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## Quiz - How many unifiers?

$$
\mathrm{f}(x, y) \approx \mathrm{f}(z, z)
$$

\#unifiers: ?

## Quiz - How many unifiers?

$$
\mathrm{f}(x, y) \approx \mathrm{f}(z, z)
$$

\#unifiers: 1

$$
\{x \mapsto z, y \mapsto z\}
$$

## Quiz - How many AC unifiers?

$$
x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z
$$

\#unifiers: ?

## Quiz - How many AC unifiers?

$$
x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z
$$

\#unifiers: 5
minimal complete set of $A C$ unifiers:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left\{x \mapsto z_{3},\right. & y \mapsto z_{3}, & \left.z \mapsto z_{3}\right\} \\
\left\{x \mapsto z_{1} \cdot z_{1},\right. & y \mapsto z_{2} \cdot z_{2}, & \left.z \mapsto z_{1} \cdot z_{2}\right\} \\
\left\{x \mapsto z_{1} \cdot z_{1} \cdot z_{3},\right. & y \mapsto z_{3}, & \left.z \mapsto z_{1} \cdot z_{3}\right\} \\
\left\{x \mapsto z_{3},\right. & \left.y \mapsto z_{2} \cdot z_{2} \cdot z_{3}, z \mapsto z_{2} \cdot z_{3}\right\} \\
\left\{x \mapsto z_{1} \cdot z_{1} \cdot z_{3},\right. & \left.y \mapsto z_{2} \cdot z_{2} \cdot z_{3}, z \mapsto z_{1} \cdot z_{2} \cdot z_{3}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

## Quiz - How many AC unifiers?

$$
x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z \cdot z
$$

\#unifiers: ?

## Quiz - How many AC unifiers?

$$
x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z \cdot z
$$

\#unifiers: 13

## Quiz - How many AC unifiers?

$$
v \cdot x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z \cdot z
$$

\#unifiers: ?

## Quiz - How many AC unifiers?

$$
v \cdot x \cdot y \approx z \cdot z \cdot z
$$

\#unifiers: 981
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## Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations (HLDEs)

$$
a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{m} x_{m}=b_{1} y_{1}+b_{2} y_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} y_{n}
$$
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$$

## Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations (HLDEs)

$$
a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{m} x_{m}=b_{1} y_{1}+b_{2} y_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} y_{n}
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## Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations (HLDEs)

$$
a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{m} x_{m}=b_{1} y_{1}+b_{2} y_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} y_{n}
$$

## Example

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}
$$

# Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations (HLDEs) 
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a \bullet x=b \bullet y
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## Example

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}
$$

## Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations (HLDEs)

$$
a \bullet x=b \bullet y
$$

## Example

$$
[1,1] \bullet\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=[2] \bullet\left[y_{1}\right]
$$

## Homogeneous Linear Diophantine Equations (HLDEs)

$$
a \bullet x=b \bullet y
$$

## Example

$$
[1,1] \bullet\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=[2] \bullet\left[y_{1}\right]
$$

Remark
we represent HLDEs by lists of coefficients, e.g., ([1, 1] , [2])

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}
$$
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- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
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- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0,0], [0] ?
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## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
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- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
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## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] ?


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}$


## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] X


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}$


## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] X
[1,1], [1] ?


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}$


## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] X
$[1,1],[1] \checkmark$


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}$


## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] X
[1,1], [1]
[2,0], [1] ?


