



# Functional Programming

## Lecture 7

Cezary Kaliszyk   Jonas Schöpf  
Christian Sternagel   Vincent van Oostrom

Department of Computer Science

### Overview

- Mathematical Induction
- Induction over Lists
- Structural Induction
- Formal Verification of Functional Programs

### Topics

abstract data types, algebraic data types, binary search trees, combinator parsing, efficiency, encoding data types as lambda-terms, evaluation strategies, **formal verification**, first steps, guarded recursion, Haskell introduction, higher-order functions, historical overview, **induction**, infinite data structures, input and output, lambda-calculus, lazy evaluation, list comprehensions, lists, modules, pattern matching, polymorphism, property-based testing, **reasoning about functional programs**, recursive functions, sets, strings, tail recursion, trees, tupling, type checking, type inference, types, types and type classes, unification, user-defined types

### When to use Mathematical Induction?

- prove some property  $P$  for all natural numbers
- more formally, prove:

$$\forall n. P(n) \quad (\text{where } n \in \mathbb{N})$$

### How is it Applied?

- mathematical induction consists of two steps:

1. prove **base case**

$P(0)$  show property for 0

2. prove **step case**

$$\forall k. (P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))$$

assume  $P(k)$  (**induction hypothesis**), show  $P(k+1)$

### Why does this Work?

- have two facts:
  1.  $P$  true for 0
  2. for arbitrary  $k$ , if  $P$  true for  $k$  then  $P$  true for  $k + 1$
- want to show  $P$  for every natural number ( $\forall n. P(n)$ )

### Example – $P(3)$

- have  $P(0)$
- and  $P(0) \rightarrow P(1)$
- thus  $P(1)$
- with  $P(1) \rightarrow P(2)$
- have  $P(2)$
- with  $P(2) \rightarrow P(3)$
- have  $P(3)$

### Idea

- reach arbitrary  $n$  s.t.  $P(n)$
- hence,  $\forall n. P(n)$

### Domino Effect

1. first domino falls
2. if domino falls, right neighbor falls



### What is a “Property”?

- anything that depends on some input and is either true or false
- that is, some function  $p :: a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

### Remark

- base case may be changed
- e.g., if base case  $P(1)$ , property holds for all  $n \geq 1$

### Induction Principle

$$(P(m) \wedge \forall k \geq m. (P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1))) \rightarrow \forall n \geq m. P(n)$$

### Example – Gauß’s Formula

- $P(x) = (1 + 2 + \dots + x = \frac{x(x+1)}{2})$
- base case:  $P(0) = (1 + 2 + \dots + 0 = 0 = \frac{0(0+1)}{2})$
- step case:  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$   
 IH:  $P(k) = (1 + 2 + \dots + k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2})$   
 show:  $P(k + 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + 2 + \dots + (k + 1) &= (1 + 2 + \dots + k) + (k + 1) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} \frac{k(k + 1)}{2} + (k + 1) \\
 &= \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

### Algebraic Data Type of Lists

`data [a] = [] | (:) a [a]`

### Notes

- lists are recursive structures
- non-recursive constructor (base case): `[]`
- recursive constructor (step case):  $x : xs$

## Induction Principle for Lists – Informally

- to show  $P(xs)$  for all lists  $xs$
- show base case:  $P([])$
- show step case:  $P(xs) \longrightarrow P(x : xs)$  for arbitrary  $x$  and  $xs$

## Induction Principle for Lists – Formally

$$(P([]) \wedge \forall x. \forall xs. (P(xs) \longrightarrow P(x : xs))) \longrightarrow \forall xs. P(xs)$$

## Remark

- for lists,  $P$  can be seen as function  $p :: [a] \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

## Exercise – Nil is right identity of append

- definition of append
  - $[] ++ ys = ys$
  - $(x:xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys)$
- prove that  $[]$  is **right identity** of  $++$ , that is,

$$xs ++ [] = xs$$

## Exercise – Append is associative

- recall
  - $[] ++ ys = ys$
  - $(x:xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys)$
- prove that  $++$  is **associative**, that is,

$$(xs ++ ys) ++ zs = xs ++ (ys ++ zs)$$

## Exercise – Length and append

- definition
  - $\text{length } [] = 0$
  - $\text{length } (_,xs) = 1 + \text{length } xs$
- prove that length of combined list is sum of lengths, that is,

$$\text{length } (xs ++ ys) = \text{length } xs + \text{length } ys$$

## Example – Terms

```
type Id = String
data Term = Var Id
          | App Term Term
          | Abs Id Term
```

## General Structures – Induction Principle

- for every non-recursive constructor, show base case
  - base case:  $P(\text{Var } x)$
- for every recursive constructor, show step case
  - step case 1:  $(P(s) \wedge P(t)) \longrightarrow P(\text{App } s \ t)$
  - step case 2:  $P(t) \longrightarrow P(\text{Abs } x \ t)$

