarrow y \}$

Searching for Solutions

- given $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions

```
\begin{array}{cccc} x_{1} & x_{2} & y_{1} \\ [0,0], & [0] \checkmark & (trivial solution, not minimal) \\ [0,0], & [1] \checkmark \\ & \vdots \\ [1,1], & [1] \checkmark \\ & \vdots \\ [2,0], & [1] \checkmark \\ & \vdots \\ [3,1], & [2] \checkmark & (but not minimal) \end{array}
```

FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)

Bounding Minimal Solutions

Lemma (Huet) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_i \leq \max(b)$ and $y_j \leq \max(a)$

Bounding Minimal Solutions

Lemma (Huet) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_i \leq \max(b)$ and $y_j \leq \max(a)$

Example

• for a = [1, 1] and b = [2]
Bounding Minimal Solutions

Lemma (Huet) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_i \leq \max(b)$ and $y_j \leq \max(a)$

- for a = [1, 1] and b = [2]
- 18 potential solutions $(3^2 \cdot 2^1)$

```
[([0,0],[0]), ([1,0],[0]), ([2,0],[0]), ([0,1],[0]),
([1,1],[0]), ([2,1],[0]), ([0,2],[0]), ([1,2],[0]),
([2,2],[0]), ([0,0],[1]), ([1,0],[1]), ([2,0],[1]),
([2,2],[0]), ([0,0],[1]), ([1,0],[1]), ([2,0],[1]),
([0,1],[1]), ([1,1],[1]), ([2,1],[1]), ([0,2],[1]),
([1,2],[1]), ([2,2],[1])]
```

Bounding Minimal Solutions

Lemma (Huet) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_i \leq \max(b)$ and $y_j \leq \max(a)$

- for a = [1,1] and b = [2]
- 18 potential solutions $(3^2 \cdot 2^1)$
 - [([0,0],[0]), ([1,0],[0]), ([2,0],[0]), ([0,1],[0]), ([1,1],[0]), ([2,1],[0]), ([0,2],[0]), ([1,2],[0]), ([2,2],[0]), ([0,0],[1]), ([1,0],[1]), ([2,0],[1]), ([0,1],[1]), ([1,1],[1]), ([2,1],[1]), ([0,2],[1]), ([1,2],[1]), ([2,2],[1])]
- containing 4 actual solutions (a x = b y)
 [([0,0],[0]),([2,0],[1]),([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])]

Bounding Minimal Solutions

Lemma (Huet) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_i \leq \max(b)$ and $y_j \leq \max(a)$

- for a = [1, 1] and b = [2]
- 18 potential solutions $(3^2 \cdot 2^1)$
 - [([0,0],[0]), ([1,0],[0]), ([2,0],[0]), ([0,1],[0]), ([1,1],[0]), ([2,1],[0]), ([0,2],[0]), ([1,2],[0]), ([2,2],[0]), ([0,0],[1]), ([1,0],[1]), ([2,0],[1]), ([0,1],[1]), ([1,1],[1]), ([2,1],[1]), ([0,2],[1]), ([1,2],[1]), ([2,2],[1])]
- containing 4 actual solutions (a x = b y)
 [([0,0],[0]),([2,0],[1]),([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])]
- of which 3 are minimal (w.r.t. <_v)
 [([2,0],[1]), ([0,2],[1]), ([1,1],[1])]

Computing Minimal Complete Sets of Solutions

1. generate potential solutions (crude overapproximation)



Computing Minimal Complete Sets of Solutions

- 1. generate potential solutions (crude overapproximation)
- 2. check for actual solutions



Computing Minimal Complete Sets of Solutions

- 1. generate potential solutions (crude overapproximation)
- 2. check for actual solutions
- 3. minimize remaining set of candidates



• given bound b and coefficients cs

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen b (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, x <- [0 .. b]]</pre>

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen b (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, x <- [0 .. b]]</pre>
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs

Example

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen b (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, x <- [0 .. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds generate a b as bs = tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, x <- gen a as]

Example

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen b (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, x <- [0 .. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds generate a b as bs = tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, x <- gen a as]