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}$


## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] X
$[1,1],[1] \cup$
$[2,0],[1] \checkmark$


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}$


## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] X
[1,1], [1]
[2,0], [1]
[3,1], [2]


## Solutions of HLDEs

- given lists of non-zero coefficients $a$ and $b$ (of lengths $m$ and $n$ )
- set of solutions $\mathcal{S}=\{(x, y)|a \bullet x=b \bullet y,|x|=m,|y|=n\}$
- set of (pointwise) minimal solutions
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \mid x \neq 0, \nexists(u, v) \in \mathcal{S} . u \neq 0 \wedge u++v<_{v} x++y\right\}$


## Searching for Solutions

- given $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$, represented by [1,1] and [2]
- consider potential solutions
$x_{1} x_{2} \quad y_{1}$
[0, 0], [0] $\checkmark$ (trivial solution, not minimal)
[0,0], [1] X
[1,1], [1]
[2,0], [1]
$[3,1], \quad[2] \checkmark$ (but not minimal)


## Bounding Minimal Solutions

Lemma (Huet)
if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_{i} \leq \max (b)$ and $y_{j} \leq \max (a)$

## Bounding Minimal Solutions

Lemma (Huet)
if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_{i} \leq \max (b)$ and $y_{j} \leq \max (a)$

Example

- for $a=[1,1]$ and $b=[2]$


## Lemma (Huet)

if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_{i} \leq \max (b)$ and $y_{j} \leq \max (a)$

## Example

- for $a=[1,1]$ and $b=[2]$
- 18 potential solutions $\left(3^{2} \cdot 2^{1}\right)$
$[([0,0],[0]),([1,0],[0]),([2,0],[0]),([0,1],[0])$, $([1,1],[0]),([2,1],[0]),([0,2],[0]),([1,2],[0])$, $([2,2],[0]),([0,0],[1]),([1,0],[1]),([2,0],[1])$, $([0,1],[1]),([1,1],[1]),([2,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])$, $([1,2],[1]),([2,2],[1])]$


## Bounding Minimal Solutions

## Lemma (Huet)

if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_{i} \leq \max (b)$ and $y_{j} \leq \max (a)$

## Example

- for $a=[1,1]$ and $b=[2]$
- 18 potential solutions $\left(3^{2} \cdot 2^{1}\right)$
$[([0,0],[0]),([1,0],[0]),([2,0],[0]),([0,1],[0])$, $([1,1],[0]),([2,1],[0]),([0,2],[0]),([1,2],[0])$, $([2,2],[0]),([0,0],[1]),([1,0],[1]),([2,0],[1])$, $([0,1],[1]),([1,1],[1]),([2,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])$, $([1,2],[1]),([2,2],[1])]$
- containing 4 actual solutions $(a \bullet x=b \bullet y)$
$[([0,0],[0]),([2,0],[1]),([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])]$


## Bounding Minimal Solutions

## Lemma (Huet)

if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}(a, b)$ then $x_{i} \leq \max (b)$ and $y_{j} \leq \max (a)$

## Example

- for $a=[1,1]$ and $b=[2]$
- 18 potential solutions $\left(3^{2} \cdot 2^{1}\right)$
$[([0,0],[0]),([1,0],[0]),([2,0],[0]),([0,1],[0])$, $([1,1],[0]),([2,1],[0]),([0,2],[0]),([1,2],[0])$, $([2,2],[0]),([0,0],[1]),([1,0],[1]),([2,0],[1])$, $([0,1],[1]),([1,1],[1]),([2,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])$, $([1,2],[1]),([2,2],[1])]$
- containing 4 actual solutions $(a \bullet x=b \bullet y)$ $[([0,0],[0]),([2,0],[1]),([1,1],[1]),([0,2],[1])]$
- of which 3 are minimal (w.r.t. $<_{v}$ ) $[([2,0],[1]),([0,2],[1]),([1,1],[1])]$

Computing Minimal Complete Sets of Solutions

1. generate potential solutions (crude overapproximation)

## Computing Minimal Complete Sets of Solutions

1. generate potential solutions (crude overapproximation)
2. check for actual solutions


## Computing Minimal Complete Sets of Solutions

1. generate potential solutions (crude overapproximation)
2. check for actual solutions
3. minimize remaining set of candidates


Phase 1 - Generate

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound

```
gen b [] = [[]]
gen b (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, x <- [0 .. b]]
```

