



# Functional Programming

## Lecture 10

Cezary Kaliszyk   Jonas Schöpf  
Christian Sternagel   Vincent van Oostrom

Department of Computer Science

## Topics

abstract data types, algebraic data types, binary search trees, combinator parsing, efficiency, encoding data types as lambda-terms, evaluation strategies, formal verification, first steps, guarded recursion, Haskell introduction, higher-order functions, historical overview, induction, **infinite data structures**, input and output, lambda-calculus, **lazy evaluation**, list comprehensions, lists, modules, pattern matching, polymorphism, property-based testing, reasoning about functional programs, recursive functions, sets, strings, tail recursion, trees, tupling, **type checking**, **type inference**, **types**, types and type classes, **unification**, user-defined types

# Overview

- Type Checking
- Unification and its Implementation
- Type Inference

## Problem – Type Checking

input: expression  $e$  and type  $\tau$

output: YES ( $e$  has type  $\tau$ ) or NO

## Haskell Examples

```
(\x -> x) True :: Bool
```

```
double :: Int -> Int
```

```
double x = x + x
```

## The Language of Expressions – Core FP

$e ::= x \mid e e \mid \lambda x. e$	$\lambda$ -terms
$c$	constant (for primitives)
<b>let</b> $x = e$ <b>in</b> $e$	let-binding
<b>if</b> $e$ <b>then</b> $e$ <b>else</b> $e$	conditional branching

### Primitives

- used for predefined “functions” and “constants”
- **Boolean:** True, False, <, >, =, ...
- **arithmetic:**  $\times$ , +,  $\div$ ,  $-$ , 0, 1, ...
- **tuples:** Pair, fst, snd
- **lists:** Nil, Cons, head, tail

## Types

- **type variables**  $\alpha, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots$
- (right-associative) **function space constructor**  $\rightarrow$
- **type constructors**  $C, C_0, C_1, \dots$  (like List for type of lists)
- **types**  $\tau ::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid C(\tau, \dots, \tau)$
- special case – **base types**: Int, Bool (instead of Int(), Bool())

## Example Types

- List(Bool) – list of Booleans
- Pair(Int, Int) – pairs of integers
- Int  $\rightarrow$  Int  $\rightarrow$  Bool – functions from two integers to Booleans

## A Type for Types

```
data Type = TVar Int
  | TCon String [Type]
  deriving Eq
```

- for easy renaming of **type variables**
- **type constructors** and **function type**
- **type equality** can be checked

## Typing Environments

- set of pairs  $E$ , mapping variables and constants to types
- instead of  $(e, \tau) \in E$ , write  $e :: \tau \in E$

## Typing Judgments

- $E \vdash e :: \tau$
- read: “it can be proved that  $e$  is of type  $\tau$  under  $E$ ”

## Examples

- **primitive environment**  
 $P = \{\text{True} :: \text{Bool}, + :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}, \text{Nil} :: \text{List}(\alpha), \dots\}$
- $P \vdash \text{True} :: \text{Bool}$  – “using primitive environment, it can be shown that True is of type Bool”

## Type Substitutions

- **mapping**  $\sigma$  from type variables to types
- **apply substitution** to type

$$\alpha\sigma = \sigma(\alpha)$$

$$(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)\sigma = \tau_1\sigma \rightarrow \tau_2\sigma$$

$$C(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\sigma = C(\tau_1\sigma, \dots, \tau_n\sigma)$$

- **composition**  $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = (\lambda x. \sigma_1(x)\sigma_2)$  (“first apply  $\sigma_1$  and then  $\sigma_2$ ”)

### Examples



domain

- $\sigma_1 = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \text{List}(\alpha_2), \alpha_2 \mapsto \text{Bool}\}$
- $\tau = \text{List}(\alpha_1)$
- $\tau\sigma_1 = \text{List}(\text{List}(\alpha_2))$
- $\sigma_2 = \{\alpha_2 \mapsto \text{Int}, \alpha_3 \mapsto \text{Int}\}$
- $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \text{List}(\text{Int}), \alpha_2 \mapsto \text{Bool}, \alpha_3 \mapsto \text{Int}\}$



## Implementing Type Substitutions

```
type TSub = [(Int, Type)]
```

### “Extracting” Maybes with Default

- `Data.Maybe.fromMaybe :: a -> Maybe a -> a`
- satisfies equations
  - `fromMaybe x Nothing = x`
  - `fromMaybe x (Just y) = y`