Example

• equation $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, a = 2, b = 1, as = [1,1], bs = [2] [0,0], [1,0], [2,0], [0,1], [1,1], [2,1], [0,2], [1,2], [2,2],

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen b (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, x <- [0 .. b]]</pre>
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds generate a b as bs = tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, x <- gen a as]
- (solutions are generated in reverse lexicographic order $<_{\sf rlex}$)

Example

[<mark>0,0</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>1,0</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>2,0</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>0,1</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[1,1], [<mark>0</mark>]
[<mark>2,1</mark>], [0]	[<mark>0,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>1,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>2,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	
[<mark>0,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>1,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>2,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>0,1</mark>], [1]	[1,1], [1]
[<mark>2,1</mark>], [<mark>1</mark>]	[<mark>0,2</mark>], [1]	[<mark>1,2</mark>], [1]	[2,2], [1]	
FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)				

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen b (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, x <- [0 .. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds generate a b as bs = tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, x <- gen a as]
- (solutions are generated in reverse lexicographic order $<_{\sf rlex})$

Example

 $x <_{\mathsf{rlex}} y \text{ iff } \exists i. x_i < y_i \land \forall j > i. x_j = y_j$

	[<mark>1,0</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>2,0</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>0,1</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[1,1], [<mark>0</mark>]
[<mark>2,1</mark>], [0]	[<mark>0,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>1,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>2,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	
[<mark>0,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>1,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>2,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>0,1</mark>], [1]	[1,1], [1]
[<mark>2,1</mark>], [<mark>1</mark>]	[<mark>0,2</mark>], [1]	[<mark>1,2</mark>], [1]	[2,2], [1]	
FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)				

drop non-solutions

check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)

Example

	[<mark>1,0</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>2,0</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>0,1</mark>], [0]	[1 ,1], [0]
[2,1], [0]	[<mark>0,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>1,2</mark>], [<mark>0</mark>]	[<mark>2,2</mark>], [0]	
[<mark>0,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>1,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>2,0</mark>], [1]	[<mark>0,1</mark>], [1]	[1,1], [1]
[2,1], [1]	[<mark>0,2</mark>], [1]	[<mark>1,2</mark>], [1]	[<mark>2,2</mark>], [1]	
FM,JP,JS,CS (MS1)				

drop non-solutions

check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)



• equation $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, a = 2, b = 1, as = [1,1], bs = [2]

[2,0], [1] [1,1], [1] [0,2], [1]

FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)

drop non-solutions

check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)

Phase 3 – Minimize

• minimize [] = []
minimize ((x,y):xs) =
 (x,y) : filter (x++y ≮y) (minimize xs)

Example

• equation $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, a = 2, b = 1, as = [1,1], bs = [2]

[2,0], [1] [1,1], [1] [0,2], [1]

FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)

drop non-solutions

check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)

Phase 3 – Minimize

```
• minimize [] = []
minimize ((x,y):xs) =
        (x,y) : filter (x++y ≮<sub>v</sub>) (minimize xs)
```

Remark

 $\text{ if } x <_{\mathsf{v}} y \text{ then } x <_{\mathsf{rlex}} y \\$

Example

• equation $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$, a = 2, b = 1, as = [1,1], bs = [2]

[2,0], [1] [1,1], [1] [0,2], [1]

FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)

- a = maximum bs
- b = maximum as

b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$



b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001

b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1,1,1]	[3]	10	0.001

b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1, 1, 1]	[3]	10	0.001
[1,2,5]	[1, 2, 3, 4]	39	0.2

b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1, 1, 1]	[3]	10	0.001
[1,2,5]	[1, 2, 3, 4]	39	0.2
[1,1,1,2,3]	[1, 1, 2, 2]	44	0.2

b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1, 1, 1]	[3]	10	0.001
[1,2,5]	[1, 2, 3, 4]	39	0.2
[1, 1, 1, 2, 3]	[1, 1, 2, 2]	44	0.2
[2, 5, 9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	119	85.5