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen $b$ (c:cs) $=[x: x s$ | xs <- gen $b c s, x<-[0$.. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]]
gen $b$ (c:cs) $=[x: x s$ | xs <- gen $b c s, x<-[0$.. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds generate a b as bs = tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, x <- gen a as]


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen $b$ (c:cs) $=[x: x s$ | xs <- gen $b c s, x<-[0$.. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds
 tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, $\mathrm{x}<-$ gen a as]


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
[0,0],
$[1,0]$,
$[2,0]$,
$[0,1]$,
$[1,1]$,
$[2,1]$,
$[0,2]$,
$[1,2]$,
[2,2],


## Phase 1 - Generate

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]] gen $b$ (c:cs) $=[x: x s \mid x s<-$ gen $b c s, x<-[0$.. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds
 tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, x <- gen a as]
- (solutions are generated in reverse lexicographic order $<_{\text {rlex }}$ )


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$

| $[0,0],[0]$ | $[1,0],[0]$ | $[2,0],[0]$ | $[0,1],[0]$ | $[1,1],[0]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[2,1],[0]$ | $[0,2],[0]$ | $[1,2],[0]$ | $[2,2],[0]$ |  |
| $[0,0],[1]$ | $[1,0],[1]$ | $[2,0],[1]$ | $[0,1],[1]$ | $[1,1],[1]$ |
| $[2,1],[1]$ | $[0,2],[1]$ | $[1,2],[1]$ | $[2,2],[1]$ |  |

## Phase 1 - Generate

- given bound b and coefficients cs
- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs within bound gen b [] = [[]]
gen $b$ (c:cs) = [x:xs | xs <- gen b cs, $x$ <- [0 .. b]]
- given bounds a, b and coefficients as, bs
- compute all potential solutions within bounds
generate a b as bs = tail [(x, y) | y <- gen b bs, x <- gen a as]
- (solutions are generated in reverse lexicographic order $<_{\text {rlex }}$ )


## Example

```
x<<rlex }y\mathrm{ iff }\existsi.\mp@subsup{x}{i}{}<\mp@subsup{y}{i}{}\wedge\forallj>i. \mp@subsup{x}{j}{}=\mp@subsup{y}{j}{
```

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
$[1,0],[0] \quad[2,0],[0] \quad[0,1],[0] \quad[1,1],[0]$
$[2,1],[0] \quad[0,2],[0] \quad[1,2],[0] \quad[2,2],[0]$
$[0,0],[1] \quad[1,0],[1] \quad[2,0],[1] \quad[0,1],[1] \quad[1,1],[1]$
$[2,1],[1] \quad[0,2],[1] \quad[1,2],[1] \quad[2,2],[1]$
- drop non-solutions
check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1$, $\mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
$[1,0],[0] \quad[2,0],[0] \quad[0,1],[0] \quad[1,1],[0]$
$[2,1],[0] \quad[0,2],[0] \quad[1,2],[0] \quad[2,2],[0]$
$[0,0],[1] \quad[1,0],[1] \quad[2,0],[1] \quad[0,1],[1] \quad[1,1],[1]$
$[2,1],[1] \quad[0,2],[1] \quad[1,2],[1] \quad[2,2],[1]$

Phase 2 - Check

- drop non-solutions
check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
[2,0], [1]
[1,1], [1]
[0,2], [1]

Phase 2 - Check

- drop non-solutions
check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)
Phase 3 - Minimize
- minimize [] = []
minimize ( $(x, y): x s)=$
( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) : filter ( $\mathrm{x}++\mathrm{y} \nless \mathrm{v}$ ) (minimize xs$)$


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
[2,0], [1]
[1,1], [1]
[0,2], [1]
- drop non-solutions
check as bs = filter (isSolution as bs)
Phase 3 - Minimize
- minimize [] = []
minimize $((x, y): x s)=$
( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) : filter ( $\mathrm{x}++\mathrm{y} \nless \mathrm{v}$ ) (minimize xs )