### Applying Substitutions to Types

```
tsub :: TSub -> Type -> Type
```

```
tsub s (x@(TVar i)) = fromMaybe x $ lookup i s
```

```
tsub s (TCon g ts) = TCon g (map (tsub s) ts)
```

### Composition

```
tcomp :: TSub -> TSub -> TSub
```

```
s1 `tcomp` s2 =
```

```
  map (\(x, t) -> (x, tsub s2 t)) s1 ++
```

```
  filter ((`notElem` dom1) . fst) s2
```

```
  where dom1 = map fst s1
```

## Type Checking as Natural Deduction Rules

$$\frac{e :: \tau \in E}{e :: \tau\sigma} \text{ (ins)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad e_2 :: \tau_2}{e_1 e_2 :: \tau_1} \text{ (app)}$$

$$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} x :: \tau_1 \\ \vdots \\ e :: \tau_2 \end{array}}}{\lambda x. e :: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ (abs)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 :: \tau_1 \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} x :: \tau_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_2 :: \tau_2 \end{array}}}{\mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 :: \tau_2} \text{ (let)}$$

$$\frac{e :: \tau}{e :: \tau} \text{ (copy)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 :: \mathbf{Bool} \quad e_2 :: \tau \quad e_3 :: \tau}{\mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3 :: \tau} \text{ (ite)}$$

## Examples

- environment  $E = \{\text{True} :: \text{Bool}, + :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}\}$
- recall  $(\backslash x \rightarrow x) \text{ True} :: \text{Bool}$
- prove judgment  $E \vdash (\lambda x. x) \text{ True} :: \text{Bool}$

- |   |   |                 |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | $\text{True} :: \text{Bool}$                          | $\text{ins } E$ |
| 2 | $x :: \text{Bool}$                                    | assumption      |
| 3 | $\lambda x. x :: \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ | abs 2           |
| 4 | $(\lambda x. x) \text{ True} :: \text{Bool}$          | app 3, 1        |

- recall  
 $\text{double} :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$   
 $\text{double } x = x + x$

- prove  $E \vdash \lambda x. x + x :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$
- |   |   |            |
|---|---|------------|
| 1 | $x :: \text{Int}$   | assumption |
| 2 | $+ :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$ | ins E      |
| 3 | $(+) x :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$                    | app 2, 1   |
| 4 | $x + x :: \text{Int}$   | app 3, 1   |
| 5 | $\lambda x. x + x :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$         | abs 1-4    |

**Problem – Unification**

pair of types

input: equation  $\tau_1 \approx \tau_2$ 

syntactic equality

output: most general unifier (mgu)  $\sigma$  with  $\tau_1\sigma = \tau_2\sigma$  or FAILURE**Notions**

a type substitution

- equation  $\tau \approx \tau'$  is **satisfiable** iff exists  $\sigma$  such that  $\tau\sigma = \tau'\sigma$
- if  $\tau \approx \tau'$  satisfiable by  $\sigma$ , then  $\sigma$  is called **solution** of  $\tau \approx \tau'$
- **unification problem** is finite sequence of equations

$$\tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \dots; \tau_n \approx \tau'_n$$

- $\square$  denotes empty sequence
- **unification** – solving given unification problem
- **set of type variables** of a type

$$\mathcal{V}(\alpha) = \{\alpha\}$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) = \mathcal{V}(\tau_1) \cup \mathcal{V}(\tau_2)$$

$$\mathcal{V}(C(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) = \mathcal{V}(\tau_1) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}(\tau_n)$$

# Unification Rules

## (d) decomposition

$$\frac{E_1; C(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \approx C(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n); E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \dots; \tau_n \approx \tau'_n; E_2} \quad (d_1)$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2; E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \tau_2 \approx \tau'_2; E_2} \quad (d_2)$$

## (t) removal of **trivial** equations

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \tau; E_2}{E_1; E_2} \quad (t)$$

## (v) **variable** elimination

$$\frac{E_1; \alpha \approx \tau; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin \mathcal{V}(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}} \quad (v_1)$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \alpha; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin \mathcal{V}(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}} \quad (v_2)$$