b = maximum as

Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1,1,1]	[3]	10	0.001
[1,2,5]	[1,2,3,4]	39	0.2
[1,1,1,2,3]	[1, 1, 2, 2]	44	0.2
[2,5,9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	119	85.5
[2,2,2,3,3,3]	[2,2,2,3,3,3]	138	125.4

b = maximum as

Lemma

FM

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a \ b = \mathcal{M}(a, b)$

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1, 1, 1]	[<mark>3</mark>]	10	0.001
[1,2,5]	[1,2,3,4]	39	0.2
[1,1,1,2,3]	[1, 1, 2, 2]	44	0.2
[2,5,9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	119	85.5
[2,2,2,3,3,3]	[2,2,2,3,3,3]	138	125.4
[1,2,2,5,9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	timeout (after	r 20 min)
JP,JS,CS (MS1)			

• given i and j, unique special solution is

 $0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i\cdots 0, 0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j\cdots 0$

• given i and j, unique special solution is

 $0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i\cdots 0, 0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j\cdots 0$

• only 1 non-zero x_i and y_j

• given i and j, unique special solution is

 $0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i\cdots 0, 0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j\cdots 0$

- only 1 non-zero x_i and y_j
- all special solutions are minimal

• given i and j, unique special solution is

 $0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i\cdots 0, 0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j\cdots 0$

- only 1 non-zero x_i and y_j
- all special solutions are minimal

- equation $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$
- special solutions
 specialSolutions [1,1] [2] = [([2,0],[1]),([0,2],[1])]

• given i and j, unique special solution is

 $0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i\cdots 0, 0\cdots \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j\cdots 0$

- only 1 non-zero x_i and y_j
- all special solutions are minimal
- it remains to compute non-special solutions (that is, those minimal solutions that are not special)

- equation $x_1 + x_2 = 2y_1$
- special solutions
 specialSolutions [1,1] [2] = [([2,0],[1]),([0,2],[1])]

Non-Special Solutions

Lemma (Huet)

if (x, y) is non-special minimal solution then

- $y_j \leq \max x \ j$
- take $a \ k$ take $x \ k \leq b \bullet y$
- take $b \ l \bullet$ take $y \ l \le a \bullet \max (\max (take \ y \ l)) \ [0..m-1]$

where

 $\begin{array}{l} \max x \ y \ i = \texttt{if} \ i < m \land D_i \ y \neq 0 \ \texttt{then} \ \min(D_i \ y) \ \texttt{else} \ \max(b) \\ \max y \ x \ j = \texttt{if} \ j < n \land E_j \ x \neq 0 \ \texttt{then} \ \min(E_j \ x) \ \texttt{else} \ \max(a) \\ D_i \ y = \{ \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i - 1 \mid j < |y| \land y_j \geq \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j \} \\ E_j \ x = \{ \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j - 1 \mid i < |x| \land x_i \geq \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i \} \end{array}$

Non-Special Solutions

Lemma (Huet)

if (x, y) is non-special minimal solution then

- $y_j \leq \max x \ j$
- take $a \ k$ take $x \ k \leq b \bullet y$
- take $b \ l \bullet$ take $y \ l \le a \bullet \max (\max (take \ y \ l)) \ [0..m-1]$

where

 $\begin{array}{l} \max x \ y \ i = \texttt{if} \ i < m \land D_i \ y \neq 0 \ \texttt{then} \ \min(D_i \ y) \ \texttt{else} \ \max(b) \\ \max y \ x \ j = \texttt{if} \ j < n \land E_j \ x \neq 0 \ \texttt{then} \ \min(E_j \ x) \ \texttt{else} \ \max(a) \\ D_i \ y = \{ \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i - 1 \mid j < |y| \land y_j \geq \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j \} \\ E_j \ x = \{ \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/b_j - 1 \mid i < |x| \land x_i \geq \mathsf{lcm}(a_i, b_j)/a_i \} \end{array}$

Improved Bounds on Minimal Solutions

(Clausen and Fortenbacher)

if (x,y) is minimal solution then $x_i \leq \max^{
eq 0} \, y \, b$ and $y_j \leq \max^{
eq 0} \, x \, a$