## Remark

if $x<_{v} y$ then $x<_{\text {rlex }} y$

## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}, \mathrm{a}=2, \mathrm{~b}=1, \mathrm{as}=[1,1], \mathrm{bs}=[2]$
[2,0], [1]
$[1,1],[1]$
[0,2], [1]


## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs =
minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs)) where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\text { maximum } \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\text { maximum } \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs = minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where
$\mathrm{a}=$ maximum bs
$\mathrm{b}=$ maximum as

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs = minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\text { maximum } \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ | \#solutions | time (s) |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs = minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where
$\mathrm{a}=$ maximum bs
$\mathrm{b}=$ maximum as

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ |

\#solutions
3
40.001

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs =
minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ | \#solutions | time (s) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 4 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 10 | 0.001 |

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs =
minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\text { maximum } \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ | \#solutions | time (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 4 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 10 | 0.001 |
| $[1,2,5]$ | $[1,2,3,4]$ | 39 | 0.2 |

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs =
minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\text { maximum } \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ | \#solutions | time (s) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 4 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 10 | 0.001 |
| $[1,2,5]$ | $[1,2,3,4]$ | 39 | 0.2 |
| $[1,1,1,2,3]$ | $[1,1,2,2]$ | 44 | 0.2 |

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs =
minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\text { maximum } \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ | \#solutions | time (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 4 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 10 | 0.001 |
| $[1,2,5]$ | $[1,2,3,4]$ | 39 | 0.2 |
| $[1,1,1,2,3]$ | $[1,1,2,2]$ | 44 | 0.2 |
| $[2,5,9]$ | $[1,2,3,7,8]$ | 119 | 85.5 |

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs =
minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ | \#solutions | time (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 4 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 10 | 0.001 |
| $[1,2,5]$ | $[1,2,3,4]$ | 39 | 0.2 |
| $[1,1,1,2,3]$ | $[1,1,2,2]$ | 44 | 0.2 |
| $[2,5,9]$ | $[1,2,3,7,8]$ | 119 | 85.5 |
| $[2,2,2,3,3,3]$ | $[2,2,2,3,3,3]$ | 138 | 125.4 |

## A Simple Algorithm

solutions as bs =
minimize (check as bs (generate a b as bs))
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{bs} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\operatorname{maximum} \mathrm{as}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

algorithm is sound and complete, that is, solutions $a b=\mathcal{M}(a, b)$

## Examples

| $a$ | \#solutions | time (s) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 4 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 10 | 0.001 |
| $[1,2,5]$ | $[1,2,3,4]$ | 39 | 0.2 |
| $[1,1,1,2,3]$ | $[1,1,2,2]$ | 44 | 0.2 |
| $[2,5,9]$ | $[1,2,3,7,8]$ | 119 | 85.5 |
| $[2,2,2,3,3,3]$ | $[2,2,2,3,3,3]$ | 138 | 125.4 |
| $[1,2,2,5,9]$ | $[1,2,3,7,8]$ | timeout (after 20 min) |  |

## Special Solutions

- given $i$ and $j$, unique special solution is

$$
0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i} \cdots 0,0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j} \cdots 0
$$

## Special Solutions

- given $i$ and $j$, unique special solution is

$$
0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i} \cdots 0,0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j} \cdots 0
$$

- only 1 non-zero $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$


## Special Solutions

- given $i$ and $j$, unique special solution is

$$
0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i} \cdots 0,0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j} \cdots 0
$$
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- only 1 non-zero $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$
- all special solutions are minimal


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$
- special solutions
specialSolutions [1,1] [2] = [([2,0],[1]),([0,2],[1])]


## Special Solutions

- given $i$ and $j$, unique special solution is

$$
0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i} \cdots 0,0 \cdots \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j} \cdots 0
$$

- only 1 non-zero $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$
- all special solutions are minimal
- it remains to compute non-special solutions (that is, those minimal solutions that are not special)


## Example

- equation $x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}$
- special solutions
specialSolutions [1,1] [2] = [([2,0],[1]),([0,2],[1])]