## Applying Unification Rules

- notation:  $E \Rightarrow_{\sigma}^{(r)} E'$
- meaning: apply rule  $r$  to derive  $E'$  from  $E$  using substitution  $\sigma$
- (if  $r$  is not variable elimination,  $\sigma$  is empty substitution)
- resulting substitution of sequence

$$E_1 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_1}^{(r_1)} E_2 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_2}^{(r_2)} E_3 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_3}^{(r_3)} \dots \Rightarrow_{\sigma_n}^{(r_n)} E_{n+1}$$

is composition

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$$

### Example

$$\begin{aligned} \text{List}(\text{Bool}) \approx \text{List}(\alpha) &\Rightarrow_{\{\}}^{(d_1)} && \text{Bool} \approx \alpha \\ &\Rightarrow_{\{\alpha \mapsto \text{Bool}\}}^{(v_2)} && \square \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{mgu: } \{\}\{\alpha \mapsto \text{Bool}\} = \{\alpha \mapsto \text{Bool}\}$$

# Unification.hs – Implementing Unification

## Interface

- input: unification problem `type UP = [(Type, Type)]`
- output: type substitution
- unification: `unify :: UP -> TSub`

## The Free Variables of a Type

```
tvars :: Type -> [Int]
tvars (TVar x)    = [x]
tvars (TCon g ts) = foldr (union . tvars) [] ts
```

## Applying Type Substitutions to Unification Problems

```
tsubUP :: TSub -> UP -> UP
tsubUP s = map (\(l, r) -> (tsub s l, tsub s r))
```

## Unification

```

unify :: UP -> TSub
unify eqs = go [] eqs
  where
    go s []          = s
    go s (eq:eqs) =
      go (s `tcomp` s') (eqs' ++ tsubUP s' eqs)
      where
        (eqs', s') = step eq
    step :: (Type, Type) -> (UP, TSub)
    ...

```

## Removal of Trivial Equations

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \tau; E_2}{E_1; E_2} \text{ (t)}$$

```
step (t, u) | t == u = ([], [])
```



## Variable Elimination

$$\frac{E_1; \alpha \approx \tau; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin \mathcal{V}(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}} \quad (v_1)$$

$$\frac{E_1; \tau \approx \alpha; E_2 \quad \alpha \notin \mathcal{V}(\tau)}{(E_1; E_2)\{\alpha \mapsto \tau\}} \quad (v_2)$$

step (TVar **x**, **t**) = singletonSub **x t**

step (**t**, TVar **x**) = singletonSub **x t**

where

notUnif = error "not unifiable"

singletonSub **x t** =

if **x** `elem` tvars **t** then notUnif else ([], [(**x**, **t**)])

## Decomposition

$$\frac{E_1; C(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \approx C(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n); E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \dots; \tau_n \approx \tau'_n; E_2} \quad (d_1) \quad \frac{E_1; \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2; E_2}{E_1; \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1; \tau_2 \approx \tau'_2; E_2} \quad (d_2)$$

step (TCon **f ts**, TCon **g us**) |

**f** == **g** && length **ts** == length **us** = (zip **ts us**, [])

# Type Inference Problems

- $E \triangleright e :: \tau$
- read: “try to infer most general substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $E \vdash e :: \tau\sigma$ ”

## Haskell Example

what is most general type of `let id = \x -> x in id 0`

## Example

- $E = \{0 :: \text{Int}\}$
- $E \triangleright \text{let } id = \lambda x. x \text{ in } id\ 0 :: \alpha_0$
- $\sigma = \{\alpha_0 \mapsto \text{Int}\}$

1	$x :: \text{Int}$	assumption
2	$\lambda x. x :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$	abs 1
3	$id :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$	assumption
4	$0 :: \text{Int}$	ins $E$
5	$id\ 0 :: \text{Int}$	app 3, 4
6	$\text{let } id = \lambda x. x \text{ in } id\ 0 :: \text{Int}$	let 2, 3–5

## Typing Constraint Rules

$$\frac{E, e :: \tau_0 \triangleright e :: \tau_1}{\tau_0 \approx \tau_1} \text{ (con)}$$

$$\frac{E \triangleright e_1 \ e_2 :: \tau}{E \triangleright e_1 :: \alpha \rightarrow \tau; E \triangleright e_2 :: \alpha} \text{ (app)}$$

$$\frac{E \triangleright \lambda x. e :: \tau}{\tau \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2; E, x :: \alpha_1 \triangleright e :: \alpha_2} \text{ (abs)}$$

$$\frac{E \triangleright \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 :: \tau}{E \triangleright e_1 :: \alpha; E, x :: \alpha \triangleright e_2 :: \tau} \text{ (let)}$$

$$\frac{E \triangleright \mathbf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_3 :: \tau}{E \triangleright e_1 :: \mathbf{Bool}; E \triangleright e_2 :: \tau; E \triangleright e_3 :: \tau} \text{ (ite)}$$

where  $\alpha$  in (app) and (let), and  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  in (abs) are **fresh** (do not occur in premises of rule)