Merging Generate and Check

```
• compute all vectors of length equal to length cs whose elements
and "partial sums" satisfy p
incs p c i (xs,s) =
    if p (i:xs) t then (i:xs,t) : incs p c (i+1) (xs,s)
    else []
    where
    t = s + c*i
genCheck p [] = [([],0)]
```

```
genCheck p (c:cs) =
   concat (map (incs p c 0) (genCheck p cs))
```

Merging Generate and Check

 compute all vectors of length equal to length cs whose elements and "partial sums" satisfy p incs p c i (xs, s) =if p (i:xs) t then (i:xs,t) : incs p c (i+1) (xs,s) else [] where t = s + c*igenCheck p [] = [([],0)] genCheck p (c:cs) = concat (map (incs p c 0) (genCheck p cs)) compute potential solutions within bounds generateCheck as bs = tail $[(x, y) | (y, _) <-$ genCheck q bs, $(x, _) \leftarrow genCheck (p y) as$] where p ys (x:_) s = s <= bs `dp` ys && x <= maxne0 ys bs

. . .
• additional check phase check' as bs = filter (\(xs, ys) -> all (<= maxne0 xs as) ys &&</pre>

isSolution as bs xs ys &&

all (\j -> ys !! j <= maxy xs j) [0..length bs - 1])

- additional check phase check' as bs = filter (\(xs, ys) -> all (<= maxne0 xs as) ys && isSolution as bs xs ys && all (\j -> ys !! j <= maxy xs j) [0..length bs - 1])</pre>
- computing non-special solutions nonSpecialSolutions as bs = minimize (check' as bs (generateCheck as bs))

- additional check phase check' as bs = filter (\(xs, ys) -> all (<= maxne0 xs as) ys && isSolution as bs xs ys && all (\j -> ys !! j <= maxy xs j) [0..length bs - 1])</pre>
- computing non-special solutions nonSpecialSolutions as bs = minimize (check' as bs (generateCheck as bs))
- the algorithm

solutions' as bs =

specialSolutions as bs ++ nonSpecialSolutions as bs

- additional check phase check' as bs = filter (\(xs, ys) -> all (<= maxne0 xs as) ys && isSolution as bs xs ys && all (\j -> ys !! j <= maxy xs j) [0..length bs - 1])</pre>
- computing non-special solutions nonSpecialSolutions as bs = minimize (check' as bs (generateCheck as bs))
- the algorithm

solutions' as bs =

specialSolutions as bs ++ nonSpecialSolutions as bs

Lemma

solutions' and solutions compute the same results



generateCheck [1,1] [2]

nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]

solutions' [1,1] [2]

FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)



generateCheck [1,1] [2]

nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]

solutions' [1,1] [2] = specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...

FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)



generateCheck [1,1] [2]

nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2] = minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))

solutions' [1,1] [2] = specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...

FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)


```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
```

```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
```

```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
generateCheck [1,1] [2]
 = tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2],
                  x \leftarrow genCheck (p y) [1,1]
 = tail ([(x,[0]) | x <- genCheck (p [0]) [1,1]] ++ [(x,[1])
 = tail ([(x, [0]) | x < - [[0, 0]]] + + [(x, [1]) | ...])
 = tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x,[1]) | ...])
 = [(x,[1]) | x <- genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]]
 = [(x, [1]) | x < - [[0,0], [1,0], [2,0], [0,1], [1,1], [0,2]]]
 = [([0,0], [1]), ([1,0], [1]), ([2,0], [1]), ([0,1], [1]),
    ([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])]
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
```

= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))

```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
generateCheck [1,1] [2]
 = tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2],
                  x \leftarrow genCheck (p y) [1,1]
 = tail ([(x,[0]) | x <- genCheck (p [0]) [1,1]] ++ [(x,[1])
 = tail ([(x, [0]) | x \leftarrow [[0, 0]]] + [(x, [1]) | ...])
 = tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x,[1]) | ...])
 = [(x,[1]) | x <- genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]]
 = [(x, [1]) | x \leftarrow [[0,0], [1,0], [2,0], [0,1], [1,1], [0,2]]]
 = [([0,0], [1]), ([1,0], [1]), ([2,0], [1]), ([0,1], [1]),
    ([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])]
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
 = minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
 = minimize [([1,1],[1])]
```

```
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