## Non-Special Solutions

## Lemma (Huet)

if $(x, y)$ is non-special minimal solution then

- $y_{j} \leq \operatorname{maxy} x j$
- take $a k \bullet$ take $x k \leq b \bullet y$
- take $b l \bullet$ take $y l \leq a \bullet \operatorname{map}(\operatorname{maxx}($ take $y l))[0 . . m-1]$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{maxx} y & =\text { if } i<m \wedge D_{i} y \neq 0 \text { then } \min \left(D_{i} y\right) \text { else } \max (b) \\
\operatorname{maxy} x j & =\text { if } j<n \wedge E_{j} x \neq 0 \text { then } \min \left(E_{j} x\right) \text { else } \max (a) \\
D_{i} y & =\left\{\operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i}-1\left|j<|y| \wedge y_{j} \geq \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j}\right\}\right. \\
E_{j} x & =\left\{\operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j}-1\left|i<|x| \wedge x_{i} \geq \operatorname{lcm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i}\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Non-Special Solutions

## Lemma (Huet)

if $(x, y)$ is non-special minimal solution then

- $y_{j} \leq \operatorname{maxy} x j$
- take $a k$ • take $x k \leq b \bullet y$
- take $b l \bullet$ take $y l \leq a \bullet \operatorname{map}(\operatorname{maxx}($ take $y l))[0 . . m-1]$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{maxx} y i & =\text { if } i<m \wedge D_{i} y \neq 0 \text { then } \min \left(D_{i} y\right) \text { else } \max (b) \\
\operatorname{maxy} x j & =\text { if } j<n \wedge E_{j} x \neq 0 \text { then } \min \left(E_{j} x\right) \text { else } \max (a) \\
D_{i} y & =\left\{\operatorname{Icm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i}-1\left|j<|y| \wedge y_{j} \geq \operatorname{Icm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j}\right\}\right. \\
E_{j} x & =\left\{\operatorname{Icm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / b_{j}-1\left|i<|x| \wedge x_{i} \geq \operatorname{Icm}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) / a_{i}\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Improved Bounds on Minimal Solutions

(Clausen and Fortenbacher)
if $(x, y)$ is minimal solution then $x_{i} \leq \max ^{\neq 0}$ y $b$ and $y_{j} \leq \max ^{\neq 0} x a$

## Merging Generate and Check

- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs whose elements and "partial sums" satisfy p

```
incs p c i (xs,s) =
        if p (i:xs) t then (i:xs,t) : incs p c (i+1) (xs,s)
    else []
    where
\[
t=s+c * i
\]
```

genCheck p [] = [([],0)]
genCheck p (c:cs) =
concat (map (incs p c 0) (genCheck p cs))

## Merging Generate and Check

- compute all vectors of length equal to length cs whose elements and "partial sums" satisfy $p$

```
incs p c i (xs,s) =
        if p (i:xs) t then (i:xs,t) : incs p c (i+1) (xs,s)
    else []
        where
\[
t=s+c * i
\]
```

genCheck p [] = [([],0)]
genCheck p (c:cs) =

```
concat (map (incs p c 0) (genCheck p cs))
```

- compute potential solutions within bounds generateCheck as bs = tail [(x, y) | (y, _) <- genCheck q bs,

$$
(x, \quad,)<- \text { genCheck (p y) as] }
$$

where
p is (x:_) s = s <= bs `dp` ys \&\& x <= maxne0 is bs

## An Improved Algorithm

- additional check phase
check' as bs = filter (<br>(xs, ys) ->
all (<= maxne0 xs as) ys \&\&
isSolution as bs xs ys \&\&
all (\j -> ys !! j <= maxy xs j) [0..length bs - 1])
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- computing non-special solutions nonSpecialSolutions as bs =
minimize (check' as bs (generateCheck as bs))
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- computing non-special solutions nonSpecialSolutions as bs =
minimize (check' as bs (generateCheck as bs))
- the algorithm
solutions' as bs =
specialSolutions as bs ++ nonSpecialSolutions as bs