## Exercise – Perfect Binary Trees

- a binary tree is **perfect** if all leaf nodes have same depth

```
perfect Empty = True
perfect (Node _ l r) =
  height l == height r && perfect l && perfect r
```

```
height Empty = 0
height (Node _ l r) =
  max (height l) (height r) + 1
```

```
size Empty = 0
size (Node _ l r) = size l + size r + 1
```

- lemma: a perfect binary tree  $t$  of height  $n$  has exactly  $2^n - 1$  nodes, that is,

$$P(t) = (\text{perfect } t \longrightarrow \text{size } t = 2^{\text{height } t} - 1)$$

## Example – Binary Trees

```
data BTree a = Empty
            | Node a (BTree a) (BTree a)
```

## Induction Principle for Binary Trees

$$(P(\text{Empty}) \wedge \forall x. \forall l. \forall r. ((P(l) \wedge P(r)) \longrightarrow P(\text{Node } x \ l \ r))) \longrightarrow \forall t. P(t)$$

## Isabelle/HOL in a Nutshell

### Obvious question:

- What is Isabelle?

### Common answer:

- An **LCF-style proof assistant**.

### Typical follow-up questions:

- What is a **proof assistant**?
- What does **LCF-style** mean?
- ...

## What is a Proof Assistant?

- combination of automated theorem prover (ATP) and proof checker
- some subproofs are found **automatically**
- others are user-supplied but **checked** rigorously

### Example

- automatic methods: logical reasoning (`blast`), equational reasoning (`simp`), combination of former (`auto`), ...
- manual steps: induction (`induct`), case analysis (`cases`), ...

## Higher-Order Logic

- HOL = Functional Programming + Logic
- data types (`datatype`)
- recursive functions (`fun`)
- logical operators ( $\wedge, \vee, \longrightarrow, \forall, \exists, \dots$ )

## What does LCF-style mean?

- theorems represented by abstract data type (`thm`)
- set of (basic) logical inferences provided as interface (**trusted kernel**)
- strong typing guarantees that there is no other way to create theorems (values of type `thm`)


### Example

certified term

- functions `assume : cterm -> thm` and `implies_elim : thm -> thm -> thm`
- implement inference rules

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \implies B \quad \Delta \vdash A}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash B}$$

## Example – Insertion Sort



## A Functional Implementation

- inserting an element into a sorted list

```
insert x [] = [x]
insert x (y:ys) =
  if x <= y then x : y : ys
  else y : insert x ys
```

- sorting by repeatedly inserting elements into the empty list

```
insertionSort = foldr insert []
```

### Exercise – Insertion sort is a valid sorting algorithm

- prove that result after applying insertion sort is sorted
- prove that all values occur exactly the same number of times in input and output
- see `Insertion_Sort.thy`

## Homework (for December 14th)

- Read the lecture notes on reasoning about functional programs.
- Prove  $\text{map } f (\text{map } g \text{ } xs) = \text{map } (f \circ g) \text{ } xs$  for
 

```
map f [] = []
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```
- Prove  $\text{filter } p (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) = \text{map } f (\text{filter } (p \circ f) \text{ } xs)$  for
 

```
filter p [] = []
filter p (x:xs) | p x = x : filter p xs
                  | otherwise = filter p xs
```
- Prove  $\text{map } f (xs ++ ys) = \text{map } f \text{ } xs ++ \text{map } f \text{ } ys$  for
 

```
[] ++ ys = ys
(x:xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys)
```
- Prove  $\forall xs. \text{take } n (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) = \text{map } f (\text{take } n \text{ } xs)$  for
 

```
take n (x:xs) | n > 0 = x : take (n - 1) xs
take _ _ = []
```

## Homework (for December 14th, continued)

- Prove  $\forall xs. \text{take } n \text{ } xs ++ \text{drop } n \text{ } xs = xs$  for
 

```
drop n (_:xs) | n > 0 = drop (n - 1) xs
drop _ xs = xs
```

### Alternatively

Choose two of the previous exercises and prove them with Isabelle/HOL using the custom type

```
datatype 'a lst = NIL | CONS 'a "'a lst"
```

and your own implementations of the relevant functions among

```
map :: "('a => 'b) => 'a lst => 'b lst"
filter :: "('a => bool) => 'a lst => 'a lst"
app :: "'a lst => 'a lst => 'a lst"
take :: "nat => 'a lst => 'a lst"
drop :: "nat => 'a lst => 'a lst"
```