```
generateCheck [1,1] [2]
 = tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2],
                  x \leftarrow genCheck (p y) [1,1]
 = tail ([(x,[0]) | x <- genCheck (p [0]) [1,1]] ++ [(x,[1])
 = tail ([(x, [0]) | x \leftarrow [[0, 0]]] + [(x, [1]) | ...])
 = tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x,[1]) | ...])
 = [(x,[1]) | x <- genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]]
 = [(x, [1]) | x \leftarrow [[0,0], [1,0], [2,0], [0,1], [1,1], [0,2]]]
 = [([0,0], [1]), ([1,0], [1]), ([2,0], [1]), ([0,1], [1]),
    ([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])]
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
 = minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
 = minimize [([1,1],[1])]
 = [([1,1],[1])]
solutions' [1.1] [2]
 = specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
```

generateCheck [1,1] [2] = tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2], $x \leftarrow genCheck (p y) [1,1]$ = tail ([(x,[0]) | x <- genCheck (p [0]) [1,1]] ++ [(x,[1]) $= tail ([(x, [0]) | x \leftarrow [[0, 0]]] + [(x, [1]) | ...])$ = tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x,[1]) | ...]) = [(x,[1]) | x <- genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]] = [(x, [1]) | x < - [[0,0], [1,0], [2,0], [0,1], [1,1], [0,2]]]= [([0,0], [1]), ([1,0], [1]), ([2,0], [1]), ([0,1], [1]),([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])] nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2] = minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2])) = minimize [([1,1],[1])] = [([1,1],[1])]solutions' [1.1] [2] = specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ... = [([2,0],[1]),([0,2],[1])] ++ [([1,1],[1])]FM, JP, JS, CS (MS1)

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1,1,1]	[3]	10	0.001
[1,2,5]	[1,2,3,4]	39	0.1
[1,1,1,2,3]	[1,1,2,2]	44	0.1
[2,5,9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	119	85.5
[2,2,2,3,3,3]	[2,2,2,3,3,3]	138	125.4
[1,2,2,5,9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	timeout (aft	er 20 min)

a	b	#solutions	time (s)
[1,1]	[2]	3	0.001
[1,1]	[3]	4	0.001
[1,1,1]	[3]	10	0.001
[1,2,5]	[1,2,3,4]	39	0.05
[1,1,1,2,3]	[1,1,2,2]	44	0.01
[2,5,9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	119	8.6
[2,2,2,3,3,3]	[2,2,2,3,3,3]	138	0.06
[1, 2, 2, 5, 9]	[1,2,3,7,8]	345	517.4
[1,4,4,8,12]	[3,6,9,12,20]	232	67.4

• first formalization of HLDEs (we used Isabelle/HOL)

- first formalization of HLDEs (we used Isabelle/HOL)
- and of simple solver computing minimal complete sets of solutions

- first formalization of HLDEs (we used Isabelle/HOL)
- and of simple solver computing minimal complete sets of solutions
- clear separation of 3 phases: generate, check, and minimize

- first formalization of HLDEs (we used Isabelle/HOL)
- and of simple solver computing minimal complete sets of solutions
- clear separation of 3 phases: generate, check, and minimize
- which greatly simplifies proofs

- first formalization of HLDEs (we used Isabelle/HOL)
- and of simple solver computing minimal complete sets of solutions
- clear separation of 3 phases: generate, check, and minimize
- which greatly simplifies proofs
- basis for computing minimal complete sets of AC unifiers

- first formalization of HLDEs (we used Isabelle/HOL)
- and of simple solver computing minimal complete sets of solutions
- clear separation of 3 phases: generate, check, and minimize
- which greatly simplifies proofs
- basis for computing minimal complete sets of AC unifiers
- improved efficiency by partially merging generate and check phases