## An Improved Algorithm

- additional check phase

```
check' as bs = filter (\(xs, ys) ->
    all (<= maxne0 xs as) ys &&
    isSolution as bs xs ys &&
    all (\j -> ys !! j <= maxy xs j) [0..length bs - 1])
```

- computing non-special solutions
nonSpecialSolutions as bs = minimize (check' as bs (generateCheck as bs))
- the algorithm

```
solutions' as bs =
    specialSolutions as bs ++ nonSpecialSolutions as bs
```


## Lemma

solutions' and solutions compute the same results

Example generateCheck [1,1] [2]
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
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## Example
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## Example
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$=$ tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2],
x <- genCheck (p y) [1,1]]
$=$ tail $([(x,[0]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[0])[1,1]]++[(x,[1])$
$=$ tail ([(x, [0]) | $x<-[[0,0]]]++[(x,[1]) \mid \ldots .]$.
$=$ tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x, [1]) | ...])
$=[(x,[1]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[1])[1,1]]$
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...

## Example

generateCheck [1,1] [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{tail}[(x, y) \mid y<- \text { genCheck q [2] } \\
& x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p \mathrm{y})[1,1]] \\
& =\operatorname{tail}([(x,[0]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[0])[1,1]]++[(x,[1]) \\
& =\operatorname{tail}([(x,[0]) \mid x<-[[0,0]]]++[(x,[1]) \mid \ldots]) \\
& =\operatorname{tail}(([0,0],[0]):[(x,[1]) \mid \ldots]) \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[1])[1,1]] \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<-[[0,0],[1,0],[2,0],[0,1],[1,1],[0,2]]]
\end{aligned}
$$

nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...

## Example

generateCheck [1,1] [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2], } \\
& \mathrm{x} \text { <- genCheck (p y) [1,1]] } \\
& =\text { tail }([(x,[0]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[0])[1,1]]++[(x,[1]) \\
& =\text { tail ([(x, [0]) | x <- [[0,0]]] ++ [(x, [1]) | ...]) } \\
& =\text { tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x, [1]) | ...]) } \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<- \text { genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]] } \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<-[[0,0],[1,0],[2,0],[0,1],[1,1],[0,2]]] \\
& =[([0,0],[1]),([1,0],[1]),([2,0],[1]),([0,1],[1]) \text {, } \\
& \text { ([1, 1], [1]), ([0, 2], [1])] }
\end{aligned}
$$

nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...

## Example

generateCheck [1,1] [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2], } \\
& \mathrm{x} \text { <- genCheck (p y) [1,1]] } \\
& =\text { tail }([(x,[0]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[0])[1,1]]++[(x,[1]) \\
& =\text { tail ([(x, [0]) | x <- [[0,0]]] ++ [(x, [1]) | ...]) } \\
& =\text { tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x, [1]) | ...]) } \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<- \text { genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]] } \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<-[[0,0],[1,0],[2,0],[0,1],[1,1],[0,2]]] \\
& =[([0,0],[1]),([1,0],[1]),([2,0],[1]),([0,1],[1]) \text {, } \\
& \text { ([1, 1], [1]), ([0, 2], [1])] }
\end{aligned}
$$

nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
= minimize [([1,1], [1])]
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...

## Example

generateCheck [1,1] [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { tail [(x,y) | y <- genCheck q [2], } \\
& \mathrm{x} \text { <- genCheck (p y) [1,1]] } \\
& =\text { tail }([(x,[0]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[0])[1,1]]++[(x,[1]) \\
& =\text { tail ([(x, [0]) | } x<-[[0,0]]]++[(x,[1]) \mid \ldots . .]) \\
& =\text { tail (([0,0],[0]) : [(x, [1]) | ...]) } \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<- \text { genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]] } \\
& =[(x,[1]) \mid x<-[[0,0],[1,0],[2,0],[0,1],[1,1],[0,2]]] \\
& =[([0,0],[1]),([1,0],[1]),([2,0],[1]),([0,1],[1]) \text {, } \\
& \text { ([1, 1], [1]), ([0, 2], [1])] }
\end{aligned}
$$

nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
= minimize [([1,1], [1])]
$=[([1,1],[1])]$
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...