## Recipe – Type Inference

- to find most general type of  $e$  under  $E$
- first take  $E \triangleright e :: \alpha_0$  (for some fresh type variable  $\alpha_0$ )
- then, use typing constraint rules to generate unification problem  $u$  (if at any point no rule applicable **Not Typable**)
- if  $u$  has no solution (none of the unification rules is applicable before reaching  $\square$ ) then **Not Typable**, otherwise, obtain solution  $\sigma$
- finally,  $\alpha_0\sigma$  is most general type of  $e$

## Exercise

- find most general type of **let**  $id = \lambda x. x$  **in**  $id\ 1$  with respect to  $P$
- start from  $P \triangleright \mathbf{let}\ id = \lambda x. x\ \mathbf{in}\ id\ 1 :: \alpha_0$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{let})} \frac{P \triangleright \mathbf{let}\ id = \lambda x. x\ \mathbf{in}\ id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{P \triangleright \lambda x. x :: \alpha_1;} \quad \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \underline{\alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3}; \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{abs})} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_0; \underline{\text{Int} \approx \alpha_4}} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{abs})} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3;} \quad \Rightarrow^{(\text{v})^2} \{\alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \mapsto \text{Int}\} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{con})} \frac{P, x :: \alpha_2 \triangleright x :: \alpha_3;}{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0} \quad \underline{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_1 \approx \text{Int} \rightarrow \alpha_0} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{con})} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3;} \quad \Rightarrow^{(\text{v})} \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_3\} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{app})} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3;} \quad \underline{\alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_3 \approx \text{Int} \rightarrow \alpha_0} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{con})^2} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3;} \quad \Rightarrow^{(\text{d})} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{con})^2} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3;} \quad \underline{\alpha_3 \approx \text{Int}; \alpha_3 \approx \alpha_0} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{con})^2} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3;} \quad \Rightarrow^{(\text{v})^2} \{\alpha_0 \mapsto \text{Int}, \alpha_3 \mapsto \text{Int}\} \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{con})^2} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3;} \quad \square \\
 \Rightarrow^{(\text{con})^2} \frac{P, id :: \alpha_1 \triangleright id\ 1 :: \alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3;} \quad \text{mgu: } \{\alpha_0 \mapsto \text{Int}, \alpha_1 \mapsto \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}, \\
 \alpha_1 \approx \alpha_4 \rightarrow \alpha_0; \text{Int} \approx \alpha_4 \quad \alpha_2 \mapsto \text{Int}, \alpha_3 \mapsto \text{Int}, \alpha_4 \mapsto \text{Int}\}
 \end{array}$$

# Exams

## 1st Exam

- 12:15–14:00 in HSB 1 on February 1, 2019
- online [registration](#) required before 23:59 on January 18, 2019

## 2nd Exam

- 12:15–14:00 in HSB 1 on March 1, 2019
- online [registration](#) required before 23:59 on February 15, 2019

## 3rd Exam

- 12:15–14:00 in HSB 1 on September 27, 2019
- online [registration](#) required before 23:59 on September 13, 2019

## Some Old Exams

- exam of February 2, 2018 + solutions
- exam of March 2, 2018 + solutions

## Homework (for January 25th)

1. Read the [lecture notes about type checking and type inference](#).
2. Use type checking to prove  $\{f :: \alpha \rightarrow \beta, y :: \alpha\} \vdash (\lambda x. f x) y :: \beta$ .
3. Solve the unification problem  
 $\alpha_2 \approx \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_0; \alpha_3 \approx \text{Int} \rightarrow \alpha_2; \alpha_2 \approx \alpha_3$   
and compute the resulting mgu, if possible. Check your result using [unify](#).
4. Solve the unification problem  $\text{Pair}(\text{Bool}, \alpha_0) \approx \text{Pair}(\alpha_1, \text{Int})$ . Check your solution using [unify](#).
5. Use type inference to obtain the most general type of the expression  $\text{map} (\lambda x. x)$  with respect to the environment  
 $E = \{\text{map} :: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \text{List}(\alpha) \rightarrow \text{List}(\beta)\}$ .
6. Given the following types for environments and inference problems  
`type Env = [(String, Type)]`  
`type IP = [(Env, Exp, Type)]`  
implement a function `toUp :: IP -> UP` that transforms a given type inference problem into the corresponding unification problem according to our typing constraint rules.