## Example

generateCheck [1,1] [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\operatorname{tail}[(x, y) \quad \mid & y<- \text { genCheck q [2] , } \\
& x<- \text { genCheck (p y) [1, 1]] }
\end{aligned}
$$

$=$ tail $([(x,[0]) \mid x<-\operatorname{genCheck}(p[0])[1,1]]++[(x,[1])$
$=$ tail ([(x, [0]) | x <- [ [0,0]]] ++ [(x, [1]) | ...])
$=$ tail ( $[0,0],[0]):[(x,[1]) \mid \ldots])$
$=[(x,[1]) \mid x<-$ genCheck (p [1]) [1,1]]
$=[(x,[1]) \mid x<-[[0,0],[1,0],[2,0],[0,1],[1,1],[0,2]]]$
$=[([0,0],[1]),([1,0],[1]),([2,0],[1]),([0,1],[1])$, ([1, 1], [1]), ([0, 2], [1])]
nonSpecialSolutions [1,1] [2]
= minimize (check' [1,1] [2] (generateCheck [1,1] [2]))
$=$ minimize $[([1,1],[1])]$
$=[([1,1],[1])]$
solutions' [1,1] [2]
= specialSolutions [1,1] [2] ++ nonSpecialSolutions ...
$=[([2,0],[1]),([0,2],[1])]++[([1,1],[1])]$

## Examples

$\quad a$
$[1,1]$
$[1,1]$
$[1,1,1]$
$[1,2,5]$
$[1,1,1,2,3]$
$[2,5,9]$
$[2,2,2,3,3,3]$
$[1,2,2,5,9]$
$[1,2,3,4]$
[1,1,2,2]
[1,2,3,7,8]
$[2,2,2,3,3,3]$
[1, 2, 3, 7, 8]
\#solutions time (s)
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { \#solutions } & \text { time }(s) \\ 3 & 0.001 \\ 4 & 0.001 \\ 10 & 0.001\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { \#solutions } & \text { time }(s) \\ 3 & 0.001 \\ 4 & 0.001 \\ 10 & 0.001\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { \#solutions } & \text { time }(s) \\ 3 & 0.001 \\ 4 & 0.001 \\ 10 & 0.001\end{array}$
$39 \quad 0.1$
$44 \quad 0.1$
$119 \quad 85.5$
$138 \quad 125.4$
timeout (after 20 min )

## Examples

| $a$ | $b$ | \#solutions | time (s) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $[1,1]$ | $[2]$ | 3 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 4 | 0.001 |
| $[1,1,1]$ | $[3]$ | 10 | 0.001 |
| $[1,2,5]$ | $[1,2,3,4]$ | 39 | 0.05 |
| $[1,1,1,2,3]$ | $[1,1,2,2]$ | 44 | 0.01 |
| $[2,5,9]$ | $[1,2,3,7,8]$ | 119 | 8.6 |
| $[2,2,2,3,3,3]$ | $[2,2,2,3,3,3]$ | 138 | 0.06 |
| $[1,2,2,5,9]$ | $[1,2,3,7,8]$ | 345 | 517.4 |
| $[1,4,4,8,12]$ | $[3,6,9,12,20]$ | 232 | 67.4 |
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## Summary

- first formalization of HLDEs (we used Isabelle/HOL)
- and of simple solver computing minimal complete sets of solutions
- clear separation of 3 phases: generate, check, and minimize
- which greatly simplifies proofs
- basis for computing minimal complete sets of AC unifiers
- improved efficiency by partially merging generate and check phases

